# FHWA-Indiana Environmental Document CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION / ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Road No./County:	East (E.) Hively Avenue/Elkhart County
Designation Number(s):	1801933
Project	Grade separation of E. Hively Avenue (also referred to as Hively Avenue) over the Norfolk Southern Railroad, South (S.) Main Street (also referred to as Main Street), and Hammond Avenue.
Description/Termini:	The west terminus is 0.01 mile west of Burr Oak Avenue that extends approximately 0.57 mile to the east terminus approximately 0.02 west of Clayton Avenue.

	Categorical Exclusion, Level 2 – Required Signatories: INDOT DE and/or INDOT ESD
	Categorical Exclusion, Level 3 – Required Signatories: INDOT ESD
	Categorical Exclusion, Level 4 – Required Signatories: INDOT ESD and FHWA
Х	Environmental Assessment (EA) – Required Signatories: INDOT ESD and FHWA
	Additional Investigation (AI) – The proposed action included a design change from the original approved environmental document. Required Signatories must include the appropriate environmental approval authority

Release for Public Involvement	Ant	thony Ross 6/27/2022
	INDOT DE Initials and Date MICHELLE B ALLEN FHWA Signature	INJOT ESD Initials and Date Digitally signed by MICHELLE B ALLEN Date: 2022.06.28 06:40:04 -04'00' and Date
Certification of Public Involvement	Jeffrey B. Clan	
INDOT DE/ESD Reviewer Signature and Date:	Director of Majo	or Projects Delivery
Name and Organization of CE/FA Prenarer	Laura Jack Michael Baker International	Inc

Count	y <u>Elknart</u>	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
		<u> Part I – F</u>	Public Involvement	<u>ent</u>	
•	ederal action requires some level evelopment process. <b>The level</b>	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
	Does the project have a historic If No, then:	bridge processed	under the Historic Bridges	Yes PA*?	No X
	Opportunity for a Public Hear	ing Required?		X	

\*A public hearing is required for all historic bridges processed under the Historic Bridges Programmatic Agreement between INDOT, FHWA, SHPO, and the ACHP.

Discuss what public involvement activities (legal notices, letters to affected property owners and residents (i.e. notice of entry), meetings, special purpose meetings, newspaper articles, etc.) have occurred for this project.

Notice of Entry letters were mailed to potentially affected property owners near the project area on April 25, 2019 and again October 20, 2020 notifying them about the project and that individuals responsible for land surveying and field activities may be seen in the area. Samples of the copies of the Notice of Entry letters are included in Appendix G, page 1.

#### Section 106

To meet the public involvement requirements of Section 106, a legal notice of FHWA's finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" was published in the *Elkhart Truth* on October 9, 2021 offering the public an opportunity to submit comment pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d), 800.3(e), and 800.6(a)(4). The public comment period closed 30 days later on November 9, 2021. No comments were received. The text of the public notice and the affidavit of publication appear in Appendix D, page 22 to 23.

#### **Public Information Plan**

A Public Information Plan (PIP) was developed for the project and continues to be updated (Appendix G, page 9). The PIP is designed to educate and engage the public throughout the design and environmental process. The PIP outlines public involvement tools and helps create consistency with public engagement.

There have been three public information meetings and a formal public hearing. Communication tools have been and will continue to be provided through a variety of channels. Based on community context, meeting notification materials have been translated to Spanish to effectively reach as many people as possible in the community. A Spanish translator has been used to provide translation at the public information meetings and one will be provided at the public hearing to accommodate Spanish speakers who attend. The City of Elkhart provides translation services using city staff who are familiar with the community. All project materials are provided on the City of Elkhart's website <a href="https://elkhartindiana.org/government/street-department/hively-overpass/">https://elkhartindiana.org/government/street-department/hively-overpass/</a> and city staff continually interface with the local community regarding project details including impacts, milestones, and schedule. A project email was setup for any public questions or comments throughout the project. Comments are addressed by the project team and a record is kept in a project comment response table (Appendix G, page 108).

#### **Public Information Meetings**

Public notices were posted for the public information meetings (PIMs) via local newspapers the *Goshen News* (Appendix G, page 36 and page 76), the *Elkhart Truth* (Appendix G, page 38 and 77), and the *El Puente* newspaper (Appendix G, page 40 and 79). Postcards were mailed to the project mailing list (Appendix G, page 26) that showed the project termini and at-grade crossing location and provided public meeting information (Appendix G, page 31 and 70). This information was translated to Spanish on the back of the postcard. In addition, team members took fliers, which included information in both English and Spanish, to local businesses around town to distribute information about the PIMs (Appendix G, page 33 and 72).

Information was presented to the public during three (3) PIMs, PIM #1 on October 20, 2020, PIM #2 on October 22, 2020 and PIM #3 on August 31, 2021. PIM #1 was held virtually via a Zoom meeting and PIM #2 and PIM #3 were in-person, open house style events held at the Zion Missionary Church located within the Study Area (Appendix B, page 4).

The PowerPoint presentation from the PIM #1 Zoom meeting was posted to the City of Elkhart website and the presentation was recorded. The recording can be provided upon request. Approximately 55 people attended the Zoom meeting. The in-person open houses included a welcome table with a sign-in sheet, comment forms, and project information sheets. Stations were set-up with exhibits that showed the project location, alternatives, and alternative comparisons. Members of the project team were at each station to talk about the project and answer questions. The City of Elkhart provided a Spanish interpreter during the open house. Approximately 67 people attended the PIM #2 open house. Approximately 80 people attended PIM #3 open house. All public

This is page 2 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

		Indiana Dep	artment of Transpor	rtation	
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
	n materials distributed and on Appendix G, page 9.	I presented at the P	IMs along with sign-in shee	ts and comment for	ns can be found in the PIP
north or so are conce movement that witho Area was PIMs incl businesses were conce	bouth from Hively Avenue, contrated alongside the Notes to based on firsthand expert a dedicated or formal transport of the contract of the co	onnecting to Sterling orfolk Southern Rai erience and requeste uck route that trucks of truck movement garding property imary school and the Etivities, the project so	eration and evaluation of true g Avenue and Hammond Ave droad. A group of busines ed that the project study tea is might try to use the local is on Hammond Avenue. Othe pacts, including ingress/eg El Rosal supermarket. Poter chedule and when to expect	enue where industrials owners expressed in take another look street network which are public comments gress and parking on tially impacted propland acquisition to be	al and commercial land-uses ad concern regarding truck and concern regarding truck and concerns the study and concerns from all three considerations for adjacent perty owners and occupants begin.
-	nents from the PIMs or ema ed as part of the PIP (Appe		eceived were recorded in an	on-going comment	esponse for the project and
Developm comment	ct will meet the minimum eent Public Involvement Pro and/or request a public he	ocedures Manual wh aring. Therefore, a l	ibed in the current <i>Indiana</i> nich requires the project spo egal notice will appear in a be revised after the public ir	nsor to offer the put local publication cor	olic an opportunity to submit ntingent upon the release of
Discuss pu minimize in	npacts.	community and/or r	rounds natural resource impacts, inconcerning impacts to the comi		

Date: November 30, 2022

Hively Avenue Overpass

This is page 3 of 41

Project name:

County Elkhar	t	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	3
,			ation, Descripti			
Sponsor of the Pro	ject:	City of Elkhart and	INDOT	INDO	T District:	Fort Wayne
Local Name of the	Facility:	East Hively Avenue	:			
Funding So	urce ( <i>mark all that</i>	apply): Fede	ral X State X	Local X Othe	r*	
*If other is	selected, please in	dentify the funding so	ource:			
PURPOSE AND	NEED:					
The project is need Avenue, also refers the Hively Avenue Hively Avenue and The Federal Highwa into a grade separ Devices at Highwa a railroad grade se	led to address traf- red to as Hively Av crossing as ident- adjacent streets, a vay Administration ated crossing is ju y-Rail Grade Cross parated crossing. neets three (3) of	fic congestion caused venue, per day. Thes ified in the 2019 En- along with prohibiting (FHWA) has publish ustified. The guidelin sings" (FHWA, Nover Meeting just one (1) those criteria. Tabl	d problem should NOT be do by approximately 70-10 se trains inhibit mobility to gineer's Report (Appendiculation pedestrian and bicyclist and guidelines to determines are published in the limber 2002). The guideline of those criteria is enoughed a summarizes these	O0 trains that utilize the for the approximate 6 dix M, page 1). This movements.  The when converting a following document the list several criteriagh to justify grade-segon the segon th	ne railroad to a comment of the comm	es a day that use torist backups on railroad crossing on Traffic Control e used to warrant he Hively Avenue
Table 1. FHWA Wa	-					
Category		Criteria 5 or more trains per	Hively Avenue This location has an es	e & Norfolk Southerr		
Number of trains	day	<u> </u>	88 trains per day, at a	maximum allowable tr	ain speed o	of 79 mph
Expected crash frequency	The expected created created 2% per	r year	This location has an ex			
Vehicle delay	Vehicle delay ex hours per day	cceeds 30 vehicle-	This location has an es	stimated 50 to 200 vel	nicle-hours o	of delay per day
a five-year period	(2015-2019) due t es occurred when t	o the railroad crossi	ions, crash data was also ng according to Michian nd were typically rear-end	a Area Council of G	overnments	(MACOG) crash
2). It should be no intersection, which	oted that a gate of would be an una	down time of 3 mini cceptable capacity le	rsection, there was a gateutes or more would be evel-of-service for an intection of Hively Avenue ar	equivalent to a leve ersection. Intersectio	Í-of-servicè ns with a v	(LOS) "F" at an ehicle delay of 1
	access and conr		ety within the project are e LOS to a "C" on th			
This is page 4 c	of 41 Project nar	me: <u>Hively Aven</u>	ue Overpass	Date	: Novem	nber 30, 2022_

Indiana Department of Transportation				
County Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No180193	33
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON (PREFERRED ALTERN	IATIVE):		
County: Elkhart	Mur	nicipality: <u>City of Elkhart</u>		
Limits of Proposed Work:	east terminus approximat intersecting side streets, ap Roosevelt Avenue, 53 feet feet (0.16 mile) on Main S Sterling Avenue, 570 feet ( feet (0.03 mile) on Lowell	mile east of Burr Oak Avenue tely 0.02 west of Clayton A oproximately 110 feet (0.02 mi (0.01 mile) on Morton Avenue Street, 92 feet (0.02 mile) or (0.11 mile) on Hammond Ave Avenue, 1,160 feet (0.22 fee mile) on Dover Street, and 74	Avenue. The project limits ile) on Monger Avenue, 269 e, 328 feet (0.06 mile) on H n Garden Boulevard, 104 fe nue, 35 feet (0.007 mile) or et) on Warren Street, 38 fe	s also extend on feet (0.05 mile) on omer Avenue, 820 eet (0.02 mile) on Eddy Street, 178 et (0.007 mile) on
Total Work Length:	0.54 Mile(s)	Total Work Area:	18.9 Acre(s)	
If yes, when did the Acceptability?	ess Document (IAD) <sup>1</sup> required FHWA provide a Determination uired; a copy of the approved the IAD.	on of Engineering and Operati		No X request for

This is page 5 of 41 Project name: <u>Hively Avenue Overpass</u> Date: <u>November 30, 2022</u>

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933

Describe location of project including township, range, city, county, roads, etc. Existing conditions should include current conditions, current deficiencies, roadway description, surrounding features, etc. Preferred alternative should include the scope of work, anticipated impacts, and how the project will meet the Purpose and Need. Logical termini and independent utility also need discussed.

#### Location

The project is located on Hively Avenue at the Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing (AAR/DOT Crossing number 961515P) in Elkhart, Elkhart County, Indiana (Appendix B, page 1). The west terminus is 0.03 mile east of Burr Oak Avenue to approximately 0.54 mile to the east terminus approximately 0.02 mile west of Clayton Avenue. The project limits also extend along intersecting side streets on Monger Avenue, Roosevelt Avenue, Morton Avenue, Homer Avenue, Main Street, Garden Boulevard, Sterling Avenue, Hammond Avenue, Eddy Street, Lowell Avenue, Dover Street, Yuma Avenue, Warren Street, and Hazel Street. The project is in Sections 15 and 16, Township 37 North, Range 5 East as shown on the Elkhart, Indiana 7.5-minute guadrangle map (Appendix B, page 2).

#### **Existing Conditions**

Hively Avenue is classified as a Principal Arterial that is a major corridor connecting the west side of Elkhart to the east side of Elkhart. Hively Avenue crosses the Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing east of Main Street and west of Sterling Avenue. Hively Avenue, from Bismark Avenue to Main Street consists of two (2) 11-foot wide asphalt lanes, one (1) 11-foot middle turning lane, and two (2) 5-foot wide bike lanes. There is existing sidewalk and curb on both sides of the roadway in this location. From Main Street to Sterling Avenue, Hively Avenue consists of four (4) 11-foot wide asphalt lanes with curb on both sides of the roadway and a sidewalk with a utility strip on the north side of the roadway. From Sterling Avenue to Hazel Street, Hively Avenue consists of two (2) 15-foot wide asphalt lanes with curb on both sides. For this section, both eastbound and westbound lanes are transitioning from two travel lanes down to one travel lane per direction. Main Street is a Minor Arterial and traffic travels northwest and southeast. Main Street traffic travels north into downtown Elkhart and south turning into US 33, south of US 20, and connects Elkhart to Goshen (Appendix B, page 3). Main Street, south of Hively Avenue, has four (4) 11-foot wide asphalt lanes with a 2-foot 6-inch centerline separation and curb and sidewalk on both sides. Main Street, north of Hively Avenue, consists of four (4) 11-foot asphalt lanes with curb on both sides. The west side of Main Street has a utility strip and sidewalk on both sides of the roadway at this location. Sterling Avenue is classified as a Local Agency Collector with traffic traveling northwest and southeast. Sterling Avenue consists of two (2) 11-foot asphalt travel lanes with 5-foot bike lanes in both directions. Hammond Avenue is a Local Agency Collector with traffic traveling northwest and southeast. Hammond Avenue consists of two (2) 12-foot asphalt travel lanes with a utility strip and 9-foot bike path on the west side of the road. There are also various local city streets within the project limits (including Monger Avenue, Morton Avenue, Roosevelt Avenue, Lowell Avenue, and Warren Street) all of which consist of two (2) 10-foot to 12-foot travel lanes. Monger Avenue, Morton Avenue, and Roosevelt Avenue all have sidewalk along both sides of the road.

Throughout the project area there are sidewalks and curb ramps that do not meet current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance. Non-ADA compliant curb ramps are located at the entrance of the Zion Missionary Church, the entrance to El Rosal supermarket, and at the intersection of Hively Avenue and Main Street. There is no sidewalk along Hively Avenue east of the Norfolk Southern Railroad tracks. Existing sidewalks run along Main Street on both the west and east side, however the sidewalk ends just north of Hively Avenue on the east side of Main Street near the Midas business. There are also non-ADA compliant sidewalk and curb ramps at the intersection of Main Street and Garden Boulevard and locations with no curb ramps near KFC, located off Main Street, where there is an existing bus stop. The Interurban Trolley Red Line is a City of Elkhart bus service that runs through the project along Main Street. There are two flag bus stops located within the project area, meaning the bus will only stop when flagged by passengers. The two flagged stops are Stop 43 which is an inbound stop (near KFC) located south of the Hively Avenue and Main Street intersection and Stop 14 which is an outbound stop located just north of the Hively Avenue and Main Street intersection (Appendix B, page 5). There is a lack of ADA compliant sidewalk connections at the location of both flag bus stops. Crosswalks are located in certain locations on Hively Avenue near Monger Elementary School and at the intersection at Hively Avenue and Main Street.

The Norfolk Southern Railroad is a three-track rail line that runs north and south. The Norfolk Southern rail yard is located approximately 3.8 miles northwest of Hively Avenue and Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing. Approximately 70-100 trains pass through the Hively Avenue crossing per day as identified in the traffic analysis done in the 2019 Engineer's Report (Appendix M, page 1). Generally, freight movements are expected to increase, and trains continue to become longer, putting additional strain on existing transportation systems.

The primary land uses within and adjacent to the project area consist of residential, commercial, and industrial. It is industrial and heavy commercial along the north-south roadway and rail network with residential and light commercial along Hively Avenue, the east-west connector. The current land use pattern is residential and commercial. Residential and businesses lie immediately adjacent to the main roadways, driveways, side-street and curb cuts provide direct access to these homes and businesses. Monger Elementary School and Zion Missionary Church are in the western section of the project, numerous businesses are located at the intersections of Hively Avenue and Main Street and the intersection of Hively and Sterling/Hammond Avenue. There is a public trail,

This is page 6 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date: November 30, 202	2

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
--------	---------	-------	--------------------	----------	---------	--

the MapleHeart Trail, located along Hammond Avenue (Appendix B, page 4). The off-road portion of the trail ends at Hively Avenue and currently has a cross-walk to connect from Hammond Avenue to Sterling Avenue and becomes an on-road route. The MapleHeart Trail serves as a connection to the Elkhart Environmental Center located outside of the project area (Appendix B, page 3). Since there are no sidewalks located east of the Norfolk Southern Railroad there is no existing sidewalk connection to the MapleHeart Trail.

A previous City of Elkhart project located at E. Indiana Avenue created an underpass at the crossing with Norfolk Southern Railroad, located approximately 1.2 miles northwest of the existing Hively Avenue and Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing. The other at-grade crossings within the network area include E. Lusher Avenue, Sunnyside Avenue, and County Road (CR) 13. Both E. Lusher Avenue and CR 13 serve only local traffic (Appendix B, page 3).

The existing conditions within the project area include key deficiencies that were taken into consideration during engineering design (Appendix B, page 5). These include:

- · Lack of connectivity/mobility
- Traffic backups/congestion at Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing
- LOS at Railroad crossing of "F" and "D" at Main Street
- Lack of sidewalk connections in certain areas along Hively Avenue
- Lack of safe pedestrian crossing at Norfolk Southern Railroad
- Non-ADA compliant curb ramps and sidewalk
- Lack of crosswalk markings at intersection of Hively Avenue and Main Street
- Lack of connection to existing MapleHeart Trail
- Flag bus stop locations near sidewalk with no curb ramps
- Within an Elementary School walk zone but does not have complete sidewalks/connection throughout

#### Preferred Alternative (Alternate 3A: Realign Hively to South; Connection Roadway to North)

This project proposes eliminating the existing Norfolk Southern Railroad at-grade-crossing at Hively Avenue by creating a new grade separation (bridge) which will carry Hively Avenue over the Norfolk Southern Railroad, Main Street, and Hammond Avenue. A bridge number will be assigned to this structure as the design progresses. The bridge will raise the Hively Avenue profile approximately 23.22 feet above the Norfolk Southern Railroad which meets the minimum 23 feet vertical clearance required for railroads. Hively Avenue will be reconstructed and shifted to the south from Monger Avenue, shifting approximately 178 feet at the Roosevelt Avenue intersection to then connect back to the existing alignment where it connects with Hazel Street. Sidewalk will be added on both sides of Hively Avenue near Bismark Avenue extending east to Roosevelt Avenue and sidewalk connections will be added on Monger Avenue, Morton Avenue, Roosevelt Avenue, and Main Street. ADA compliant curb ramps will be added where new sidewalks are constructed along all local streets shown in Table 1. An intersection modification will be added at Homer Avenue transforming the intersection into a Cul-De-Sac, 350 feet south of Main Street due to the closure of the Main Street intersection. Hammond and Sterling Avenue will be realigned to be directly in line with each other allowing traffic to be directed northeast to southwest under the Hively Avenue grade separation. Lowell Avenue will be realigned approximately 200 feet north of existing Hively avenue to extend southwest and intersect with Sterling Avenue. Roosevelt Avenue will be extended approximately 540 feet north of Hively Avenue to connect to Main Street (Appendix B, page 6). Eddy Street will be realigned to extend south to the new Lowell Street Alignment by 20 feet. Realignment and reconstruction of Warren Street will occur approximately 190 feet north of Hively Avenue and alignment of approximately 970 feet south of existing Hively Avenue.

Hively Avenue will have a bike path west of the bridge, 10 foot sidewalk on the bridge, and a multi-use path along the north side of the roadway east of the bridge. The multi-use path will connect to Hammond Avenue and to the MapleHeart Trail. The MapleHeart Trail will be realigned with Hammond Avenue and include a new crosswalk provided for connection to the MapleHeart Trail along Sterling Avenue. Intersecting side streets will have pavement improvements and reconstructed drive approaches where necessary. New drainage infrastructure, including curb inlets, ditch inlets, and roadside ditches, will be added as required throughout the project limits. Traffic signals will be added to the Hively Avenue and Roosevelt intersection, Hively Avenue and Warren Street intersection, and Roosevelt Avenue and Main Street intersection.

Table 2. Proposed Sidewalks/ADA Compliance

Intersection	Quadrant Location
Hively Avenue & Monger Avenue	NE & NW Quadrants
Hively Avenue & Roosevelt Avenue	NE, NW, SE & SW Quadrants
Hively Avenue & Warren Street	NW Quadrant

This is page 7 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30	), 202	.2
---	--------	----

East Hively Avenue

Des. No.

1801933

Route

<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Main Street & Roosevelt Avenue	NE, NW & SW Quadrants
Main Street & Garden Blvd	NW & SW Quadrants
Hammond Avenue & Lowell Avenue	SE & SW Quadrants
Morton Avenue & Roosevelt Avenue	NW & NE Quadrants

To accommodate a truck route, a full depth reconstruction of the pavement on Warren Street and curb and gutter will be added adjacent to each travel lane south of Hively Avenue. Curb Inlets will be provided and drive approaches will be reconstructed where required along Warren Street. The Warren Street approach at Hammond Avenue will be reconstructed to accommodate truck turning movements.

A green space is proposed in the area between the new Roosevelt Avenue extension and Hively Avenue and Main Street (Appendix B, page 11). This will be located near the relocated flag bus stop, Stop 43, that will now have sidewalk connection. Bus Stop 14 will remain in the same location but improved connection will be provided with the new sidewalks along Main Street.

After the Preferred Alternative is constructed and the new facility is open to traffic, the City of Elkhart and Norfolk Southern will negotiate the crossing closure required by the Local Grant Agreement. As proposed, this closure will occur at E. Lusher Avenue, however, another location could be agreed upon. Any subsequent local road (railroad crossing location on the local network) closure will be executed as a separate project with local funding per the City of Elkhart's Board of Works sometime in the future. The timing of these activities is undefined at this time.

The proposed improvements will avoid impacts to community resources, residential, and commercial properties to the greatest extent possible. The project will require the purchase of permanent and temporary right-of-way (ROW), approximately 10.32 acres of permanent ROW and 0.88 acres of temporary ROW. Reference the ROW section of this document for more details. The project will also require the relocation of twenty-one (21) residential properties and six (6) commercial properties and the acquisition of one (1) residential property (Appendix B, page 8). An acquisition refers to a purchase of a vacant property.

The preferred alternative meets the purpose and need of the project. The construction of the overpass will alleviate the at-grade crossing of Hively Avenue and the Norfolk Southern Railroad tracks and allow vehicular traffic, bicycle and pedestrian movements and trains to move independently of one another. This will reduce congestion and improve mobility and overall travel reliability within the project area. The LOS is anticipated to be a "C". The preferred alternative also includes a truck route that was included after public comments were received at PIM #2. The sidewalk network will be greatly improved; new, connected, ADA compliant sidewalks along Hively Avenue and adajcent side streets, Main Street, Roosevelt Avenue, and Hammond Aveune allow a connection to Monger Elementary School, El Rosal supermarket, churches, residential and businesses; which is an overall benefit to the community. The sidewalk improvements in the vicinity of Monger Elementary are consistent with Safe Routes to School goals identified in local plans including the MACOG Michiana on the Move: Transportation Plan 2045. The improved sidewalks also provide connectivity to the MapleHeart Trail which is lacking in the existing condition; this will also improve neighborhood connectivity to the Environmental Center. Pedestrian access to the transit stop will be improved with ADA compliant sidewalk and may be further enhanced by the proposed green space located between the mainline of the new roadway and bridge and the connection back to Hively on the westside. Project plans can be found in Appendix B, pages 29 to 122.

#### **Additional Information**

County

Elkhart

The maintenance of traffic (MOT) plan for the project will be split into phases, Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3 (Appendix B, pages 41, 43, and 45). Phase 1 will keep Hively Avenue open as construction begins south of Hively Avenue and closures will be required on intersecting side streets including Roosevelt Avenue, Homer Avenue, Hammond Avenue, and Warren Street. During this time a detour route will be provided utilizing US 33 and CR 45 (Appendix B, page 39). Phase 2 will require temporary closures on Monger Avenue, sections of Hively Avenue, Roosevelt Avenue, Warren Street and a detour route will be provided utilizing Pleasant Plain Avenue, US 20, and CR 13 (Appendix B, page 43). Phase 3 includes the permanent closure of the existing Hively Avenue alignment and railroad crossing and allows traffic onto the new Hively Avenue alignment (Appendix B, page 45). One of the benefits of the preferred alternative is that the existing Hively Avenue roadway will remain open throughout most of the project construction and will only be closed for a few months during construction. Reference the MOT section of this document for additional MOT details. MOT will be provided for pedestrians and bicyclists utilizing local side streets. This MOT will be shown in the Stage 3 plans. The bus route which uses Main Street should be able to keep its normal route however Stop 43 may need to be temporarily moved during construction. There will be continued coordination with the City of Elkhart for the Interurban Trolley Red Line and included as a project commitment. All applicable recommendations are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this EA document.

The preferred alternative has independent utility, meaning its intent is to grade separate Hively Avenue from the Norfolk Southern Railroad crossing and it includes the necessary adjacent side streets to make it a stand-alone project. The project's logical termini is based on roadway and bridge geometry to connect the new alignment back to the existing network and adjacent side streets.

This is page 8 of 41 Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022
------------------------------------	------------------------	-------	-------------------

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	

#### OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Provide a header for each alternative. Describe all discarded alternatives, including the No Build Alternative. Explain why each discarded alternative was not selected. Make sure to state how each alternative meets or does not meet the Purpose and Need and why.

Four (4) other alternatives were considered. These alternatives were identified in the November 2019 Engineer's Report prepared by Michael Baker International, Inc. (Michael Baker) which built upon the 2017 Feasibility Study. These alternatives are discussed in detail in the Engineer's Report in Appendix M.

#### Alternative 1: No Build

The No Build (do nothing) alternative would leave the existing at-grade crossing of Hively Avenue and Norfolk Southern Railroad in place, as is, with minor improvements and routine maintenance. The existing deficiencies within the Study Area would continue to cause safety concerns, limit mobility and access, and fail to meet ADA requirements. The No Build does not meet the purpose and need and the No Build provides no net benefit to the community. However, the No Build alternative is an important part of project evaluation as a baseline condition.

#### Alternative 2: Alternate 2A, Maintain Hively Avenue Alignment; Connection Roadway to South

Alternate 2A would maintain the existing alignment of Hively Avenue. A connection roadway would be added between the Monger Avenue intersection and Main Street to be placed to the south. The grade crossing would be a single span bridge that would span 121 feet and one two span bridge at 118 feet. While the existing alignment would be maintained, it would require a long-term closure of two (2) years during construction, cutting off access to local community resources and businesses. Alternate 2A meets the purpose and need however was not preferred due to less desirable intersection geometry and sight distance, cost of construction, and the long-term closure during construction. This alternative has similar natural/human impacts as the other build alternatives.

#### Alternative 3: Alternate 2B, Maintain Hively Avenue Alignment; Use Bismark as Connecting Roadway

Alternate 2B would maintain the existing alignment of Hively Avenue. Bismark Avenue would be used as a connecting roadway between Hively Avenue and Main Street. The grade crossing would consist of one single span bridge at 121 feet and one two span bridge at 118 feet span lengths. While the existing alignment would be maintained, it would require a long-term closure of two (2) years during construction, cutting off access to local community resources and businesses. Alternate 2B meets the purpose and need however was not the preferred due to less desirable intersection geometry and sight distance, cost of construction, and the long-term closure during construction. This alternative has similar natural/human impacts as the other build alternatives.

#### Alternative 4: Alternate 4A, Realign Hively Avenue to South; Use Bismark as Connecting Roadway

Alternate 4A would realign Hively Avenue to the south. Bismark would be used as a connecting roadway between Hively Avenue and Main Street. The grade crossing would consist of one single span with a span of 88 feet and one two span bridge with 121'6" span lengths. Alternate 4B meets the purpose and the need however it was not the preferred due to less desirable intersection geometry and sight distance and construction cost. This alternative is similar natural/human impacts as the other build alternatives.

### No Build vs Build Alternative Comparison

The three (3) build alternatives and the preferred alternative were compared to one another and to the no build. Generally, Alternate 2A and Alternate 2B would keep the grade separation (overpass) on the existing Hively Avenue alignment. This would require a long-term closure and complete traffic detour of Hively Avenue for over two (2) years during construction. Alternative 4A would shift the alignment to the south, avoiding long-term closures and complete traffic detours. The range of build alternatives considered and evaluated environmental, socio-economic and community impacts as well as design criteria and the ability to address existing deficiencies and minimize and avoid impacts, to the extent possible. All alternatives were evaluated for meeting the purpose and need along with benefits and potential impacts as shown in Table 3. All the build alternatives provide improved safety and mobility, bike/pedestrian improvements, and are consistent with regional and local comprehensive plans. All the build alternatives meet the purpose and need and have comparable potential impacts. Shifting the alignment to the north would have had similar residential and commercial impacts and would have displaced the El Rosal supermarket, therefore it was dismissed early on.

The build alternatives were further compared to one another for key engineering considerations as shown in Table 4. A major key consideration was the closure of Hively Avenue during construction. The long-term closure of Hively Avenue for Alternative 2A and 2B would impact access to local businesses in the immediate vicinity of the project and impact regional mobility, secondary but important impacts to consider. These secondary impacts may have long term impacts on local businesses and the community who relies on those businesses for goods and services. El Rosal supermarket is of particular concern given the difficulties its customers may encounter due to prolonged access impacts. Shifting the alignment to the south, Alternative 3A and 4A, avoided these impacts

This is page 9 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
--------	---------	-------	--------------------	----------	---------

to the local El Rosal supermarket and other businesses.

Table 3. No Build and Build Benefits and Potential Impacts\*

Benefits/Potential Impacts (Temporary and Permanent)	No Build				
· · ·		Alternate 2A	Alternate 2B	Alternate 3A (Preferred)	Alternate 4A
Improved Safety and Mobility	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bike/Pedestrian Improvements	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Community Impacts	None	Minimum	Minimum	Minimum	Minimum
Property Impacts (by Parcel)*					
Residential	0	37	30	35	34
Commercial	0	11	7	10	8
Other/Community	0	4	5	2	4
Potential Hazardous Waste Sites (combination of high and medium potential sites)	0	4	4	4	5
Public Resources (MapleHeart Trail) Impact	None	Temporary	Temporary	Temporary	Temporary
Environmental Justice Considerations	XX	Potential	Potential	Potential	Potential
Consistent with Regional and Local Comprehensive Plans	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Meets Purpose and Need	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

<sup>\*</sup>This analysis of parcel impacts was conducted in January 2021

Table 4. Key Engineering Considerations for Build Alternatives

Key Engineering Considerations	Alternate 2A	Alternate 2B	Alternate 3A (Preferred)	Alternate 4A
Maintains Hively Ave Current Alignment	Yes	Yes	No	No
Short-term Closure of Hively During Construction	No*	No*	Yes	Yes
Desirable Intersection Geometry and Sight Distance	No	No	Yes	No
Maintains Side Street Access	No	No	Yes	Yes
Minimal Traffic Increase on Bismark Ave	Yes	No	Yes	No
Lowest Estimated Construction Cost	No	No	Yes	No

<sup>\*</sup>Would require a long-term closure for over two years during construction

The No Build Alternative is not feasible, prudent or practicable because (Mark all that apply)	
It would not correct existing capacity deficiencies;	
It would not correct existing safety hazards;	X
It would not correct the existing roadway geometric deficiencies;	
It would not correct existing deteriorated conditions and maintenance problems; or	
It would result in serious impacts to the motoring public and general welfare of the economy.	
Other (It would not address the Purpose and Need):	Х

This is page 10 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart		Route	East Hive	ly Avenue	Des. N	o. <u>1801933</u>
ROADWA	AY CHARACTER	:					
f the propos	sed action includes	multiple roadways	s, complete	and duplic	ate for each roa	idway.	
Current AD Design Ho	Classification:			itage (%)	ign Year ADT: 2.50 35 (posted)	9,900	VPD (2042)
		Existing			Proposed		
She Sid	Classification: DT: ur Volume (DHV):	Thru, E Varies 30-48 N/A N/A 4  X Urban Level  Main Street Minor Arterial 14,640 1,700 Tru	Varies 2-4 Bike & Turr ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. vPD (202	S R	Var	ies 2-3 & Turn Lanes  Rur Hill	
Designed (	Speed (mph):		gal Speed	(IIIpII <i>)</i>			
Nu	mber of Lanes:	Existing	Varies 3-4		<b>Proposed</b> Var	ries 3-4	
Pa Sh Me Sid	pe of Lanes: vement Width: oulder Width: edian Width: dewalk Width: tting: pography:	Thru Varies 45-46.5 N/A N/A 4  X Urban Level	u & Turn La ft. ft. ft. ft.	s	Thru & Th	Turn Lanes  Rur	
Name of R Functional Current AE Design Ho	Roadway Classification:	Roosevelt Ave Local Street 340 190 Tru	nue (south VPD (202 uck Percen gal Speed	of Hively A	J	1,170	VPD (2042)

County Elkhart		Route	East Hive	ely Avenue	Des. N	o. <u>1801933</u>	
	Existing			Proposed			
Number of Lanes:		2			2		
Type of Lanes:		Thru & Tu	ırn Lanes		ı & Turn Lanes		
Pavement Width:	22	ft.		22 ft.			
Shoulder Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Median Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Sidewalk Width:	4.5	ft.		5 ft.			
0.41							
Setting:	X Urban			Suburban	Rui		
Topography:	X Level		F	Rolling	Hill	У	
Name of Roadway	Roosevelt Ave	enue (north	of Hively A	venue)			
Functional Classification:	Minor Arterial	orido (Horar	1 Of Thivoly 7	iveride)			=
Current ADT:	N/A	VPD (202	22) Des	sign Year ADT:	8,490	VPD (2042)	_
Design Hour Volume (DHV):		uck Percer		2.50	0,100	VI B (20 12)	_
Designed Speed (mph):		egal Speed		30			
Boolghou opood (mph).		gai opood	ι (ιιιριι).				
	Existing			Proposed			
Number of Lanes:		N/A			3		
Type of Lanes:		N/A			Turn Lanes		
Pavement Width:	N/A	ft.		42 ft.			
Shoulder Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Median Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Sidewalk Width:	N/A	ft.		8-10 ft.			
Catting	V Urban			b.urb.on	D	·al	
Setting:	X Urban X Level			Suburban	Rui		
Topography:	X Level			Rolling	Hill	у	
Name of Roadway	Hammond/Ste	erlina Aven	ue				
Functional Classification:	Local Agency						_
Current ADT:	3,610	VPD (20	22) Des	sign Year ADT:	4,340	VPD (2042)	_
Design Hour Volume (DHV):		uck Percer		3.00		= (==:=)	_
Designed Speed (mph):		egal Speed	• ,	35			
ga (p).		ga. opooa	. (				
	Existing			Proposed			
Number of Lanes:		2			2		
Type of Lanes:		u & Bike L	.anes	<del></del>	Bike Lanes		
Pavement Width:	Varies	ft.		Varies ft.			
Observation WAS dates	22-30			24-28			
Shoulder Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Median Width:	N/A	ft.		N/A ft.			
Sidewalk Width:	8	ft.		10ft.			
Setting:	X Urban			Suburban	Rui	·al	
Topography:	X Level			Rolling	Hill		
ropograpny.	X LCVCI		·	Coming		y	
Name of Roadway	Warren Street	(south of I	Hively Aven	ue)			
Functional Classification:	Local Agency		<u>,                                     </u>	,			=
Current ADT:	110	VPD (202	22) Des	sign Year ADT:	2,040	VPD (2042)	_
Design Hour Volume (DHV):		uck Percer		3.00		\/	_
Designed Speed (mph):		egal Speed		25			
= 9a. akaaa /k/.		.J JP000	· ····P···/·				
This is page 12 of 41 P	Project name: I	Hively Ave	nue Overpa	SS	D	ate: Novembe	r 30, 202
	, <u>-</u>		pu				, <b></b>

County Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No. 180193	33
	Existing	Proposed		
Number of Lanes:	2	Varies	2-3	
Type of Lanes:	Thru Lane			
Pavement Width:	ft	Varies ft.		
	26	24-38		
Shoulder Width:	2 ft.	N/A ft.		
Median Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Sidewalk Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
0 11:				
Setting:	X Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Topography:	X Level	Rolling	Hilly	
Name of Roadway	Warren Street (north of h	lively Avenue)		
Functional Classification:	Local Street			
Current ADT:	110 VPD (20)	22) Design Year ADT:	2,040 VPD (2042)	
Design Hour Volume (DHV):	210 Truck Percei	ntage (%) 3.00		
Designed Speed (mph):	30 Legal Speed	I (mph): 30		
	Existing	Proposed		
Number of Lanes:	2			
Type of Lanes:	Thru Lane		n Lanes	
Pavement Width:	26 ft.	36 ft.		
Shoulder Width:	2 ft.	N/A ft.		
Median Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Sidewalk Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Setting:	X Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Topography:	X Level	Rolling	Hilly	
Name of Roadway	Monger Avenue			
Functional Classification:	Local Street			
Current ADT:	270 VPD (202	22) Design Year ADT:	870 VPD (2042)	)
Design Hour Volume (DHV):	120 Truck Percer	 ntage (%)  2.50		
Designed Speed (mph):	20 Legal Speed			
	Eviatina	Dranagad		
Number of Lanes:	Existing 2	Proposed 2		
Type of Lanes:	Thru Lane			
Pavement Width:	27 ft.	24 ft.		
Shoulder Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Median Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Sidewalk Width:	4.5 ft.	5 ft.		
Catting	V Hubarr	0	Durel	
Setting:	X Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Topography:	X Level	Rolling	Hilly	
	-			

This is page 13 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass

Date: November 30, 2022

Count	y Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
Name (	of Roadway	Lowell Avenue			
Current	•	120 VPD (2022	) Design Year ADT:	120 VP	D (2042)
	Hour Volume (DHV):	10 Truck Percenta			<u> </u>
	ed Speed (mph):	25 Legal Speed (			
		Existing	Proposed		
	Number of Lanes:	2	1100000	2	
	Type of Lanes:	Thru Lanes		Lanes	
L	Pavement Width:	20 ft.	24 ft.		
F	Shoulder Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
F	Median Width: Sidewalk Width:	N/A ft. N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
L	Sidewaik Width.	IN/A It.	IN/A II.		
	Setting:	X Urban	Suburban	Rural	
	Topography:	X Level	Rolling	Hilly	
				<del></del>	
Nama	of Boodway	Martan Avanua			
	of Roadway onal Classification:	Morton Avenue Local Street			
Current	-	600 VPD (2022	?) Design Year ADT:	600 VP	D (2042)
-	Hour Volume (DHV):	80 Truck Percenta		000 VI	<u>D (2042)</u>
	ed Speed (mph):	25 Legal Speed (i	• ,		
Ū	,		. ,		
Г	Number of Lanes:	Existing 2	Proposed	2	
F	Type of Lanes:	Thru Lanes	Thru	Lanes	
F	Pavement Width:	22 ft.	24 ft.	Lanco	
F	Shoulder Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
	Median Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
L	Sidewalk Width:	5 ft.	5 ft.		
	Setting:	<b>X</b> Urban	Suburban	Rural	
	Topography:	X Level	Rolling	Hilly	
	ropography.		rtolling	·y	
BRIDO	SES AND/OR SMAL	L STRUCTURE(S):			
		multiple structures, complete	and dunlicate for each brid	lae and/or small stru	cture Include both
		and/or small structure(s) in t		ige anu/or siriali siru	cture. Include both
<b>.</b>	aa p. op 0000 aago(0)				
Structu	re/NBI Number(s):	To be determined	Sufficiency R		
				(Rating	, Source of Information)
		Existing	Proposed		
Γ	Bridge/Structure Type:		Continuous Pro	estress Girder	
F	Number of Spans:	N/A	4		
ļ	Weight Restrictions:	N/A ton	HL93 ton		
	Height Restrictions:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
	Curb to Curb Width:	N/A ft.	32'-0" ft.		
	Outside to Outside Wig		45'-1" ft.		
L	Shoulder Width:	N/A ft.	6'-0" ft.		

	Indiana Depa	artment of Transpor	tation		
County Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
Describe impacts and work involving bristructure number, type, size (length and large. If the table exceeds a complete partner are no existing structures within will carry Hively Avenue over Main Sample realigned to the south of its current aligned.	dia.), location and age, put it in the ap the project area. Threet, the Norfolk S	impacts to water. Use a tab opendix and summarize the The project involves the cor Southern Railroad, and Ste	ole if the number of s information below wastruction of a new o	small structures bed vith a citation to the grade separation bu	comes table. ridge that
The new bridge will be a four-span of mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) w 32-foot curb to curb width. The bridge feet over the railroad meeting height re which includes a 10-foot, 7-inch sides design progresses.	alls for the approac will vary in height equirements. The br	thes. The bridge will be appoint with a minimum clearance ridge will provide two 12-foo	roximately 130 feet of 20.08 feet tall ov t travel lanes, and a	long and 45 feet wi ver the roadways a 12-foot, 7-inch left	de with a nd 23.32 shoulder
The new bridge is being designed to horizontal and vertical clearances. The					nents for
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MO	OT) DURING CO	NSTRUCTION:			
Is a temporary bridge proposed Is a temporary roadway proposed Will the project involve the use Provisions will be made for Provisions will be made for Provisions will be made to a Will the proposed MOT substant Is there substantial controversy Discuss closures and/or facilities (if any) measures should be quantified to the exwetlands. Any local concerns about accompany to the maintenance of traffic (MOT) plar 41, 43, and 45). Phase 1 will keep Hiv on intersecting side streets including fedeour route will be provided utilizing the Avenue, sections of Hively Avenue, Favenue, US 20, and CR 13 (Appendix and railroad crossing and allows traffice preferred alternative is that the existing only be closed for a few months durin will be provided for pedestrians and bis which uses Main Street should be a construction. There will be continued project commitment. All applicable recomplect commitment. All applicable recomplect completion.	red? of a detour or requirencess by local trafthrough-traffic dependencement associated with the seasociated with	fic and so posted. Indent businesses. Incal special events or festiva nvironmental consequences e proposed method for MOT and for maintenance of traffic cularly with respect to proper should be detailed as well.  The split into phases, Phases construction begins south Homer Avenue, Hammond Appendix B, page 39). Phase Warren Street and a detoute 3 includes the permanent wely Avenue alignment (Appendix Warren Street and a detoute a includes the permanent wely Avenue alignment (Appendix Warren Street and a detoute alignment (Appendix Streets. This MOT were the MOT section of all side streets. This MOT were route however Stop at the City of Elkhart for the included in the Environment on venience to traveling moded as the existing Hively	als. s of the action? T?  Any known impacrities such as Section e 1, Phase 2, and Form of Hively Avenue at Avenue, and Warre se 2 will require tender route will be proviced by the existing pendix B, page 45) bughout most of the this document for a sill be shown in the Section 143 may need to be all the existing the existing the existing the shown in the Section 150 may need to be all the existing the exis	Phase 3 (Appendix and closures will be en Street. During the approach of the benefit of the bene	B, pages required als time a monger ant Plain alignment its of the mand will alls. MOT bus route and during ded as a mument.

Date: November 30, 2022

This is page 15 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass

County	Elk	khar	t		Route _	East Hively Ave	enue	Des. N	Ο.	1801933	
ESTIMATED PROJECT COST AND SCHEDULE:											
Engineerin	ng:	\$_	1,058,937	(2022)	Right-of-Way:	\$ 3,500,000	(2023)	Construction:	\$_	10,336,869	(2024)
Anticipated Start Date of Construction:				uction:	Spring 2024			-			

#### **RIGHT OF WAY:**

	Amour	nt (acres)
Land Use Impacts	Permanent	Temporary
Desidential	0.00	0.47
Residential	6.23	0.17
Commercial	3.72	0.14
Agricultural	0.00	0.00
Forest	0.00	0.00
Wetlands	0.00	0.00
Other: Church, School, Utility	0.37	0.57
Other:		
TOTAL	10.32	0.88

Describe both Permanent and Temporary right-of-way and describe their current use. Typical and Maximum right-of-way widths (existing and proposed) should also be discussed. Any advance acquisition, reacquisition or easements, either known or suspected, and their impacts on the environmental analysis should be discussed.

The existing typical ROW widths range from 25 feet from the centerline to a maximum width of 38 feet from the centerline in certain areas of the project area.

The project requires approximately 10.32 of permanent ROW to accommodate the proposed project footprint. The permanent ROW consists of approximately 6.23 acres that will be acquired from residential, 3.72 acres from commercial, and 0.37 acre from other land use including 0.11 acre from Elkhart Community Schools, 0.12 acre from churches, 0.14 acre from utilities. The project also requires approximately 0.88 acre of temporary ROW for grading and construction activities. The temporary ROW consists of approximately 0.17 acre from residential, 0.14 from commercial, and 0.57 acre from other land use including 0.02 from churches and 0.55 from the Norfolk Southern Railroad Company. A Master Property Impact Table that breaks down the ROW by parcel ID, address, and land use can be found in Appendix B, page 9.

If the scope of work or permanent or temporary right-of-way amounts change, the INDOT Environmental Services Division (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will be contacted immediately.

This is page 16 of 41 Project name: <u>Hively Avenue Overpass</u> Date: <u>November 30, 2022</u>

County	<i>r</i> Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	

# Part III - Identification and Evaluation of Impacts of the Proposed Action

#### **SECTION A - EARLY COORDINATION:**

List the date(s) coordination was sent and all resource agencies that were contacted as a part of the development of this Environmental Study. Also, include the date of their response or indicate that no response was received.

Early coordination letters were sent on May 23, 2019. A copy of the early coordination letter and responses can be found in Appendix C, page 1. The early coordination recipient list is shown in Table 5 with the date responses were received.

Table 5. Early Coordination List

Agency	Date Sent	Date Response Received	Appendix
Indiana Geological and Water Survey (IGWS)	May 23, 2019	May 23, 2019	Appendix C, page 5
Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM)	May 23, 2019	June 12, 2019	Appendix C, page 8
IDEM automated response	May 23, 2019	May 23, 2019	Appendix C, page 9
Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife (IDNR, DFW)	May 23, 2019	June 19, 2019	Appendix C, page 19
United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	May 23, 2019	May 29, 2019	Appendix C, page 35
United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	May 23, 2019	June 14, 2019	Appendix C, page 21
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)	May 23, 2019	November 1, 2021	Appendix C, page 24
United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	May 23, 2019	June 13, 2019	Appendix C, page 25
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	May 23, 2019	May 24, 2019	Appendix C, page 31
United States Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD)	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
National Park Service (NPS)	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
INDOT Central Office Environmental Services Division	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
INDOT Public Involvement Office	May 23, 2019	May 28, 2019	Appendix C, page 32
INDOT Fort Wayne District	May 23, 2019	May 24, 2019	Appendix C, page 33
City of Elkhart ROW Engineer	May 23, 2019	May 24, 2019	Appendix C, page 34
City of Elkhart Public Works and Utilities Department	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart Local Floodplain Administrator	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart County Surveyor	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart Planning and Development	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart County Parks and Recreation	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart County Stormwater	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Elkhart County Highway Department	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Office of the Mayor of Elkhart	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Greater Elkhart Chamber Commerce	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A
Monger Elementary School	May 23, 2019	No response received	N/A

The USEPA responded on May 23,2019 asking about additional project information (Appendix C, page 26). Coordination is on-going with the USEPA about the project and providing project information as the project progresses including coordination of the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) once completed (Appendix C, page 27). This continued coordination has been added as a firm project commitment. All applicable recommendations are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this EA document.

This is page 17 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

indiana Department of Transportation							
County Elkhar	t	Route	East Hively Av	venue	Des. No.	1801933	
SECTION B - EC	COLOGICAL RE	SOURCES:					
Streams, Rivers, Watercourses & Other Jurisdictional Features Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers State Natural, Scenic or Recreational Rivers Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) listed Outstanding Rivers List for Indiana Navigable Waterways  Total stream(s) in project area:  N/A Linear feet    Impacts Yes No							
Total stream(s) in p		LII	icai icci Totai	impacted stream(s	<i></i>	11/74	_ Ellical lect
Stream Name	Classification	Total Size in Project Area (linear feet)	Impacted linear feet	Comments (i.e. lo US, appendix refe		direction, like	ly Water of the
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
r state lists for Indiana. Include if features are subject to federal or state jurisdiction. Discuss measures to avoid, minimize, and nitigate if impacts will occur.  Based on a desktop review, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B, page 4), the water resource map in the Red Flag Investigation (RFI) report (Appendix E, page 11) there are eight streams, rivers, watercourse or jurisdictional ditches within the 0.5 mile search radius. That number was updated by the site visit on June 5, 2020 by Michael Baker. No streams, rivers, watercourses, or jurisdictional ditches are present within the project area, therefore, no impacts are expected.  Early coordination letters were sent on May 23, 2019 by Michael Baker to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). USFWS responded on May 29, 2019 stating that because the project will have minor impacts on natural resources USFWS will not be providing a comment letter (Appendix C, page 35). IDNR responded on June 19, 2019 with standard recommendations to avoid, minimize, or compensate for impacts to fish, wildlife, and botanical resources (Appendix C, page 19). IDEM's auto generated responses dated May 23, 2019 listed standard recommendations for water and biotic quality (Appendix C, page 9). USACE responded on June 14, 2019 stating that the project may require a permit if any proposed work occurs within a water of the United States or adjacent wetlands (Appendix C, page 21). Since there are no streams or watercourses within the project area no commitments are applicable.							
Reservo Lakes Farm Po Retentio Storm W				Presence	Impacts Yes N		

		maiana E	cparament of	Transportatio	· · · ·		
County Elkha	art	Ro	ute East Hively	Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
	ur to the features	identified. Include				acts (both permanent and Discuss measures to	
there are six lake	s within the 0.5 n	nile search radius	. That number was		e visit on June	port (Appendix E, page 11) 5, 2020 by Michael Baker. ted.	
Early coordination letters were sent on May 23, 2019 by Michael Baker to the USFWS, IDNR, IDEM, and USACE. USFWS responded on May 29, 2019 stating that because the project will have minor impacts on natural resources USFWS will not be providing a comment letter (Appendix C, page 35). IDNR responded on June 19, 2019 with standard recommendations to avoid, minimize, or compensate for impacts to fish, wildlife, and botanical resources (Appendix C, page 19). IDEM's auto generated responses dated May 23, 2019 listed standard recommendations for water and biotic quality (Appendix C, page 9). USACE responded on June 14, 2019 stating that the project may require a permit if any proposed work occurs within a water of the United States or adjacent wetlands (Appendix C, page 21). Since there are no open water features within the project area no commitments are applicable.							
				Presen	<u>ce</u>	<u>Impacts</u>	
Wetlands					Ye	s No	
Total wetland are	a: _	N/A	Acre(s) Total v	wetland area impacte	ed:	N/A Acre(s)	
(If a determination has not been made for non-isolated/isolated wetlands, fill in the total wetland area impacted above.)							
Wetland No.	Classification	Total Size (Acres)	Impacted Acres	Comments (i.e. loc reference)	cation, likely Wa	ater of the US, appendix	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	I			l			
			<u>Document</u>	<u>ation</u>	ESD App	proval Dates	
	(Mark all that ap	oly)		1 -			
	d Determination d Delineation			-			
	E Isolated Waters	Determination					
				e not practicable b	ecause such a	avoidance	
	,	nat apply and expl pacts to adiacent	,	or other improved pro	operties:		
	tantially increased	•	•				
			e, or safety problem				
		cial, economic, or g the identified ne	r environmental imp	pacts, or			
				de whether or not im	nacts (both no	rmanent and temporary	
Describe all wetlands identified adjacent or within the project area. Include whether or not impacts (both permanent and temporary) will occur to the features identified. Include if features are subject to federal or state jurisdiction. Discuss measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate if impacts will occur.							
Based on the desktop review, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B, page 4), and the RFI report (Appendix E, page 11) there are nineteen wetlands within the 0.5 mile search radius. That number was updated to zero (0) by the June 5, 2020 site visit by Michael Baker. No wetlands are present within or adjacent to the project area, therefore, no impacts are expected.							
	·				-		
						EM, and USACE. USFWS purces USFWS will not be	
	responded on May 29, 2019 stating that because the project will have minor impacts on natural resources USFWS will not be providing a comment letter (Appendix C, page 35). IDNR responded on June 19, 2019 with standard recommendations to avoid,						
minimize, or con	npensate for imp	acts to fish, wild	llife, and botanical	I resources (Appen	dix C, page 9	). IDEM's auto generated	
responses dated May 23, 2019 listed standard recommendations for water and biotic quality (Appendix C, page 9). USACE responded on June 14, 2019 stating that the project may require a permit if any proposed work occurs within a water of the United							

This is page 19 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route _	East Hively Av	<u>renue</u>	Des. No.	1801933	
States or applicable	adjacent wetlands (Appendix C, pa	age 21). Sind	ce there are i	no wetlands within	the project a	area no comm	nitments are
Te	rrestrial Habitat			<u>Presence</u>	Impacts Yes X	<u>s</u> No	
Total terre	strial habitat in project area:	6.57*	Acre(s)	Total tree clearin	ng:	6.57	Acre(s)
or not impac	oes of terrestrial habitat (i.e. forested cts will occur to habitat identified. Inc avoid, minimize, and mitigate if impa	clude total ter	restrial habitat				
project will total work homes, co anticipated within the authorized tree removes within 100 been added Early coordon June 19 fescue) ar slopes onl Indiana bacrevices, cotree which because the present, the sound within 100 been added to the sound in the	area is primarily residential and con remove approximately 73 trees (*6.4 area disturbance is anticipated to be ammercial properties, and parking I of for the tree removal as there is no parea located between the newly realisterrestrial habitats, including foresteval will not occur in these forested a feet from an existing roadway and ved as project commitments and are indication letters were sent on May 23 9, 2019 recommending to revegetated legumes as soon as possible upy; and minimize and contain within that or Northern Long-eared bat roosting or cavities) from April 1 through Septing is removed that is ten inches or grape proposed project will have minor ne USFWS will not be providing a gents section of this EA document.	57 acres, calor approximate ots. This exception of the control of	culated based by 20.6 acres beeds 1 acre; ulation that received Avenue and sent adjacent be demarcated marked and ventiles and sent adjacent by Environmental chael Baker to disturbed are in; low endoprinits all tree and and plant five transfer at-breast atural resource.	on the IPaC conversed includes app therefore, a Rule uires it. However, the discount of the project area and on plans as area will be removed during a Commitments seed the USFWS, IDNIE as with a mixture byte tall fescue mand brush clearing; a bid, living or dead, the living or dead, th	rsion of 73 tre roximately 9 a 5 permit is he project propendix B, particular by the project propendix B, particular by the inactivation of this E. R, IDEM, and of grasses (expresses (expresses in diameter exponded on ly endangered)	res multiplied be acres of maintain required. Mitigoposes to add gage 11). Impacts are exall trees to be rive bat season. A document.  USACE. IDNF xcluding all varied the ditch bottot any trees suinging bark, or reat-breast height May 29, 2021 dispecies are lighted.	by 0.09). The ained lawns, gation is not green space appected. The amoved are These have a responded rieties of tallom and side table for the with cracks, ght, for each stating that known to be
	otected Species derally Listed Bats Information for Planning and Consul Section 7 informal consultation com Section 7 formal consultation Biolog	pleted (IPaC	cannot be con	pleted)	Yes	N.	o 
De	termination Received for Listed Bats	from USFWS	S: N	E NLA	AA X	LAA	
	her Species not included in IPaC Additional federal species found in p State species (not bird) found in proj				Yes	No X X	
	gratory Birds Known usage or presence of birds (i State bird species based upon coord		DNR		Yes	X X	
This is	page 20 of 41 Project name: _ I	Hively Avenue	e Overpass		Date:	November 3	0, 2022

			0/0 0		0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0	
County	Elkhart		Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
bat and north occurred and Based on a Elkhart Cou 17). Accord Heritage P	hern long-eared by the determination of the determination of the desktop review only Endangered ding to the IDNI	pat impacts. Disc on that was receive and the RFI rep , Threatened and R-DFW early coo se has been che	uss if other for ved. Discuss ort (Appendix Rare (ETR) ordination res	ederally listed species we if migratory birds have be K E, page 1) completed Species List has been cl sponse letter dated Jun	ere identified. If so, ind een observed and any by Michael Baker on o hecked and is included ie 19, 2019 (Appendi	ation received for Indiana lude consultation that has impacts. October 11, 2019, the IDNR in Appendix E, pages 14 to k C, page 19), the Natura r animal species have beer
species list sodalis) an plexippus)	t was generated of the federally f was listed as a c and USFWS did	(Appendix C, pa threatened northe andidate species	age 51). The ern long-eare however no	project is within range ed bat (NLEB) ( <i>Myotis</i> c critical habitats are locat	of the federally enda septentrionalis). The ed within the project a	PaC) portal, and an official ngered Indiana bat ( <i>Myotis</i> Monarch Butterfly ( <i>Danaus</i> ea. In addition, coordination ect qualifies for the USFWS
dated May (FTA), and project was reviewed a received fr Minimization	2016 (revised F USFWS. An effe s found to "May and verified the e om USFWS with an Measures (AM	ebruary 2018), bect determination Affect Not Likely effect finding on I in the 14-day re Ms) that are appli	etween FHW key was con to Adversely December 22 view period; icable to this	/A, Federal Railroad Ad npleted on December 21 Affect" the Indiana bat 2, 2021 and requested therefore, it was concluproject include: General	ministration (FRA), Fe , 2021, and based on and/or the NLEB (Ap JSFWS's review of the ided they concur with AMMs, Lighting AMMs	thern long-eared bat (NLEB), deral Transit Administration the responses provided, the bendix C, page 36). INDOT is finding. No response was the finding. Avoidance and s, and Tree Removal AMMs. Ition of this EA document.
Structure A check for Environme	ssessment by a the presence of	qualified individua bats or birds. I ust be contacted	al must comp f signs of b	leted prior to demolition ats or birds are docum	of any structure. Inspe ented during this insp	olition of any structure: Barction of the structure should bection, the INDOT District nvironmental Commitments
amended.						ndangered Species Act, as re changed, USFWS will be
 	Project located w Karst features ide Oil/gas or explora	entified within or a	idjacent to th wells identifie	d in the project area	Yes	No X X X
This is <sub>l</sub>	page 21 of 41	Project name:	Hively Aver	ue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elknart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
area (from F were identifi study/report by INDOT E	,	om IGWS co ibe if any im investigatio	ordination. Discuss if any r pacts will occur to any kars n must comply with the cur	nines, oil/gas, or exp t features. Include o rent Karst MOU and	oloration/aband liscussion of ka coordinated a	doned wells arst nd reviewed
Protection B, page 2) early coor features e liqueficatio and grave sand or gradjacent to	a desktop review, the project is lot of Karst Features during Project Dot and the RFI report (Appendix E, padination response dated May 23, exist in the project area (Appendix on protentional, floodway; mineral real resource; and abandoned industriavel sites within or adjacent to the or the project area (Appendix E, pagingacts are expected.	evelopment age 1), there 2019, the I C, page 5 esources indial minerals e project are	and Construction. According are no karst features idented indiana Geological and Way. IGWS identified that the sluding moderate potential from any gravel pits. The feature. The RFI report did not	g to the topo map of ified within or adjace ater Survey (IGWS) are are geological I for bedrock resource cures will not be affe indicate any mining.	f the project and to the project did not indicate azards included and high potected because mineral resou	rea (Appendix ct area. In the ate that karst ing moderate ential for sand there are no rces within or
SECTION	C - OTHER RESOURCES					
Dri	inking Water Resources Wellhead Protection Area(s) Source Water Protection Area(s) Water Well(s) Urbanized Area Boundary Public Water System(s)		Presence X X	Yes X	No X	
ls t	the project located in the St. Joseph	Sole Sourc	e Aguifer (SSA):	Yes	No	

If Yes, is the FHWA/EPA SSA MOU Applicable? If Yes, is a Groundwater Assessment Required?

		Indiana Depa	rtment of Transpo	rtation	
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
coordination	responses and any	nd discuss each topic below.			resource-specific
by Michae Aquifer, the Understan additional project info completed	onmental Protection I Baker. The propose e only legally design ding (MOU) is app project information ormation as the pro (Appendix C, page	need project is located in Elkh nated sole source aquifer in icable to this project (Appe (Appendix C, page 25). C oject progresses including o	nart County, which is locate the state of Indiana. The E endix L, page 1). The USI coordination is on-going w coordination of the Phase J states the following that	ed within the area of EPA/INDOT Sole Sou EPA responded on I ith the USEPA about II Environmental Si	accessed on May 23, 2019 the St. Joseph Sole Source rce Aquifer Memorandum of May 23, 2019 asking about to the project and providing te Assessment (ESA) once esult in one of the following
significa designe projects	ant hazard to public ee) that no further as s within the SSA, IN	ssessment or evaluation is r	m the requestor (e.g., appl equired under the SSA pro view funding applications t	licant for FHWA feder ogram. Prior to federa to confirm that either	al-aid highway project or its
public h	nealth, EPA intends	oject has the potential to res to inform the requestor (e.g. a <i>Detailed Ground Water In</i>	, applicant for FHWA feder	ral-aid highway proje	
	that any con	determination is made, EPA tamination of the SSA will no 'A and INDOT agree to insp	ot create a significant haza	ard to the public healt	h; and
continued	coordination and re				time. They have requested a firm project commitment in
The Indian (http://www within a W project is r	w.in.gov/idem/cleanv ellhead Protection A	Source Water vironmental Managements water/pages/wellhead/) was vrea or Source Water Area. wellhead protection area but	accessed on May 23, 2019 In an early coordination le	9 by Michael Baker. ∃ etter dated June 12, 2	019, IDEM stated the
(https://ww the IDNR the project	na Department of Na ww.in.gov/dnr/water/ water well viewer na construction limits; be determined durin	nap but were not field identi therefore, there are likely no	n May 23, 2019 by Michael fied. The physical address o wells located within the p	I Baker. Two unconsors of the owner addresoroject area. Therefor	olidated wells are shown on ss locates the wells outside e, no impacts are expected. be included in the appraisal
Based on Urban Are	ea Boundary (UAB)		ter was sent on May 23,		this project is located in an rt County Stormwater MS4
Based on the 2019 E public wate utility reloc	Engineer's Report, a er system belongs cations including mo	nd review of the plans in Ap to the City of Elkhart. Coord	opendix B, this project is lo dination with the City of El that will be within the cor	ocated where there is khart had occurred t	area (Appendix B, page 4), a public water system. The hroughout the project for all e Hively Avenue Overpass.

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
				Presence I	mpacts_
F	Floodplains Project located within a reg Longitudinal encroachment Transverse encroachment Homes located in floodplai	i ,		Yes	
If	applicable, indicate the Floo	dplain Level?			
L	evel 1 Level 2	Level	3 Level 4	Level 5	
according during des	ONR Floodway Information Po to the classification system. sign to insure consistency wit.	If encroachment on h the local flood plaii	a flood plain will occur, n planning.	coordinate with the Loc	
(http://dn regulator	ana Department of Natural Returner Retu	ms/) was accessed om approved IDNR	l on May 23, 2019 by floodplain maps (Apper	Michael Baker. This ndix F, page 1). Therefo	
Flood Ins FEMA m coordina applicabi floodplain part of yo	ACE response dated June 1 surance Rate Map database apping is for flood insurance te the grade separation propility of a floodplain permit pen management regulations a pur project would impact the impacts associated with use	indicates that the p purposes, it does no posal with local office prior to construction, and acts, such as the floodplain, you shou	roject site is not within of address all floodplains ials and with the Indian This coordination we Indiana Flood Control	a Federally mapped flos, especially smaller one na Department of Naturould help ensure compact (IC 13-2-22). If you	podplain (Enclosure). As the es. We recommend that you ral Resources regarding the pliance with local and state a obtain information that any
	sponded to early coordination ered by the Division of Water				der the regulatory programs
			Pre	esence	Impacts
F	f <b>armland</b> Agricultural Lands Prime Farmland (per NRCS	S)	<u> </u>		es No
	Total Points (from Section V *If 160 or greater, see CE Manual		006*)		
Discuss ex considere	xisting farmland resources in d.	the project area, imp	pacts that will occur to fa	armland, and mitigation	and minimization measures
there is r project a	n a desktop review, a site vising land that meets the definition.  The requirements of the sent on May 23, 2019 to Na	on of farmland unde FPPA do not apply	r the Farmland Protection to this project; therefore	on Policy Act (FPPA) wi e, no impacts are expec	thin or adjacent to the
	esponded to early coordinatio (Appendix C, page 24).	n on November 1, 20	021 stating that the prop	oosed project will not ca	use a conversion of prime
	is page 24 of 41 Project na	omo: Hivoly Avor	nue Overpass	Date	November 30, 2022

County Elkhart	Route _	East Hively	Avenue	Des. No	o. <u>1801933</u>				
SECTION D - CULTURAL R	SECTION D - CULTURAL RESOURCES								
Minor Projects PA	Category(ies) and Type	(s)		INDOT Approv	val Date(s)	N/A X			
Full 106 Effect Finding  No Historic Properties Affected X No Adverse Effect Adverse Effect									
Eligible and/or Listed Resources Present  NRHP Building/Site/District(s) Archaeology NRHP Bridge(s)									
Documentation Prepare APE, Eligibility and Ef 800.11 Documentatio Historic Properties Re Archaeological Recor Archaeological Phase Archaeological Phase Other:	ffect Determination n eport or Short Report ds Check and Assessment a la Survey Report	X X X	10/5/20 10/5/20 10/5/20 3/19/20 3/23/2021 & 1	21 21 21	10/27/2021 10/27/2021 10/27/2021 4/15/2021 15/2021 & 10/27				
Memorandum of Agre	eement (MOA)		MOA Signatu	re Dates (List a	all signatories)				

This is page 25 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

County Elkhart Route East Hively Avenue Des. No. 1801933	
--	--

If the project falls under the MPPA, describe the category(ies) that the project falls under and any approval dates. If the project requires full Section 106, use the headings provided. The completion of the Section 106 process requires that a Legal Notice be published in local newspapers. Please indicate the publication date, name of the paper(s) and the comment period deadline. Include any further Section 106 work which must be completed at a later date, such as mitigation from a MOA or avoidance commitments.

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) requires that federal agencies identify and assess the effects of federal projects, programs, and actions on historic resources. This includes projects that are supported by federal funds. The Section 106 process was managed by SJCA Inc. (formerly Green 3, LLC), who is listed on the IDNR Department of Historic Preservation and Archaeology's Roster of Qualified Professionals.

Area of Potential Effect (APE): According to 36 FCR 800.16(d), the APE is defined as "the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The APE is influenced by the scale and nature of an undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking." The APE for this project includes all properties adjacent to the project and those with a proximate viewshed of the project. The dimensions of the above-ground APE were defined by the new bridge construction over the railroad tracks, realignment of Hively Avenue, urban residential development, and mature vegetation. The APE measures approximately 0.72 mile long and 0.42 mile wide. The archaeological APE consists of all proposed new, temporary, or existing right-of-way as well as any additional areas of investigation beyond it (Appendix D, page 16). Refer to Appendix D, page 49 to 50 for aerial maps of the APE.

**Coordination with Consulting Parties**: On June 8, 2020, the following parties were sent early coordination letters and invitations to become Consulting Parties as shown in Table 6 (Appendix D, pages 25 to 32).

Table 6. Consulting Parties List

Consulting Party	Respond to Invitation?
Elkhart County Historian	No
Elkhart County Historical Museum	No
Elkhart Historic and Cultural Preservation Commission	No
Michiana Area Council of Governments	No
Elkhart County Commissioners	No
Elkhart Street Department	No
Indiana Landmarks, Northern Regional Office	No
Mayor of Elkhart	No
Forest County Potawatomi Community	Yes to becoming a Consulting Party on 7/8/2020
	(Appendix D, page 37 to 38)
Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	Yes to becoming a Consulting Party on 11/10/2021
	(Appendix D, page 6 to 7)
Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	Yes to becoming a Consulting Party on 6/23/2020
	(Appendix D, page 34)
Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma	Yes to becoming a Consulting Party on 10/7/2021
	(Appendix D, page 5)
Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	Yes to becoming a Consulting Party on 4/23/2021
	(Appendix D, page 46)
Delaware Nation of Oklahoma	No

**Note:** The IDNR State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) is an automatic Consulting Party. FHWA is the lead federal agency and INDOT CRO is the acting representative of the FHWA.

The Miami Tribe of Oklahoma replied on June 23, 2020 (Appendix D, page 33) and accepted the invitation to be a consulting party. They stated the Miami Tribe offers no objection to the project and they are not aware of existing documentation directly linking a specific cultural site to the project.

SHPO responded on July 7, 2020 (Appendix D, page 34 to 35) and stated they were unaware of any other parties who should be invited to participate in the Section 106 consultation beyond those whom INDOT had already invited. The agency also advised that, should any potentially historic property be identified and possibly impacted, the owners of said property should be added to the early coordination list. SHPO staff also requested to know which "of the invited consulting parties have accepted the invitation."

The Forest County Potawatomi Community replied on July 8, 2020 (Appendix D, page 36 to 37) and accepted the invitation to be a consulting party. They stated they would like to review the archaeological report associated with the project.

The Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, the Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma, and the Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma

This is page 26 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

	In	diana Depa	artment of Transpo	rtation		
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
(Appendix	spond during the 30-day comn D, page 46) and the Addendur Appendix D, pages 6 to 7), resp	n to the Archae	ological Report on Octobe	er 7, 2021 (Appendix		
2021). The (Appendix to the ear understand	egy: SJCA Inc. prepared a Phereport was approved by IND D, pages 40 to 42). The investigly and mid-to-late 20th century ding of the 20th century history of the port recommended that the	TO CRO and d gation identified r, respectively. of the region; th	istributed to participating to I two sites within the project It was determined neithe erefore, both sites failed to	tribes and SHPO for the area. Both sites we the provided inforr to meet the minimum of	review on March 24, 20 re historic scatters that da nation that would enhan criteria for placement on t	21 ate ice
further wo	curred with the findings in the rk would be required "with the" and that they would review the	exception of t	he parcel within 'Lot 9' th	nat was not surveyed		
"there will additionally	gon Band of Potawatomi Indian be No Historic Properties in Are requested that if any archaeo Parties provided a response re	ea of Potential E logical resource	ffects (APE) significant to es are discovered during of	the Pokagon Band of	Potawatomi Indians." Th	еу
Report exa additional INDOT CR identified of would not meet the n	completed the Addendum to the mined Lot 9, which had been be temporary right-of-way and a treation of the conference of	rypassed during ruck route havii tributed to parti The site was h enhance unders	the original investigation of ing been added after the o cipating tribes and SHPO i istoric scatter that dated to standing of the 20 <sup>th</sup> century	due to a lack of lando riginal survey. The a for review on Octobe o the mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century o history of the region	wner permission, as well ddendum was approved r 6, 2021. The investigati . It was determined the s ; therefore, the site failed	as by on site to
	curred with the findings of the a archaeological work would be n		eir letter dated October 27,	2021 (Appendix D, p	ages 2 to 3). SHPO agre	ed
	a Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma king Indian Religious Sites to t					

artifacts covered under the NAGPRA associated with the project site. The Peoria Tribe stated they therefore had no objection to the project.

The Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma replied on November 10, 2021 (Appendix D, pages 6 to 7) and stated their ancestors previously occupied the project area; however, they determined that the project proposed "No Adverse Effect or endangerment to known sites of interest" to the Eastern Shawnee Tribe. They requested that if any archaeological resources are discovered during construction, their agency is contacted. No other Consulting Parties provided a response regarding archaeology.

Historic Properties: SJCA Inc. prepared the HPSR for this project (Wood, March 2021). The report concluded the APE contains no properties listed in the NRHP and that no resources were eligible for listing in the NRHP (Appendix D, pages 48 to 49). INDOT CRO approved the HPSR for distribution to SHPO and Consulting Parties on March 19, 2021, and the HPSR was forwarded to SHPO and Consulting parties on March 24, 2021. The SHPO responded to the HPSR mailing on April 15, 2021, stating that the agency agrees with the consultant's conclusions that there are no properties listed or eligible for the NRHP within the project APE (Appendix D, pages 44 to 45). No other Consulting Parties provided a response regarding the HPSR.

Documentation Findings: A Finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" for this project was approved by INDOT CRO for distribution to Consulting Parties and SHPO on October 5, 2021 (Appendix D, pages 15 to 20). There were changes to the project scope after the HPSR had been approved and distributed to Consulting Parties. These changes were documented in the 800.11 distribution letter, and the updated historic properties findings were added to the 800.11 documentation. The findings of the HPSR were not changed and no listed or eligible properties were found within the project APE (Appendix D, pages 19 to 20).

The Effect Finding documentation was provided to SHPO and Consulting Parties on October 6, 2021 (Appendix D, pages 9 to 14). SHPO concurred with the "No Historic Properties Affected" finding in their letter dated October 27, 2021 (Appendix D, pages 2 to 3). No other responses from Consulting Parties were received.

This is page 27 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County Elkhart Re	oute East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933				
<b>Public Involvement</b> : A notice informing the public of the finding and opportunity to comment on the "No Historic Properties Affected" finding was published in the <i>Elkhart Truth</i> on October 9, 2021. No comments were received during the public comment period, which ended on November 9, 2021. The text of the public notice and the affidavit of publication appear in Appendix D, pages 22 to 23.							
This completes the Section 106 process and the re	sponsibilities of the FHWA unde	er Section 106 have bee	n ruillilea.				
SECTION E – SECTION 4(f) RESOURCES/ S	SECTION 6(f) RESOURCES	<b>i</b>					
Parks and Other Recreational Land Publicly owned park Publicly owned recreation area Other (school, state/national forest, bikeway, etc. Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges National Wildlife Refuge National Natural Landmark State Wildlife Area State Nature Preserve Historic Properties Site eligible and/or listed on the NRHP	Presence Us Yes X X X Example 10	No X X					
"De minimis" Impact Individual Section 4(f) Any exception included in 23 CFR 774.13	X						

This is page 28 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

County Elkhart		Route	East Hively Avenue	Des	. No	1801933
must be included in the FHWA has identified v Section 4(f) of the U funded transportation parks, recreation are	e appendix and summar arious exceptions to the S. Department of Trans a facilities unless there	rized below.  requirement sportation Ac is no feasibe efuges, and	Discuss proposed alter for Section 4(f) approve t of 1966 prohibits the le and prudent alterna	natives that satisfy al. Refer to 23 CF use of certain pul tive. The law ap	∕ the req R § 774. blic and plies to	Section 4(f) documentation uirements of Section 4(f). 13 - Exceptions. historic lands for federally significant publicly owned lless of ownership. Lands
is one potential 4(f) re	esource located within the	he 0.5 mile s	earch radius. Accordin	g to additional res	earch àr	Appendix E, page 1), there and by the site visit on June located within or adjacent
exception for transpo		ctivities und	er 23 CFR 774.13(d) v	vhich is temporar	y occupa	qualifies for a Section 4(f) ancies of land that are so a satisfied:
	must be temporary, i.e.,	, less than th	e time needed for cons	truction of the proj	ect, and	there should be no
(2) Scope of	vnership of the land; the work must be minor	r, <i>i.e.</i> , both th	e nature and the magn	itude of the chang	es to the	Section 4(f) property are
					rence wi	th the protected activities,
(4) The land		y restored, i.			idition w	nich is at least as good as
	kisted prior to the project ust be documented agre tions.		official(s) with jurisdicti	on over the Section	on 4(f) re	source regarding the
MapleHeart Trail, due to be open in Phase ownership of the Manticipated, and the of trail utilizing local sid	e to the realignment of H 2 and Phase 3 of the apleHeart Trail will rer condition will be at least	lammond/Stoproject. A ponain under the as good as the trail deto	erling Avenue, will occu edestrian detour route the jurisdiction of the that which existed prior ur will be provided in the	r in Phase 1 of the will be provided for City of Elkhart. I to the project or be the Stage 3 plans.	e project or each No adve etter. Mo The City	on of the realignment the and the trail is anticipated Phase of the project. The rese physical impacts are OT will be provided for the of Elkhart concurred with endix K, page 3).
of permanent ROW if will not be impacted, recreation. The projet any concerns (Apper The project team will	rom a maintained lawn Section 4(f) applicabili ct team coordinated wit ndix G, page 126). The I incorporate this reque	area of the ty would not th Elkhart Co school distri st in the pla	school's parking lot (Ap apply to this portion o ommunity Schools to di lot stated they prefer 8 ns and provide an 8 fe	opendix B, page 8 f the school as its scuss the project, feet sidewalks fo et sidewalk withir	). The p s primary anticipa r mainte i the vici	e approximately 0.11 acre arking lot spaces and use / function is not for public ted impacts, and address nance and snow removal. nity of the school. This is mmitments section of this
Section 6(f) I	nvolvement			<u>Presence</u>	v	<u>Use</u>
Section 6(f) I	Property				Ye	es No
This is as a CO	: 44 Drainat	Llivale Acc	aug Oversses		Dete	November 20, 0000
This is page 29 of	f 41 Project name:	HIVEIY AVE	nue Overpass		Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Ave	nue	Des. No.	1801933
	ction 6(f) resources present or liscuss the conversion approve		cuss if any convers	ion would occ	ur as a result of	this project. If conversion
The U.S. I created to	Land and Water Conservatior preserve, develop, and assu urchased with LWCF monies t	Fund Act of 196 re accessibility to	outdoor recreation			
	of 6(f) properties on the INDOT roperties are located within or					
SECTION	NF – Air Quality					
ls : ls : ls :	the project in the most current the project located in an MPO the project in an air quality not Yes, then: Is the project in the most currest the project in the most currest the project exempt from cool of No, then: Is the project in the Transplant a hot spot analysis requ	STIP/TIP? Area? n-attainment or ment MPO TIP? onformity? cortation Plan (TF		Yes X X X X	No X X	
	cation in STIP: nme of MPO (if applicable):			Governments has been dire 2026 STIP		on page 1, which d into the FY 2022-
	cation in TIP (if applicable):		- -		IP (Appendix H,	page 5)
Le	vel of MSAT Analysis required	1?				
Le	vel 1a X Level 1b	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	
Describe if the project is listed in the STIP and if it is in a TIP. Describe the attainment status of the county(ies) where the project is located. Indicate whether the project is exempt from a conformity determination. If the project is not exempt, include information about the TP and TIP. Describe if a hot spot analysis is required and the MSAT Level.  This project is included in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-2026 MACOG Transportation Improvement Program (MPO TIP) (Appendix H, page 5).						
IDEM's we but is bein Protection plan: <u>Mich</u>	ct is located in Elkhart Counebsite: <a href="https://www.in.gov/ide.">https://www.in.gov/ide.</a> In evaluated for conformity duagency, Et. Al. Decision). The innumentation Plan (SIP). Therefore, the station Plan (SIP). Therefore, the station Plan (SIP).	m/sips/nonattainmuse to the Februar ne project's designation Plan 2045 ar	nent-status-of-county 16, 2018, South n concept and scoud the Transportation	ties/. The Ozi Coast Air Qua pe are accura on Improveme	one 8-hour stan ality Managemei ately reflected in art Plan (TIP) an	dard was revoked in 2015 nt District V. Environmental both the MACOG regional
	ct is a type qualifying as a ca rrule under 40 CFR 93.126, a					npt under the Clean Air Act
This is	page 30 of 41 Project nam	e: Hively Ave	nue Overpass		Date:	November 30, 2022

Country		Doute	Fact Hissaly Avenue	Dec No	1001022		
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933		
SECTIO	ON G - NOISE						
ı	Noise				Yes No		
I	ls a noise analysis required in acco	ordance with FH	WA regulations and IND0	OT's traffic noise policy	? X		
I	Date Noise Analysis was approved	l/technically suff	icient by INDOT ESD: _	May 25, 2021			
A Noise performed criteria. alternatiseven reconstruction identification in the seven reconstruction in the seven	Describe if the project is a Type I or Type III project. If it is a Type I project, describe the studies completed to date and if noise impacts were identified. If noise impacts were identified, describe if abatement is feasible and reasonable and include a statement of likelihood. A Noise Technical Report was conducted by Michael Baker on May 24, 2021 (Appendix I, page 1). A Type I noise analysis was performed. Seven existing ambient measurements were recorded. Two of the ambient levels approached or exceeded the NAC criteria. A total of 128 location sites representing 128 receptors were modeled for the existing, design year build and no-build alternatives. Existing modeled Leq noise levels ranged from 41.6 dBA to 68.7 dBA (Interior; 29.5 dBA to 32.3 dBA). There were seven receptors that approach or exceed the applicable NAC criteria as defined in the INDOT Traffic Noise Analysis Procedure. These locations consisted of seven residential land uses. An evaluation of the design year No Build scenario resulted in the identification of 10 residences that approached or exceeded the NAC criteria.  The analysis summary predicted 14 total impacts (14 NAC and zero substantial increase impacts). There were no barriers that met INDOT's criteria for "feasibility". Therefore, no barriers are proposed to be carried forward as a result of this preliminary analysis. A final determination on noise abatement will be made during the final design phase of the project. At such time, additional noise analysis will be performed as applicable to more accurately determine barrier performance, barrier characteristics (length and height), and the optimal barrier location for any potential noise barriers that may be recommended for noise abatement.  This noise analysis was based on preliminary design criteria. INDOT reviewed the noise analysis on May 25, 2021 and found it to be technically sufficient (Appendix I, page 52). A reevaluation of the noise analysis will occur during final design. If during final design it has been determined th						
SECTION H – COMMUNITY IMPACTS							
	Regional, Community & Neighbo			o for the area?	Yes No		
	Will the proposed action comply wi Will the proposed action result in s				<u> </u>		
	Will the proposed action result in s				$\frac{\lambda}{X}$		
	Will construction activities impact of				$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		
	Does the community have an appr				X		
	If No, are steps being made to	-		?			
I	Does the project comply with the tr	ansition plan? (	explain in the discussion	below)	X		

Indiana Department of Transportation								
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933			
Discuss how the project complies with the area's local/regional development patterns; whether the project will impact community cohesion; and impact community events. Discuss how the project conforms with the ADA Transition Plan.								
	As with any proposed major infrastructure improvement, the community and, specifically, adjacent property owners and occupants will experience some degree of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts.							
Stage Relorelocation utilized by These bus	Residential property impacts will require land acquisition and relocation assistance. To further address this issue, a draft Conceptual Stage Relocation Study (CSRS) has been prepared (Appendix N, page 1). There are also business impacts including the proposed relocation of the Speedwash Laundromat, Hunter's Restaurant, a Kentucky Fried Chicken, 7-11 gas station, all resources that are utilized by the community. In addition, there are two growing businesses Pavel's Auto and Moreno's Roofing that will be relocated. These businesses will most likely not be able to be relocated within the project vicinity. In addition, direct access from Homer Avenue to Hively Avenue will be cut off and a cul-de-sac will be added at the north end of Homer Avenue.							
The City of	unty has an approved ADA tran f Elkhart has an approved ROW a-transition-plan/. The project is	/ ADA Transitior	n Plan, which is viewable onli	ne at https://elkhart	indiana.org/wpfd_file/right-			
conducted City of Elk	An event calendar was viewed on Elkhart Counties website <a href="https://www.visitelkhartcounty.com/events/">https://www.visitelkhartcounty.com/events/</a> . Close coordination had been conducted with the City of Elkhart about the timing of construction and any community events that may be planned. In addition, the City of Elkhart upcoming events calendar was viewed on the City's main website page <a href="https://elkhartindiana.org/">https://elkhartindiana.org/</a> . No community events are planned for the immediate project area during the time of construction. Therefore, impacts to community events are not expected.							
The project is located within a Tax Increment Financing (TIF) District (Appendix K, page 8). The condensed TIF has 2,796 parcels and the total revenue of this TIF is approximately 1.9 million. The project will impact 17 parcels which is 0.61% impact to the total parcels within the consolidated TIF. The project will create a loss of approximately \$47,671 (total tax collected from parcels) which is 2.51% loss of the total revenue (Appendix K, page 9). Coordination was conducted with the City of Elkhart Assistant Director for Economic Development and the TIF Infrastructure Project Supervisor. They provided the following information (Appendix K, page 10):								
	· Project has long term positive backup in this corridor.	ve impact for bo	th residential and commercia	al uses in that it red	luces congestion and traffic			
	· The loss of residential parce	ls with respect t	o their contribution to TIF inc	rement will be negli	gible.			
	· Local commercial businesse	s that are displa	iced have ample opportunitie	s to relocate within	the corridor.			
	· The short-term disruption of	traffic flow is ma	anageable and not deemed to	o be an impediment	to economic development.			
Avenue ar independe sidewalk n Main Stree churches,	sed project has numerous ben nd the Norfolk Southern Railroa nt of one another. This will redu etwork will be greatly improved et, Roosevelt Avenue, and Har residential and businesses; ar y are consistent with Safe R	d tracks and all uce congestion a ; new, connecte mmond Avenue n overall benefit	ow vehicular traffic, bicycle a and improve mobility and ove d, ADA compliant sidewalks allow a connection to Mong to the community. The sid	and pedestrian moverall travel reliability along Hively Avenuger Elementary Schewalk improvement	rements and trains to move within the project area. The e and adjacent side streets, ool, El Rosal supermarket, is in the vicinity of Monger			

Pedestrian access to the transit stop will be improved with ADA compliant sidewalk and may be further enhanced by the proposed green space located between the mainline of the new roadway and bridge and the connection back to Hively on the westside. The MOT of the preferred alternative keeps the existing Hively Avenue roadway open throughout most of the project construction and will only be closed for a few months during construction.

connectivity to the MapleHeart Trail which is lacking in the existing condition; this will also improve neighborhood connectivity to the Environmental Center. The City of Elkhart has also committed to adding new signage for the Environmental Center (located outside of the Study Area) which has been added as a project commitment. All applicable recommendations are included in the

Environmental Commitments section of this EA document.

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933

#### **Public Facilities and Services**

Discuss what public facilities and services are present in the project area and impacts (such as MOT) that will occur to them. Include how the impacts have been minimized and what coordination has occurred. Some examples of public facilities and services include health facilities, educational facilities, public and private utilities, emergency services, religious institutions, airports, transportation or public pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

Based on a desktop review, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B, page 4) and the RFI report (Appendix E, page 1), there are thirteen (13) facilities located within the 0.5 mile of the project. That number was updated to four (4) facilities by the site visit on June 5, 2020 by Michael Baker. The project area includes two (2) religious facilities, Zion Missionary Church and El Divino Redentor, one (1) school, Monger Elementary School, and one (1) trail, MapleHeart Trail. The project will temporarily impact these resources with minor inconveniences during construction. Access will be maintained to the churches and school throughout construction although alternate detour routes may need to be used. A detour route will be provided for pedestrians, bicyclists, and for the trail. Coordination with these facilities has occurred throughout the project.

Overall, the project will improve connectivity within the project area to these facilities. The ADA compliant sidewalks will provide improved pedestrian connections to the churches, school, and trail. The sidewalk improvements in the vicinity of Monger Elementary are consistent with Safe Routes to School goals identified in local plans including the Michiana Area Council of Governments (MACOG) <u>Michiana on the Move: Transportation Plan 2045</u>. The reduction in traffic backups should also improve access points to these facilities.

Early coordination letters were sent on May 23, 2019 by Michael Baker to the City of Elkhart Public Works and Utilities Department, Office of the Mayor of Elkhart, Elkhart County Surveyor, Elkhart County Planning and Development, Elkhart County Parks and Recreation, Elkhart County Stormwater, Elkhart County Highway Department, Greater Elkhart Chamber of Commerce, and Monger Elementary School. The ROW Engineer from the City of Elkhart Public Works and Utilities responded (Appendix C, page 34). No other responses were received. A City of Elkhart Executive Briefing was held on June 22, 2021 with the City of Elkhart and the Mayor to discuss the preferred alternative. This meeting identified additional information such as traffic flow exhibits that were shown during the PIM #3.

In addition to early coordination letters, draft CSRS and KTM's held with owners, residents and businesses being impacted by the project, the project team met with Elkhart Community Schools and the El Rosal local supermarket to discuss the project, anticipated impacts, and address any concerns (Appendix G, page 122). The school district stated they would prefer 8 feet sidewalks for maintenance and snow removal. The project team is incorporating this request in the plans and will provide an 8 feet sidewalk within the vicinity of the school. This is included as a project commitment. It was discussed with El Rosal that there will be minor impacts to their parking lot. There will be continued coordination over reconfiguring and restriping the parking lot. This is included as a project commitment.

It is the responsibility of the project sponsor to notify school corporations and emergency services at least two weeks prior to any construction that would block or limit access. All applicable recommendations are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this EA document.

Environmental Justice (EJ) (Presidential EO 12898)

During the development of the project were EJ issues identified?

Does the project require an EJ analysis?

If YES, then:

Are any EJ populations located within the project area?

Will the project result in adversely high and disproportionate impacts to EJ populations?

This is page 33 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

County	/ Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933	
County	Likilait	Noule	Last Hively Avenue	Des. 140.	1001933	

Indicate if EJ issues were identified during project development. If an EJ analysis was not required, discuss why. If an EJ analysis was required, describe how the EJ population was identified. Include if the project has a disproportionately high and adverse effect on EJ populations and explain your reasoning. If yes, describe actions to avoid, minimize and mitigate these effects.

Under FHWA Order 6640.23A, FHWA and the project sponsor, as a recipient of funding from FHWA (in this case the potential for federal funding in the future), are responsible to ensure that their programs, policies, and activities do not have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on minority or low-income populations. For this initial analysis the project Study Area was used (Appendix B, page 4).

Potential EJ impacts are detected by locating minority and low-income populations relative to a reference population to determine if populations of EJ concern exist and whether there could be disproportionately high and adverse impacts to them. The reference population may be a county, city or town and is called the community of comparison (COC). In this project, the COC is Elkhart County. The community that overlaps the Study Area is called the affected community (AC). In this project, the AC is Census Tract 19.01, Block Group 5 and Census Tract 21.02, Block Group 1 and 3. AC has a population of concern for EJ if the population is more than 50% minority or low-income or if the low-income or minority population is 125% of the COC. Data from the 2019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates was obtained from the US Census Bureau Website <a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/</a> on October 18, 2021 by Michael Baker. The data collected for minority, Hispanic and low-income populations within the AC are summarized in Table 7. Michael Baker also provided further analysis for Limited English-Speaking Households and Spanish Speaking Households within the ACs compared to the COC.

Table 7. Hively Avenue Overpass Environmental Justice AC comparison to COC

Hively Avenue Overpass EJ Analysis		•			
Census Bureau 2018 ACS 5-Year Estimates Information	COC Elkhart County, Indiana	AC-1 Block Group 1, Census Tract 21.02, Elkhart County, Indiana	AC-2 Block Group 3, Census Tract 21.02, Elkhart County, Indiana	AC-3 Block Group 5, Census Tract 19.01, Elkhart County, Indiana	
Minority Population EJ Analysis					
Minority Population (Non-white)	26,017	177	1,145	82	
Percent Minority	12.72%	13.76%	40.92%	7.35%	
125% of COC	15.90%	AC > 125% COC?			
Minority Population of EJ Concern?		No	Yes	No	
Hispanic Population EJ Analysis		_			
Hispanic Population	32,583	851	470	40	
Percent Hispanic	15.93%	66.17%	16.80%	3.59%	
125% of COC	19.91%	AC > 125% COC?			
Hispanic Population of EJ Concern?		Yes	No	No	
Low Income Population EJ Analysis	T	T		T	
Total Number of Families	50,065	267	730	223	
Families Below Poverty Level	4,432	0	172	37	
Percent Low-Income (below poverty level)	6.18%	0.00%	14.96%	10.54%	
125% of COC	7.72%	AC > 125% COC?			
Low Income Households of Concern?		No	Yes	Yes	
Limited English Speaking Households					
Total Number of Households	71,718	396	1,150	351	
Limited English-Speaking Households	2,390	56	17	0	
Percent Limited English Speaking	3.33%	14.14%	1.48%	0.00%	
125% of COC 4.17% AC > 125% COC?					
Limited English Households of Concern?		Yes	No	No	

This is page 34 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022

East Hively Avenue

Des. No.

1801933

Route

County

Elkhart

·	·						
Spanish Speaking Households							
Households Speaking Spanish	8,086	256	96	11			
Percent Spanish Speaking Households	11.27%	64.65%	8.35%	3.13%			
125% of COC	14.09%	AC > 125% COC?					
Spanish Speaking Households of Concern?		Yes	No	No			

AC-1, Block Group 1, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent minority of 13.76% which is below 50% and below the 125% COC threshold. AC-1 has a percent Hispanic population of 66.17% which is above 50% and above the 125% COC threshold. AC-2, Block Group 3, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent minority of 40.92% which is below 50% but is above the 125% COC. AC-2 has a percent Hispanic population of 16.80% which is below 50% and below the 125% threshold. AC-3, Block Group 5, Census Tract 19.01 has a percent minority of 7.35% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC. AC-3 has a percent Hispanic population of 3.59% which is below 50% and below the 125% threshold. Therefore, AC-1 and AC-2 have a minority population (non-white or Hispanic) of EJ concern.

AC-1, Block Group 1, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent low-income of 0.00% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC threshold. AC-2, Block Group 3, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent low-income of 14.96% which is below 50% but is above the 125% COC. AC-3, Block Group 5, Census Tract 19.01 has a percent low-income of 10.54% which is below 50% but is above the 125% COC. Therefore, AC-2 and AC-3 have a low-income population of EJ concern.

AC-1, Block Group 1, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent limited English speaking households of 14.14% which is below 50% but is above the 125% COC threshold. AC-2, Block Group 3, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent limited English speaking households of 1.48% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC. AC-3, Block Group 5, Census Tract 19.01 has a percent limited English speaking households of 0.00% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC. Therefore, AC-1 has a limited English speaking population of EJ concern.

AC-1, Block Group 1, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent Spanish speaking households of 64.65% which is above 50% and above the 125% COC. AC-2, Block Group 3, Census Tract 21.02 has a percent Spanish speaking households of 8.35% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC. AC-3, Block Group 5, Census Tract 19.01 has a percent Spanish speaking households of 3.13% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC. Therefore, AC-1 has a Spanish speaking household population of EJ concern.

In summary it was identified that AC-1, Block Group 1, Tract 21.02 has limited English speaking and Spanish speaking population of concern, AC-2, Block Group 3, Tract 21.02 has a low-income and minority population of concern, and AC-3, Block Group 5, Tract 19.01 has a low-income population of concern.

The presence of EJ populations was established early in the project as the community context and public involvement plan were developed. The City of Elkhart as the local agency lead continues to provide important oversight and coordination for all public outreach activities including interfacing with local stakeholders. The City of Elkhart provides translations services using city staff who are familiar with the community. Based on an understanding of the community, meeting notification materials have been translated to Spanish. A Spanish translator has been used to provide translation at the public information meetings and the public hearing.

An EJ Burdens and Benefits Analysis was prepared for the project and can be found in Appendix J, page 29. The analysis concluded that the Preferred Alternative has disproportionately high and adverse effects, in the form of displacements and relocations, but the Preferred Alternative has the least adverse effect and overall project impacts while providing the most benefit. All the build alternatives developed and considered have similar displacement impacts. The Preferred Alternative also allows Hively Avenue to remain open for the majority of the construction duration which avoids and minimizes travel impacts, delays, stress on adjacent business and allows for vehicular mobility during construction; this is not the case with other build alternatives considered. The No Build would leave the Study Area in its current condition continuing an existing burden to EJ populations due to the lack of connectivity of existing facilities and an unsafe crossing for pedestrians and bicyclists with the railroad. The No Build provides no net benefit to the community as a whole or EJ populations.

The Preferred Alternative provides mitigation measures including the creation of dedicated green spaces (including a new pocket park), enhanced fully ADA compliant sidewalk including non-impacted sidewalk, wider sidewalk accommodations near Monger Elementary School, parking lot reconfiguration and improved access to El Rosal supermarket (Minority business), improved ADA compliant flag bus stop, and improved connectivity to the MapleHeart Trail. These mitigation measures are included as firm commitments in the Environmental Commitments section of this EA document.

			_	
This is page 35 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overnass	Date:	November 30 2022

County	/ Elkha	rt		Route	East Hively Ave	enue	D	es. No.	1801933	<u> </u>
modes of vicinity Environ	The Preferred Alternative also provides off-set benefits including improved EMS access and response times, improved safety for all modes of transportation including vehicles, pedestrians, bicyclists, and those with disabilities, improved micro air quality within the vicinity (reduced idling associated with traffic), improved community connectivity and access to resources including the Elkhart Environmental Center, Monger Elementary, local businesses, green space and MapleHeart Trail, improved aesthetics, improved public health, and improved commercial vehicle access and reliability.									
Referen	ice Appeni	dix J for the full	EJ Burdens and	d Benefits	s Analysis.					
,	Will the pro	n of People, Bu oposed action re CSRS required	esult in the relo		people, businesse	es or farm	s?		Yes X X	No
1	Number of	relocations:	Residences:	21	Businesses:	6	Farms:	0	Other:	0

This is page 36 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass

Date: November 30, 2022

		Indiana Depa	rtment of Transpo	rtation	
County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
The project of one (1) (1) vacant gas statio that is near	ct will require the reloca residential property (Ap t property. The impacted n, and two growing busi ar their customer base a	tion of twenty-one (21) i pendix B, page 8). In to I businesses include Sp nesses Pavel's Auto an nd affordable. One resio	al the project will purchas eedwash Laundromat, Hu d Moreno's Roofing. Pave	six (6) commercial pro e twenty-seven (27) o Inter's Restaurant, Ke el Auto's primary conc al that allows Sec 8 ar	the discussion below.  operties and the acquisition occupied properties and one ontucky Fried Chicken, 7-11 ern is finding another place ond a pet. This tenant is very
Real Proprelocates	erty Acquisition Policie	s Act of 1970 as amer No person displaced b	nded. Relocation resource by this project will be req	es are available to a	Relocation Assistance and ill residential and business displaced dwelling unless
relocation therefore, Affected of in person, the project 31 of 33 (	s on a single parcel of the project has an est whers, residents includi by phone, or via video of t and members of the po 94%) potential relocatio	land that is to be acq imated total of 33 eligi ng renters, and busines call for a kitchen table m roject team, answer thein n parcels. One business	uired (this can include o ble relocations as further ses were offered the oppo teeting (KTM). The purpos r questions, and address	wner-occupied, tenan explained in the CS ortunity to meet with mose of the meeting was their concerns. KTM's or the two owner contains.	can be eligibility for multiple t-occupied residence, etc); RS (Appendix N, page 4). It is is increased in the project team to familiarize residents with the have been conducted with fact letters that were mailed. page 6):
	and inquisitive.  2. Several residents area and look for 3. Attitudes regardir it, but admitted he 4. Everyone intervie	s expressed that they will ward to the day when the ng the project are generate is looking forward to a weed is aware of and con	I be happy to move away ey are no longer stopped ally positive. Only one resi fresh start in a new home ncerned about the fast-mo nes to purchase or rent, o	from the high-traffic at the railroad tracks. dent spoke out agains ving real estate	
meetings,	but each understands	and speaks English we		directly with the pro	nave a translator present at ject team, and each had a page 13).
magnified market if the displa- quickly ar	in today's real estate nation relocation funds are not ced residents, the City of they should allow the	narket and in this Study readily available to hel of Elkhart and INDOT m relocation agent the fl	Area. Home buyers and them purchase or rent rust be willing to adapt the	tenants will be overw new homes. To help in ir processes to allow to and rental comps so	If projects, and this need is whelmed by the fast-moving improve the outcomes of all relocation claims to be paid to that price differential and
replaceme commerci	ent options for the four	growing businesses, alth for the two growing bus	nough the needs of each nesses—Pavel's Auto an	is quite different. The	te that there are adequate re appears to be adequate to relocate and continue to
project wi residents and, hope	Il be positive. Traffic do in the area. After the pro	elays caused by stoppe ject is completed, traffic nomeowners, tenants, a	ed trains occur many times will flow through the area	es daily and negative smoothly on local roa	ly that the net effect of this ely impact businesses and ds and over the train tracks in new homes, new rental

This is page 37 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass

Date: November 30, 2022

ingiana Department of Transportation	
County Elkhart Route East Hively Avenue Des. No. 1801933	
SECTION I – HAZARDOUS MATERIALS & REGULATED SUBSTANCES	
Hazardous Materials & Regulated Substances (Mark all that apply)  Red Flag Investigation (RFI)  Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA)  Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA)  Design/Specifications for Remediation required?  Date RFI concurrence by INDOT SAM (if applicable): November 18, 2019 & July 27, 2021	
Include a summary of the potential hazardous material concerns found during review. Discuss in depth sites found within, directly adjacent to, or ones that could impact the project area. Refer to current INDOT SAM guidance. If additional documentation (speciplorovisions, pay quantities, etc.) will be needed, include in discussion. Include applicable commitments.  Based on a review of GIS and available public records, a RFI was completed by Michael Baker and signed by INDOT SAM November 18, 2019 (Appendix E, Page 1). A RFI addendum was completed by Michael Baker and signed by INDOT SAM on 27, 2021 (Appendix E, page 18). Nineteen (19) hazardous material sites are located within 0.5 mile of the project area. Undergrostorage tanks (USTs) and leaking USTs (LUSTs) were identified within the project area at located at the following three sites:	/I on July

- 7-Eleven, 2700 S Main Street, is a RCRA site, an active gas station (USTs), and is also a LUST site. The site is no longer sampled; however, it appears as though residual soil and groundwater impacts remain on-site and may extend into the rights-of-way. If excavation occurs in this area, proper handling, removal, and disposal of soil and/or groundwater will be necessary.
- IRA C Mast & Son Incorporated, 2510 Sterling Ave, AI #32183 is located 0.01 mile east of the project area. Three USTs were removed from the site in 1990. It appears as though a release of petroleum occurred; however, the extents were never delineated. If excavation occurs in this area, it is likely that petroleum contamination will be encountered. Before proper removal and disposal of soil and/or groundwater, analysis for lead will be necessary.
- Wade's Service Station, 2644 Sterling Ave, Al# 31162 is located within the project area but is shown outside of the project area on the GIS layer. The IDEM issued a No Further Action (NFA) determination dated December 22, 2006 for LUST Incident #199901533 / FID#8663 based on soil and groundwater analytical results at or below IDEM RISC residential default closure levels. While this site received an NFA, vent pipes were observed at the current auto body shop occupant building and this site is located adjacent to both the railroad at-grade-crossing and East Hively Avenue / South Main intersection at the center of the project area. If excavation occurs in this area, it is likely that petroleum contamination will be encountered. Before proper removal and disposal of soil and/or groundwater, analysis for lead will be necessary.

The RFI also concluded that there was potential for additional hazardous material sites that those previously identified based on a review of INDOT supplied documents, Google Earth / Street View October 2018, and during a site visit looking specifically for potential hazardous material sites conducted by Michael Baker on June 7, 2019. These additional sites include a dry cleaner, a former foundry, automotive repair/salvage facilities, and railroad tracks located within the project area. The RFI stated that a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was recommended.

A Modified Phase I ESA report was prepared by Michael Baker and approved by INDOT SAM [still currently in review]. The Modified Phase I ESA report concluded that there are thirteen (13) parcels that cannot be avoided that have current on site recognized environmental conditions (RECs), or Historic REC in connection with past uses that pose a concern to impact worker safety and property handling/disposal of waste (i.e., soil and/or water) generated as part of construction activities. These thirteen (13) sites include:

- 7-Eleven Mobile Gas Station
- Indiana Michigan Power and Norfolk Southern Railroad
- Vacant Pine De Rosa Furniture Manufacturing
- El Rosal supermarket
- Car Wash Station
- Midas

This is page 38 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933
	<ul> <li>New commercial building (for</li> </ul>	mer coal ar	d salvage yards) at 2729 Ha	ammond Avenue	
	Marcus Auto Sales				
	Residential lots at 2625 Lowe  Full a guide Mundana Mundana  To the series of the	ell Avenue			
	<ul><li>Eulloquis Kustom Wheels</li><li>Elkhart Speedwash</li></ul>				
	<ul><li>Elkhart Speedwash</li><li>Advance AutoParts</li></ul>				
	Norfolk Southern Railroad				
	Tronom Country Hamead				
	valuation via Phase II sampling is re				
	bout these REC sites reference pag				
	ent has been added that a Phase II nents of this EA document.	I ESA WIII D	e required prior to Ready	ior Contracts and is	s within the Environmental
Committee	ients of this EA document.				
	Dowt IV	/ Down	wite and Cammit		
	<u>Part IV</u>	<u> </u>	nits and Commit	<u>ments</u>	
PERMIT	S CHECKLIST				
Po	ermits (mark all that apply)		Likely Required		
A	rmy Corps of Engineers (404/Section	on10 Permi	t)		
	Nationwide Permit (NWP)				
	Regional General Permit (RGP	)			
	Individual Permit (IP)				
181	Other				
	l Department of Environmental Mar l01/Rule 5)	nagement			
(4	Nationwide Permit (NWP)				
	Regional General Permit (RGP	)	<u> </u>		
	Individual Permit (IP)	,			
	Isolated Wetlands				
	Rule 5		X		
	Other				
IN	I Department of Natural Resources				
	Construction in a Floodway				
	Navigable Waterway Permit				
М	Other litigation Required				
	กเบลเบก Required S Coast Guard Section 9 Bridge Pe	rmit			
	thers (Please discuss in the discus		)		
			,		
:-4 41	mode that the house mains of family and a second	-l		-l :	-litl "Otl"
	rmits likely required for the project and e recommendations provided by re				
	t. An IDEM Rule 5 permit is anticipa				
	Il be requirements of the project and v			(1) 4010 (1)	and. The conditions of the
•		•			
It is the re	esponsibility of the project sponsor to	identify and	obtain all required permits.		
This is	s page 39 of 41 Project name:	Hively Aven	ue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933					
ENVIRO	ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS									

List all commitments and include the name of agency/organization requesting/requiring the commitment(s). Listed commitments should be numbered.

#### Firm:

- 1. If the scope of work or permanent or temporary right-of-way amounts change, the INDOT Environmental Services Division (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will be contacted immediately. (INDOT ESD)
- 2. It is the responsibility of the project sponsor to notify school corporations and emergency services at least two weeks prior to any construction that would block or limit access. (INDOT ESD)
- 3. Continued coordination with the City of Elkhart for the Interurban Trolley Red Line bus route and flag stops. (INDOT ESD)
- 4. Flag bus stop, Stop 43, will be relocated with ADA compliant sidewalk connection and accessibility. (INDOT ESD)
- 5. A Phase II Environmental Site Assessment will need to be completed prior to Ready for Contracts. (INDOT SAM)
- 6. Continued coordination with the USEPA regarding project progress and USEPA review of the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment must be completed prior to Ready for Contracts. (INDOT ESD)
- 7. The tree removal will not occur in forested areas and these areas are demarcated on plans as areas to avoid. (INDOT ESD)
- 8. All trees to be removed are within 100 feet from an existing roadway and will be clearly marked and will be removed during the inactive bat season. (INDOT ESD)
- 9. Eight (8) feet sidewalk will be provided adjacent to Monger Elementary School. (INDOT ESD)
- 10. Continued coordination will occur with Monger Elementary School about MOT and construction activities. (INDOT ESD)
- 11. New signage will be added by the City of Elkhart for the Environmental Center based on continued coordination. (City of Elkhart)
- 12. Restripe and reconfigure parking lot based on continued coordination with El Rosal (Hispanic supermarket). (City of Elkhart)
- 13. Green space (pocket park) will be added to project in between Roosevelt Avenue and Hively Avenue and green space between newly created sidewalk and Hively Avenue on the eastside as identified in the Environmental Document. (INDOT ESD)
- 14. The duration of temporary occupancy of MapleHeart Trail must be less than the time needed for construction of the project. There will be no change in ownership of the land, no permanent adverse physical impacts, and will be restored to a condition which is at least as good as that which existed prior. Scope of the work must be minor, i.e., both the nature and the magnitude of the changes to the Section 4(f) property are minimal. There are no anticipated permanent adverse physical impacts, nor will there be interference with the protected activities, features, or attributes of the property, on either a temporary or permanent basis. There must be documented agreement of the official(s) with jurisdiction over the Section 4(f) resource regarding the above conditions. (INDOT ESD)
- 15. New sidewalk will connect to the MapleHeart Trail. (INDOT ESD)
- 16. A Spanish and Ukrainian translator will be provided at meetings for one homeowner and one business owner as requested and identified in the CSRS. (INDOT ESD)
- 17. General AMM1: Ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA/FRA/FTA (Transportation Agencies) environmental commitments, including all applicable AMMs. (USFWS)
- 18. Tree Removal AMM1: Modify all phases/aspects of the project (e.g., temporary work areas, alignments) to avoid tree removal. (USFWS)
- 19. Tree Removal AMM2: Apply time of year restrictions April 1st through September 30th for tree removal when bats are not likely to be present, or limit tree removal to 10 or fewer trees per project at any time of year within 100 feet of existing road/rail surface and outside of documented roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors; visual emergence survey must be conducted with no bats observed. (USFWS and IDNR-DFW)
- 20. Tree Removal AMM3: Ensure tree removal is limited to that specified in project plans and ensure that contractors understand clearing limits and how they are marked in the field (e.g., install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits). (USFWS)
- 21. Tree Removal AMM4: Do not remove documented Indiana bat or NLEB roosts that are still suitable for roosting, or trees within 0.25 mile of roosts, or documented foraging habitat any time of year. (USFWS)
- 22. Lighting AMM1: Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season. (USFWS)
- 23. Lighting AMM2: When installing new or replacing existing permanent lights, use downward-facing, full cut-off lens lights (with same intensity or less for replacement lighting); or for those transportation agencies using the BUG system developed by the Illuminating Engineering Society, be as close to 0 for all three ratings with a priority of "uplight" of 0 and "backlight" as low as practicable. (USFWS)
- 24. A Bat Structure Assessment by a qualified individual must be completed prior to demolition of any structure. Inspection of the structure should check for the presence of bats or birds. If signs of bats or birds are documented during this inspection,

This is page 40 of 41	Project name:	Hively Avenue Overpass	Date:	November 30, 2022

County	Elkhart	Route	East Hively Avenue	Des. No.	1801933

the INDOT District Environmental Manager must be contacted immediately. (INDOT ESD)

- 25. MOT will be provided for the trail utilizing local side streets. The MOT for the trail detour will be provided in the Stage 3 plans. (INDOT ESD)
- 26. A reevaluation of the noise analysis will occur during final design. If during final design it has been determined that conditions have changed and noise impacts are identified, noise abatement will be evaluated at that time as to whether it is feasible and reasonable. (INDOT ESD)

#### For Further Consideration:

- 27. Do not clear trees or understory vegetation outside the construction zone boundaries. (USFWS)
- 28. Revegetate all bare and disturbed areas with a mixture of grasses (excluding all varieties of tall fescue) and legumes as soon as possible upon completion; low endophyte tall fescue may be used in the ditch bottom and side slopes only. (IDNR-DFW)
- 29. Minimize and contain within the project limits all tree and brush clearing. (IDNR-DFW)
- 30. Plant five trees, at least 2 inches in diameter-at-breast height, for each tree which is removed that is ten inches or greater in diameter-at-breast height. (IDNR-DFW)
- 31. The City of Elkhart in conjunction with INDOT must be prepared to adapt their policies to allow home buyers to secure new homes. It has been typical for relocation claims to take 45-60 days to be paid, but this slow turnaround will close prospective buyers out of the market. The agency must be willing to adapt their process to make funds available sooner and make acquisition and relocation payments more quickly. Also, purchase comparables and prospective replacement homes are selling so quickly and home prices increasing so rapidly that the agency must consider allowing agents to increase relocation payments by performing new comparables searches and recalculating relocation benefits to make new homes affordable. (INDOT ESD)
- 32. If the Samples family finds a suitable replacement before the offer is made, an exception may be made to offer their relocation benefits early. (INDOT ESD)
- 33. The City of Elkhart and INDOT must be prepared to adapt their policies to allow tenants to rent or purchase homes quickly. They must be willing to make relocation payments as quickly as possible rather than the usual 45-60 days. Also, rental comparable and prospective properties rent so quickly that the agency must consider allowing agents to "re-comp" and increase relocation payments to make new rentals affordable. (INDOT ESD)

This is page 41 of 41 Project name: Hively Avenue Overpass Date: November 30, 2022



# **Table of Contents**

Note to File Purpose and Need Supporting Documentation  Note to File Purpose and Need Traffic Data Support Memorandum	A1-A5
Appendix B – Graphics	
Project Location Map	
Topographic Map	
Network Area Map	
Study Area Map	
Existing Deficiencies Map	
Preliminary Preferred Alternative Project Map	
Preliminary Preferred Alternative Travel Movements Map	
Preferred Alternative ROW & Property Impacts Maps	
Preferred Alternative ROW & Property Impacts Table	
Preferred Alternative ROW Benefits Map.	
Photo Directional Map	
Photos	
Roadway Plan Set (Stage 2)	
Bridge Layout Plan (Preliminary Stage 3 Draft)	B122
Appendix C – Early Coordination	
Early Coordination List	
Early Coordination Letter dated May 23, 2019	
Indiana Geological and Water Survey (IGWS) Response dated May 23, 2019	
IDEM Wellhead Protection Area Response dated June 12, 2019	
Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) Automated Response May 23, 2019	
Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) Response dated June 19, 2019	
United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) dated June 14, 2019	
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Response dated November 11, 2021	
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Response dated June 13, 2019	
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Continued Coordination	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Response dated May 24, 2019	
Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) Public Involvement Office Response dated May 28, 2	
INDOT Fort Wayne District Response dated May 24, 2019	
City of Elkhart Right of Way Engineer Response dated May 24, 2019	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Northern Indiana Suboffice Response dated May 29, 2019	
USFWS Concurrence Letter dated December 22, 2021	
USFWS Official Species List dated December 21, 2021	
INDOT Effect Finding Concurrence Email dated December 22, 2021	
Appendix D – Section 106 Documentation	
SHPO and Tribe "No Historic Properties Affected" Effect Finding Concurrence Letters	
"No Historic Properties Affected" Effect Finding Documentation	
Historic Property Report & Archaeology Report Summary & Conclusions	D45-D54
Appendix E – Red Flag Investigation	
Red Flag Investigation Report approved November 8, 2019	E1-E17
Red Flag Investigation Addendum approved July 27, 2021	
Draft Modified Phase I Environmental Site Assessment dated December 23, 2021	
Annandir E. Watan Dagayraag	
Appendix F – Water Resources  IDNR Floodplain Information Portal Report	<b>F</b> 1
122 113 1 1000 profit HitOthiudoli 1 Ormi Noportonomonomonomonomonomonomonomonomonomono	



# **Appendix G: Public Involvement** Appendix H - Air Quality Project Listing in 2022-2026 TIP H5 Appendix I: Noise Analysis **Appendix J: Environmental Justice** US Census Bureau Census Tract Maps J1 EJ Burdens and Benefits Analysis J29-J82 **Appendix K: Additional Studies** Structure Bat Assessment Form K4-K6 GIS Bat Layer Check Email K7 Consolidated TIF Exhibit K8 Appendix L: Sole Source Aquifer MOU EPA and INDOT Sole Source Aquifer MOU.....L1-L9 **Appendix M: 2019 Engineering Report Appendix N: Draft Conceptual Site Relocation Study** Draft CSRS N1-N59