

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

### A PROJECT TO PROVIDE A REPLACEMENT ROADSIDE TOLL COLLECTION SYSTEM FOR RIVERLINK'S OHIO RIVER BRIDGES

ISSUED FEBRUARY 1, 2022

Indiana Finance Authority  
One North Capitol Avenue, Suite 900  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

#### Form M Submitted Questions and Responses

March 18, 2022

#### KEY PROCUREMENT DATES

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>
Mandatory Industry Forum and Optional Site Visit	December 14, 2021
Mandatory One-on-One Proposer Meetings	December 15-17, 2021
Publish RFP	February 1, 2022
RFP Questions Due by Proposers	March 4, 2022
Proposal Due Date	April <del>5</del> 19, 2022
Notification of Short List of Proposers	<del>April 19</del> May 10, 2022
Technical Interviews with Short List of Proposers	<del>May 4</del> May 24, 2022
Financial Interviews with Short List of Proposers	<del>May 5</del> May 25, 2022
Due Date for Best and Final Offers by Short List of Proposers	<del>May 16</del> June 8, 2022
Anticipated Notification of Preferred Proposer	<del>May 19</del> June 16, 2022
Completion of Negotiations	<del>June 16</del> July 19, 2022
Award and Execution of Contract and Other Contract Documents by Preferred Proposer	<del>June 17</del> July 22, 2022

The Joint Board anticipates publishing an Addenda incorporating the answers provided to the questions the week of March 21, 2022.

The responses herein provided by the Joint Board Authorized Representatives are intended to provide more clarity to the RFP’s requirements in response to the submitted questions. As noted in Section 5.1.4.1 of the RFP, such responses are not considered part of the Contract Documents, nor are such responses relevant in interpreting the Contract Documents, except as expressly set forth in the Contract Documents. Any official changes to any RFP requirement or provision to the Contract will only be made through an Addenda issued by the Joint Board.

Capitalized Terms not otherwise defined in the responses provided by the Joint Board Authorized Representatives shall have the meanings set forth in the RFP and RFP Documents.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
1	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form A (Page 39)	Forms	<i>The Proposal Letter shall be signed in blue ink by all Equity Members and shall be accompanied by evidence of signatory authorization as specified in Form A and is preferred to be the original, wet signature. If wet signature is not practical, other means will be accepted i.e. DocuSign, notary.</i> <b>Question – The signatures page of Form A (page 4 of 6) does not provide for a signatures block for Equity Member. In addition, considering Form B will provide for information on the Equity Member, please clarify what should Equity Member do in regard to the Proposal Letter.</b>	The Equity Member(s) should sign Form A signature block based upon the type of legal structure (see various samples on Page 4 of 6) of the Equity Member.  It is unclear as to the second question. Equity Member(s) should be listed with completed information as prompted in Form B. See further direction for Form B under F. Project Team (page 51).
2	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 41	Forms	Why some of the forms are required only for Major Subcontractors and others also to Subcontractors? For instance, forms H and I.	The forms identified should apply to proposed Major Subcontractors, but not proposed Subcontractors. This will be revised (particularly, Form I) in an upcoming Addendum.
3	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 44	Parent Guaranty	Language states that “ <i>the Joint Board may, in its discretion based upon the review of the information provided herein, or Proposer’s form of organization, specify that an acceptable Financially Responsible Party or a parent or Affiliate guaranty is required as a condition precedent prior to qualification or award of the Contract</i> ”. <b>Question: Does this mean that only at the</b>	The Joint Board would specify that an acceptable Financially Responsible Party or a parent or Affiliate guaranty is required upon review of a Proposer’s Proposal, prior to the Proposer being placed on the Short List of Proposers. In such circumstances, the Proposer providing a Financially Responsible Party, or a parent or Affiliate guaranty would be a condition “award”

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
					<b>time of execution of the Contract and in case the Joint Board so request, a parent guaranty shall be presented?</b>	(i.e., Notification of Preferred Proposer) and ultimate execution of the Contract.
4&5	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 44/45	Lending Bank Letter	<p>In case Proposer is submitting consolidated accounts with its parent, we are assuming that a Financially Responsible Party Letter of Support shall be submitted along with the Proposal. In paragraph “credit ratings” a letter from a lending bank is required.</p> <p>Considering that the proposer’s parent is a Portuguese company and usually deals with Portuguese banks, what sort of letter should be acceptable?</p> <p>Would it be possible to provide the required language?</p>	<p>Correct, a Financially Responsible Party Letter of Support would be submitted if Proposer is submitting consolidated accounts with its parent.</p> <p>A letter from a lending bank is only required if the entity is not rated. Specific language will not be provided, but as noted in that section the letter should state that the entity is in “good standing” with the lending bank.</p>
6	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 47	Form L	<p>Guarantor Commitment – It is not clear whether a Form L is mandatory at the time of submission of the Proposal. Please clarify.</p>	<p>A Guarantor is <u>not</u> required to be submitted with a Proposal <u>unless</u> one is required under the circumstances listed in that section.</p> <p>In that instance, it is required at time of submission of the Proposal.</p> <p>In addition, the RFP is clear in that a Guarantor may be required post-bid at the discretion of the Board.</p> <p>If a Guarantor is required, that same section is clear in regard to what must be submitted.</p>
7	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form A	Form A	<p>What does mean the language in brackets “if Proposer team’s Equity Members have not formed the Proposer Entity”? Please bear in mind that the bidder/proposer is an LLC incorporated in 2015 by the sole member which is a Non-US Company</p>	<p>If an Equity Member is the sole founder of the Proposer, then the “jointly and severally” language can be omitted in paragraph 3 of the Proposal Letter.</p>

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
8	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form A (Page 5 of 6)	Form A	The Proposer is a limited liability company bidding alone and autonomously. The Equity Member is a Non-US Company incorporated in Portugal. What documents (if any) are required to be submitted by Equity Member? Which letter/number of Section "Additional Information to be Provided with the Proposal Letter	As it pertains to the Equity Member, all items under Section B (as applicable) shall be submitted.
9	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form (Page 6 of 6)	Form A	Please clarify language in what regards letter D. Is the Joint Board actually requiring that the Proposer which is an LLC amends its own Operating Agreement to include such a provision? This does not seem reasonable at all to amend governing documents.	In the event a limited liability operating agreement cannot be amended, a unanimous consent agreement amongst partners, members, or joint ventures may be submitted. See last paragraph on page 6 of 6.
10	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – 9. Form B-2 (Section 6.0)	Form B-2	Considering the Equity Member is a Non-US Company, please confirm if an Indiana and Kentucky licenses are still required. The Equity Member is not providing any services in the US territory	The Proposer and any Equity Members shall submit documentation of their licenses in Indiana and Kentucky, if they have been licensed in the States. It is not a requirement to have such licenses from the Equity Members.
11	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form B-2 (Section 6.0)	Form B-2	Please confirm if " <i>licenses and Certificates of Qualification</i> " are the same as the Business ID as shown in <a href="https://bsd.sos.in.gov/PublicBusinessSearch/BusinessInformationFromIndex">https://bsd.sos.in.gov/PublicBusinessSearch/BusinessInformationFromIndex</a>	That link is un retrievable.
12& 13	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form D (Non-Collusion Affidavit)	Non-Collusion Affidavit	Considering the Equity Member is a Non-US Company, is it still required to be signed before Notary? In alternative, can it be signed by DocuSign? Otherwise, this might be impossible to obtain until the date of submission.	See Exhibit A, Part 1, General Information. DocuSign shall be accepted so long as evidence of signature authority is provided.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
14	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form H (Equal Employment Opportunity Certification)	Equal Employment Opportunity Certification	Considering the Equity Member is a Non-US Company, is this form still required?	Yes.
15	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Form I (Use of Contract Funds for Lobbying Certification)	Use of Contract Funds for Lobbying Certification	Considering the Equity Member is a Non-US Company, is this form still required?	Yes.
16	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I - Forms	Equity Member signing formalities	Considering that the Equity Member is a Non-US company, whenever signatures before Notary are required, can Equity Member, in alternative, sign those forms through DocuSign?	See Exhibit A, Part 1, General Information. DocuSign shall be accepted so long as evidence of signature authority is provided.
17	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 6	Matrix Responsibilities	Reference is made to a matrix summarizing responsibilities. Exhibit 7 does not provide for any matrix. Is this Exhibit F of RFP – Volume I?	Yes.
18& 19	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 13 – Section 2.1.3.8/b)	Suspension and Debarment	Does this obligation cover parent companies, either direct or indirect? And what happens if those parent companies are Non-US entities?	Presumption is that a parent company would fall within the term “principal” described in Section 2.1.3.8. This requirement would not differentiate if the parent company were a non-US entity.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
20	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 14 – Section 2.2.1	Liability	Please clarify language that says “ <i>regardless of the cause of the damage</i> ”. RSP2 shall not be liable for damages caused by the Joint Board or any of its subcontractors.	The Joint Board shall not be responsible for the cost of damage, regardless of the cause of the damage, so long as the damage happens while RSP2 is responsible for maintenance of the Project.
21	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 25 – Section 2.7	Contract Term	What is the exact term of the Agreement? The RFP (Volume I) states that “ <i>The Operations and Maintenance Term of the Contract is anticipated to last approximately six (6) years</i> ” but that is approximation	The Term of the Contract will be finalized by the Joint Board once a Proposer is selected, but the expected Term is 6 years. The Contract may be renewed for up to an additional 4 years.
22, 23, 24	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 35 – Section 5.1.5.1 (a)	Key Performance Liquidated Damages	What is the difference between Key Performance Liquidated Damages and Key Performance Stipulated Damages? In case there is noncompliance with any KPI, RSP2 shall be assessed with Key Performance Liquidated Damages. If this is correct, when would Key Performance Stipulated Damages apply? Will they apply only after the Full Revenue Service Date?	Performance Stipulated Damages may be assessed if KPIs are not met by RSP2, which do not require an Event of Default to have occurred.  Yes.
25	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 37 – Section 5.1.6	Liquidated Damages	It is not clear to which amount liquidated damages are capped. Please clarify.	See limitation of liability (which take into consideration liquidated damages) both before and after System Acceptance in Section 17.
26	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 55 – Section 11.4	Warranty Period	General Warranty Period “shall extend for the full Contract Term”. Warranty Period is usually 1 (one) year after System Acceptance. This is not the same as maintenance services which shall be performed, during the O&M term, in accordance with the requirements set out in the Contract. Please clarify	The General Warranty Period shall last for the full Term of the Contract.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
27	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II – Page 59 – Section 12.3.5	Payments	Will all payments be made by 2 different entities? To whom are invoices supposed to be directed? To the Joint Board?	Payments will be made by two separate entities. Invoices shall be addressed to KYTC and INDOT and shall be split 50/50 between the two.
28	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 48 – Exhibit B	Technical Proposal Instructions	Accordingly to the RFP: “As part of the Technical Requirements response, Proposers shall respond directly to the each of the outlined topics below. Responses shall be no more than ten (10) pages in length and shall provide sufficient detail on the approach the Proposer will take on the Project.” Please confirm that it is 10 Pages for each approach, totaling 60 pages.	Correct, each Planned Approach response shall not exceed 10 pages with a total not exceeding 60 pages.
29	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Pages 52 and 80 (“Form E”)	“Form E”; “Instruction to Proposers”	Form E table lists as part of the Key Personnel two additional roles – “Project Director” and “Systems Engineer” – than those enumerated in Section “F. Project Team”. Could you please clarify which Key Team composition we should consider, namely for including respective resumes and references, amongst other elements required for the Key Team?	Please use Volume I Form E. A Volume II addendum will update Exhibit 3.
30	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I: 1.3.2.6 (page 8)	Project	JBR provides office space. How much (sq. ft?) office space is available, and address where the office space is located?	The States are still working on finalizing an exact location. It is anticipated that this location will be procured by mid-year 2022. Once it is finalized, an address and exact dimensions will be provided. It is anticipated that it will be within a 15-mile radius of the Bridges.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
31	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Not specified in RFP	Technical	Is white light for image capture and license plate recognition allowed for both front and rear cameras? Are there any restrictions or conditions for using white light?	White light for image capture will be allowed. There are no restrictions for such a solution.
32	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. II Exhibit 6-C 1.16 (page 187)	Payment Item	For the four tolling points where the RSP2 is to remove equipment of the existing RTCS solution, is the removal limited to roadside equipment, cabling, cabinets, and brackets, and removing gantries are excluded?	Tolling gantries will not be removed by the RSP2.
33	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Not specified in RFP	Technical	We could not find any UPS requirements, such as runtime. Is the UPS provided by others and outside the scope of RSP2?	UPS are not provided by others. There are no specific requirements regarding UPSs; however, there are system availability requirements that are discussed in Volume II, Exhibit 2. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to satisfy those requirements.
34	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. III	Gantry drawings	Since the DTO tolling point gantries will be reused, please provide the CAD designs and topographical studies of both structures for the RSP2 to correctly adapt the RTCS solution. It is necessary to know the gantry's physical characteristics.	Drawings will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.
35	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol II 1.3.2.6	Technical	The RSP2 will be responsible for maintaining and ensuring the operation of the existing CTRMS; we need to have the specifications for the CTRMS models and monitoring capabilities provided by these signs to evaluate the integration of the monitoring of these signs in our MOMS.	CTRMS specifications will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.



No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
36	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K: GEN-018	Technical	Regarding the Time source for RTCS solution synchronization, please confirm that the supply of this time source is out of the scope, and all components will be synchronized to the same source provided by LSIORB.	It will be clarified in a future addendum that RSP2's scope includes providing and maintaining the time source.
37	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K DEL-018; Vol II CSC (page 138)	Technical	Please confirm that there will be only one datacenter (provided by the LSIORB) at the central operations facility without a secondary datacenter that the RSP2 must interface for DR capabilities.	Both locations are hosted in the cloud and interfaces to each will be similar.
38	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K DEL-018; Form-K: Req. TST-009	Technical	What are the requirements for Disaster Recovery? Is it a secondary datacenter for DR (similar IT infrastructure to the Main datacenter with central services) or to include a backup solution for data protection to recover the data in case of disaster or corruption?	Per Form K (see GEN-020 and DEL-030), disaster recovery is intended to protect against the loss of RTCS data and to recover and resume interrupted RTCS operations. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to ensure that.
39	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K DEL-031	Technical	If RSP2 must deliver a backup solution, are backup copies required. Where will the backup copies be stored.? For example, on a disk dedicated device in the same data center as the central solution or a second location?	Per Form K (see GEN-020 and DEL-030), disaster recovery is intended to protect against the loss of RTCS data and to recover and resume interrupted RTCS operations. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to ensure that.
40	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K DEL-031	Technical	Please, confirm that the proposal must include all hardware and software required for the data center at the central operations facility (servers and storage).	Unclear what is being asked. DEL-031 refers to Training Plan. However, it is up to the Proposer on where/how data center is located.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
41	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Not found in RFP	Process	Are the gantries walkable to perform corrective and preventive maintenance tasks? Is maintenance over live traffic allowed?	No....and no.
42	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K: DEL-032	Process	Are there any limitations on the maintenance service schedule for working days, weekends, and holidays?	The only maintenance service schedule limitations are the approval of the approach by the JBR.
43	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K: DEL-032	Process	What are lane closure requirements? Is there a maximum time a lane or group of lanes are closed? May the entire direction be closed to traffic, and for how long?	Please refer to the provided MOT plans in Volume III, Section 3 for requirements.
44	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I: 1.3.2.6 (page 8)	Project	When will the JBR provided Project Facility be available for RSP2 (e.g., during O&M or the entire contract)?	It is anticipated that the Project facility will be available starting sometime mid-year 2022 for the duration of the contract.
45	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K: GEN-016	Technical	Will JBR provide the RSP2 with technical and maintenance information on the already installed equipment to estimate the maintenance price?	Known maintenance records have been provided. Please request specific records if they have not been provided.
46	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Not found	Technical	We cannot find any requirements for storing toll transactions and images on the toll point servers or at the central server level. Please confirm that there are no requirements.	Transaction and images are required to be stored for a minimum of 60 days. Requirements in Form K will be updated and provided in an upcoming Addendum.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
47	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. 11 2.2.1	Technical	<p><b>Regarding the elements already installed and which RSP2 has to maintain (8 price signs that are located on the bridge, in the vicinity of the toll booths, 6 LED message signs with a CCTV monitoring camera, 3 CCTV cameras for road surveillance, 3 CCTV cameras for the security of cabinets and generators), we would need to know in detail, the following:</b></p> <p>-Could you please send us the part numbers for the above-listed equipment? Are any datasheets available?  Are there any spare parts available from the JRB?  Should RSP2 include additional spare parts for those elements?  -When was the above equipment installed?</p>	<p>The three cabinet cameras are referenced in Volume III, page 60. Camera specifications will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p> <p>The three CCTV traffic cameras are reference in Volume III, page 61. Camera specifications will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p> <p>There are eight Pelco IXE21 CCTVS fixed cameras to monitor the eight CMTRSSs. Please see Volume III page 59. Camera specifications will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p> <p>CCTV camera spare part inventory and installation dates will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p> <p>There will be spare parts for the CTRMS. However, RSP2 will be responsible for determining additional toll equipment spare parts needed.</p>
48	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I 1.2 (page 2)		Where is the Project's Back Office located?	The BOS will be cloud based.
49	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I: 1.3.2.5		Please provide a description of the WAN between Toll Gantry and the RTCS back office. Is there existing optical fiber being used for this RTCS? Are there any spare fibers? What is the communication Bandwidth? What is the length of these fibers from the RTCS to the BOS? Are there any applicable WAN or optical fibers requirements from INDOT, KYTC, or others?	RSP2 will be responsible for providing fiber backbone from the existing splice vault located, nearest to the existing toll gantries, to the new toll gantries. Additional information will be provided in an upcoming Addendum.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
50	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I Exhibit F	Technical	Exhibit F states, "RSP2 to provide fiber backbone in accordance with the Technical Requirements." However, we could not find the technical requirements. Please specify the Fiber backbone technical requirements.	RSP2 will be responsible for providing fiber backbone from the existing splice vault located, nearest to the existing toll gantries, to the new toll gantries. Additional information will be provided in an upcoming Addendum.
51	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. III: Court Avenue Exit Ramp Conduit Drawings.	Technical	Please confirm that the existing optical fiber cables accessing existing Toll gantries pads will not be dismantled. It will not be required to relocate the current services using existing lines in new fiber cables installed during the project deployment.	The existing fiber optic cables to the existing toll gantries will not be dismantled. RSP2 will be responsible for connecting the new toll gantries to the existing fiber optic backbone.
52	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN_016	Technical	What are the desired security controls that the RSP2 should implement? Requirement text: "RSP2 shall ensure that the RTCS and its operations include appropriate security and controls to protect the RTCS data from unauthorized use and unauthorized users." One vendor will interpret "appropriate" differently from another vendor.	It is anticipated that security will include locked cabinets and video, etc. Gating and fencing will be provided by others.
53	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN_016	Technical	For the requirement, "shall include a description how safety and security compliance inspections and audits are completed" Are audits in this requirement cybersecurity audits? If so, what type of cybersecurity audits are expected to be conducted on the RTCS?	Yes, audits should include cybersecurity audits that are in compliance with PCI.
54	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-016	Technical	For the requirement, "RSP2 shall set up, conduct, and document a <b>Penetration Test</b> , which must include testing of the System Hardware, Software, and various subsystems provided, installed and integrated by RSP2. These tests must be conducted on-site and must provide sufficient confidence to JBR in its sole determination that RSP2's System is not	Test can be performed either by RSP2 or a third party

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
					vulnerable to random access and hacking.” Can these penetration tests be performed by the RSP2 or a third party?	
55	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol III Drawings	Data	Please provide the as-built drawings for the structures, traces, equipment locations, Civil Engineering, and Cabinets.	Drawings will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.
56	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol III Drawings	Data	Are the electrical connections (conduits and cables) between the existing and new concrete foundation pads and between the metered electrical utility service and new concrete foundation pads in the scope of RSP2?	The Contractor responsible for the new toll gantries will provide all conduit necessary for providing power and communications to the new toll gantries. The Contractor will also provide all electrical cables and connections to provide power to the new toll gantry cabinets. RSP2 will be responsible for the fiber optic connection to the new toll gantries in provided conduit and will be responsible for all new cabling between the new toll gantries and the new toll gantry cabinets. Volume 1's Responsibilities Matrix will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
57	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol III Drawings	Data	Are the gas connections (conduits) between existing and new concrete foundation pads and between the metered gas utility service and new concrete foundation pads in the scope of RSP2?	No.
58	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Exhibit F Item 2	Technical	Is RSP2 required to install a new generator to service DTS?	Yes.
59	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Exhibit F	Technical	Will JRB provides electric and gas service in the new DTS concrete foundation pad so that service is not in the RSP2's scope?	JBR will provide these utility services, but once installed and turned over to RSP2, it will be their responsibility to maintain.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
60	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I page 6; Form K GEN-007	Technical	For DTN, according to Tolling Zones Responsibilities Overview Matrix: "RSP2 will provide a new generator" however, according to Form-K: "RSP2 may elect to reuse the electrical backup generator". Please clarify the different directions.	RSP2 can decide to reuse the existing generator or provide a new one at their discretion. Volume I Responsibilities Matrix will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
61	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-009	Technical	For DTO, the cabinet, cabinet HVAC equipment, conduits, wire ways and raceways, cables, grounding and lightning protection, and communication services can be reused. Please, can you provide the technical information (manufacturer and model, datasheets) of the mentioned items?	Technical information will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.
62	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	VOL I page 112 and Form K GEN-010	Technical	For ECS and ECN, according to Tolling Zones Responsibilities Overview Matrix: "RSP2 will provide a new generator" however, according to Form-K: "RSP2 may elect to reuse the electrical backup generator." Please clarify.	RSP2 can decide to reuse the existing generator or provide a new one at their discretion. Volume I Responsibilities Matrix will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
63	3/1/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-010	Process	The requirement states: "For ECS and ECN, RSP2 may elect, at RSP2's discretion and sole risk, to reuse and integrate the following: - electrical backup generator and related devices (e.g., transfer switch, panelboard, etc.) located near/at ECS."  What does RSP2 complete on Form-K for the elect to reuse or not to reuse? What does Meet or not Meet for this requirement?	Assuming that RSP2 will choose either option, "Meets" will suffice for the response.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
64	3/2/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. I Key Procurement Dates	Submission Date	We request a two week extension in the Due date from April 5 to April 19.	The Proposal due date has been extended two weeks and will now be April 19, 2022. Other dates will be adjusted accordingly in an upcoming Addendum.
65	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP, Section 2.1.3 – Page 12		Where certified copies of the Proposal are required, the Proposer shall mark the document or cover with the words “Certified True Copy” and have the mark over-signed by the Proposer Authorized Representative. Q: Please confirm that certified copies of the proposal are not required, or clarify what is meant by “Certified True Copy”.	Certified True Copies of the Proposal are required and should be marked with the words "Certified True Copy" on the front of the proposal, with the signature of the Proposer Authorized Representative over it.
66	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit E - Form D – PDF Page 78		There are two signature blocks on Form D in addition to the notary block. Q: Please confirm that only one authorized signature is required on Form D for a single corporation proposal along with the notary and that single corporations bidding can leave the second signature line blank.	A single corporation proposal needs only one signature from the Authorized Representative of the Proposer. If there are Equity Members, they should also complete Form D.
67	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit A, Section B Surety/ Financial Institution Information – Page 40 & Form L – Page 91 And Section 4.5.1 – page 21		A letter from an Eligible Surety, signed by an Proposer Authorized Representative as evidenced by a current certified power of attorney, committing to provide a Performance Bond as described in Section 8.1 of the Contract, and a Payment Bond as described in Section 8.1 of the Contract and in form compliant with. Q: Is the letter from the surety discussed on page 21 and in the Surety/Financial Institution Information Section on page 40 of the RFP the same as the Guarantee Letter, Form L?	Yes.
68	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit A, Section B &		The Selected Proposer shall provide an executed copy of Form N-2 prior to execution of the Contract. Form N-2 is listed on the proposal check list - Exhibit D.	Only the Selected Proposer shall execute Form N-2, so it is not required to be submitted with the application. However, it must be provided by the

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
			Form N-2 – Page 42 & 97		Q: Please confirm that Form N-2 is required upon execution of contract and not with the proposal.	Selected Proposer prior to execution of the Contract.
69	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit D – Page 56		Proposers shall follow the order of the Proposal Checklist and include a referenced copy of this document with the Proposal submission and any Proposal Revision.  Q: Should proposers include Exhibit D with each volume?	Although it is helpful to include an Exhibit D with each Proposal volume, it is not required.
70	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit A, Section C.c – Page 47		The Proposal shall include (if a guaranty is required): (a) an irrevocable letter signed by the Guarantor in the form of Form L committing to provide a guaranty in the form of Exhibit 10 of the Contract, concurrently with execution and delivery of the Contract by Proposer, (b) evidence of authorization of the signatory to that letter, (c) Form B-1 for the Guarantor, (d) financial information described in this Exhibit A relating to the Guarantor; and (e) such other information concerning  the Guarantor as the Joint Board, may request.): Q: Is a guaranty required? Is Form L required with the proposal?	A Guarantor will be required if an entity does not have individual financial statements. Furthermore, a Guarantor will be required at the discretion of the Joint Board. If the Joint Board determines that a Proposer does not appear to have the financial capability to fulfill its obligations under the Contract Documents, the Joint Board may offer the Proposer the opportunity to meet the financial requirements through one or more Guarantors acceptable to the Joint Board.  If a Guarantor is required, Form L must be submitted.
71	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit B, Section D – Page 48		Responses shall be no more than ten (10) pages in length and shall provide sufficient detail on the approach the Proposer will take on the Project.  Q: Please confirm that the 10 page limit is 10 pages for each sub-section, K-1 thru K-6.	Correct. There is a 10-page limitation for responses to each of the Planned Approaches.



No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
72	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit B, Section F – Page 52		<p>The Proposal shall include copies of organizational documentation described in pages 5 through 7 of Form A for Proposer and Equity Members, as well as other documentation required by Form B-2.</p> <p>Q: Please confirm that the organizational documentation and other information described on the pages following Form A and any Teaming Agreements should be provided in Part 1 of the proposal.</p>	Form B documentation is part of Part 2, Technical Response. However, documentation supporting Form B-2 is requested as Authorization Documents in Part 1, General Information.
73	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, ITP – Exhibit B & D – Page 48 & 56		<p>Qualifications Information is listed in Exhibit D with no other instructions. Exhibit B instructions state to order this volume per Exhibit B but doesn't list Qualifications Information.</p> <p>Q: Please confirm if this section should be included &amp; if there is specific information required in this section.</p>	Qualifications Information should be included in Part 2, Section F. Project Team and follow Form B. Volume I will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
74	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Exhibit A, Section C.b – Page 45		<p>If no material change has occurred and none is pending, each of these entities shall provide a letter from their respective chief executive officer, chief financial officer or treasurer (or equivalent position or role) so certifying.</p> <p>Q: If no material change has occurred, is a statement sufficient or is the letter still required?</p>	The letter will still be required.
75	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Section 2.0 – Page 15		<p>Q: Due to the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic, would the Joint Board please consider electronic submission of proposals? This would be consistent with other Tolling RFPs currently in progress throughout the country.</p>	As originally specified, one original signed hard copy and ten electronic versions are required.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
76	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 1		Q: Would the Joint Board please consider extending the due date by 3 weeks to April 26, 2022? There are multiple competing tolling procurements at this time, and extending the proposal due date would allow proposers adequate resource time to read, react to and modify proposals based upon answers to all proposers RFP questions.	The Proposal due date has been extended two weeks and will now be April 19, 2023. Other dates will be adjusted accordingly in an upcoming Addendum.
77	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Exhibit C. Part 3: Price Proposal Submissions – Page 55		Neither IFA nor the Joint Board nor any of the JBR or members make any representations or warranties, express or implied, and assumes no liability whatsoever, with respect to the consequences of federal, state, local or other income tax treatment of RSP2 under the Contract.  Q: Please clarify if the Indiana Finance Authority/Joint Board are exempt from Sales Taxes and whether or not proposers should include Sales Taxes when determining the prices contained on the Form G; Price Tables.	IFA is tax-exempt. Proposers should exclude sales tax when determining prices. A tax-exempt certificate will be provided upon selection.
78	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Section 1.5.1 Project Schedule – Page 10		Award and Execution of Contract and Other Contract Documents by Preferred Proposer (unless extended pursuant to Section 1.5.1) June 17, 2022 Q: What date can the proposer (RSP2) be provided access to the new gantry structures and lanes to begin installing equipment?	Construction of the new toll gantries must be complete by April 2023. RSP2 will be given access on or around this time.
79	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Section 1.5.1 Project Schedule – Page 10		The Key Implementation Milestones below, are currently listed in the RFP as TBD. For consistency of bid pricing and project schedules received from proposers, can the Joint Board please provide approximate expected dates for: Factory Acceptance Test Full Revenue Service Achieved	Form K Requirement DEL-016 states: - FAT is completed and FAT Report is approved no later than 9 months after NTP - Partial Revenue Service is achieved no earlier than 6/1/23 - Full Revenue Service is achieved no earlier than 6/1/23 and no later than 9/1/23

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
					Operational Test and Acceptance	- Acceptance is achieved no later than 60 days after Full Revenue Service is achieved Volume I will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
80	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Section 1.5.2 Contract Duration – page 10		<p>The Operations and Maintenance Term of the Contract is anticipated to last approximately six (6) years. The Joint Board may, in its sole discretion, choose to exercise one or both of two (2) two (2)-year renewal options.</p> <p>Q: Please clarify. The language in Section 1.5.2 Contract Duration states approximately six (6) years. However, the Form G Price Forms request pricing for Initial Costs PLUS six (6) years of Operations.</p> <p>Q: Please confirm that the base contract period (before any options) will be the initial installation phase plus six (6) full years of Operations.</p>	<p>The base O&amp;M term is for 6 years starting at Full Revenue Service commencement.</p> <p>This will be clarified in an upcoming Volume I Addendum.</p>
81	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB – RTCS- Replacement Form G - Sheet 3 O&M Costs		<p>Q: Please confirm that each of the Annual Costs provided for Operations shall be expressed in 2022 dollars and that the Joint Board will adjust the annual costs each year by the Federal CPI index.</p> <p>Q: If this is not the case, please state how each of the Operations years costs shall be expressed.</p>	There will be no inflation of dollars done by the Joint Board for this Contract. The listed cost is the cost that will be paid to the selected vendor. Please update each operations year based on the cost to be paid.
82	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB – RTCS- Replacement Form G - Sheet 4 Labor Rates		<p>Q: Please confirm that each of the Hourly Labor Rates (Fully Loaded) by Calendar Year shall be expressed in 2022 dollars and that the Joint Board will adjust the annual labor rates each year by the Federal CPI index.</p> <p>Q: If this is not the case, please state how each of the hourly labor rates by calendar year shall be expressed.</p>	There will be no inflation of dollars done by the Joint Board for this contract. The listed cost is the cost that will be paid to the selected vendor. Please update each operations year based on the cost to be paid.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
83	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	General		Q: Please confirm that this contract for LSIORB RTCS Replacement does not contain any requirement to use small or disadvantaged businesses (i.e. MBE, WBE, SBE, etc.)	Confirmed.
84	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB – RTCS- Replacement Form G - Sheet 5 Salvage Credit		Row 6 “Credit” contains an asterisk which states: *The States’ Parties reserve the right to surplus legacy equipment after the award of the Contract. Should the States’ Parties decide to surplus such equipment, RSP2 shall be responsible to package, label, and deliver such equipment within a 60-mile radius of the bridges. Q: Please clarify what is meant by “credit” on row 6. The statement contained in the asterisk indicates that the Joint Board (States’ Parties) might request that the surplus equipment be packaged, labeled and delivered within a 60 mile radius. Q: Please confirm that the dollar value to be entered by proposers in cell B-6, shall be the costs associated with packaging, labeling and delivering the surplus equipment to the Joint Board (“States Parties”). If this is not correct, what value is to be entered in cell B-6?	The intent of the credit is to get a value for all the existing equipment that is to be removed should the Proposer want to keep it. This is to include equipment from all locations. Should the credit not be acceptable by the JBR, the JBR will move forward with salvage via help from the Proposer.  The value in B-6 is intended for the value of salvage only. Salvage is all the legacy equipment that is decommissioned and removed by RSP2. This cost is standalone and not intended to cover the actual effort of decommissioning (including packaging, labeling, and delivering)
85	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-014	Technical Requirements	Who provides the utility and communications services before and during transition? Will there be sufficient capacity to run the desired performance and loads tests?	Transition of utilities from either TSP1 or the States to RSP2 will be coordinated with the appropriate parties at a later time. It is anticipated that there will be sufficient capacity to conduct whatever testing is needed.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
86	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-017	Technical Requirements	Confirm that the Repair Time KPI clock does not start until the approved MOT start time begins which includes the emergency 12 hour prior writing notice cycle.	The KPI availability clock is stopped while waiting for JBR's MOT approval.  Volume II will be updated in in an upcoming Addendum.
87	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K FUNC-005	Technical Requirements	Who is responsible for providing the media and storage location for the off-system storage? Who is responsible for the associated viewing mechanism?	It will be clarified in a future addendum that RSP2 is not responsible for providing the secondary storage device referenced in FUNC-005.
88	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Exhibit 6-B. Pass-Through Cost Items	Proposal	Please confirm that pass through cost items do not need to be estimated as part of the proposal submission process and therefore should not be included in Form G.	Confirmed.
89	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	ORB Volume II Addendum 2, Exhibit 2 Category 1	Technical	The numerator for availability seems to be the summation of all lane down time minutes, is the denominator in elapsed time for the period (i.e. 30 days * 24 hours * 60 minutes = 43,300 minutes, or is it multiplied by the number of lanes/shoulders to get lane minutes?  Do equipped shoulders count as lanes for this calculation?	The denominator is not multiplied by the number of lanes/shoulders.  Equipped shoulders are included in the calculation.
90	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	ORB Volume II Addendum 2, Exhibit 2 Category 1	Technical	How is downtime for availability counted while MOT is awaiting approval? Waiting the full 12 hours minimal for approval would cause the lane to exceed the allowed downtime for the reporting period.	The KPI availability clock is stopped while waiting for JBR's MOT approval.  Volume II will be updated in in an upcoming Addendum.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
91	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	ORB Volume II Addendum 2, Exhibit 2 Category 1	Technical	The third bullet discusses rejected transaction corrections needing to occur within the 120 minutes. Is the rejection notification fully automated so as to minimize the turnaround? Or is there an operator in the loop which could cause the rejection notice to be delayed by minutes, hours or days?	Communication between the systems will be driven by the defined ICD. Should that defined ICD not support sufficient communication between the two to meet the requirement, it can and will be addressed between RSP2 and TSP2.
92	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Exhibit B Part 2	Technical Proposal Instructions	Under the Planned Approaches section, the instructions state that "As part of the Technical Requirements response, Proposers shall respond directly to the each of the outlined topics below. Responses shall be no more than ten (10) pages in length..." Please clarify if responses to each topic are limited to 10 pages in length totaling a maximum of 60 pages for K-1 through K-6, or if the response for K-1 to K-6 is expected to be no more than 10 pages in length in total.	Correct, each Planned Approach response shall not exceed 10 pages with a total of no more than 60 pages.
93	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume III – Page 13 - Proposed New Toll Zone Site Locations	Proposed New Toll Zone Site Locations	The proposed new Toll Zone Location, at the Kennedy Bridge, appears to be located where the gore zone is closing and, therefore, is very narrow. Please clarify if the Proposer is expected to propose any dedicated equipment for the gore area at the Kennedy Bridge.	Proposed solution should ensure that vehicles driving anywhere on the roadway will be captured and processed as a transaction. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to ensure this.
94	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II -page 154 - EXHIBIT 2. KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES	Key Performance Measurements and Support Information	Please confirm if all transactions should go through ALPR/OCR or only image-based ones (e.g., without a valid transponder).	Confirmed. All transactions should go through ALPR/OCR.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
95	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form- K_20220201 FUNC-005	Requirements	Please specify the expected quality of the DVAS video in terms of resolution and internal frame rate (frames per second).	A future addendum will specify the quality of the DVAS video.
96	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I -page- 50-K-2. Approach to Scope of Work and Project Requirements	Planned Approaches	Please indicate what RTCS components should be included in the Disaster Recovery.	All RTCS component necessary to recover from a disaster and re-establish operations should be included.
97	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I -page- 50-K-2. Approach to Scope of Work and Project Requirements	Planned Approaches	Please specify the desired distance between the primary and disaster recovery sites. Please specify your Disaster Recovery Time (RTO) and Point Objectives (RPO).	It will be specified in a future addendum that the minimum distance between the primary and disaster recovery sites shall be 100 miles. Also, requirement(s) regarding RTO and RPO will be specified.
98	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I -page 7 – 1.3.2.3 RTCS Functionality and Services		The paragraph states that the RSP2 shall provide power backup systems. Please clarify if the RSP2 shall provide uninterruptable power supplies (UPS) for the toll zones. If yes, what is the expected runtime?	It will be clarified and specified in a future Form K addendum that RSP2 shall be responsible for providing UPS-backed electrical power for the toll zones with 60 minutes minimum runtime and ensuring no interruption in power if and when the power transitions between the utility source and the generator.
99	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form- K_20220201	Requirements	Please specify how many concurrent end-users are expected for the RTCS, namely DVAS, Reporting, and MOMS systems.	It will be specified in a future addendum that the RTCS shall be required to support five (5) concurrent JBR end-users (in addition to RSP2's needs for concurrent users) for DVAS, reporting, and MOMS systems related needs.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
100	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form- K_20220201	Requirements	<p>Please provide desired retention periods for the following data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Toll transactions</li> <li>• License plate images</li> <li>• Tag status and toll schedule files, alert vehicles lists</li> <li>• MOMS maintenance messages</li> <li>• System logs</li> </ul>	<p>In a future addendum, it will be specified that the RTCS shall be required to store:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transactions, images and tag status files online for a minimum of 60 days</li> <li>• Toll schedule files, Alert Vehicles Lists, MOMS messages, and System logs for a minimum of 1 year online and in archive thereafter for the duration of the Contract</li> </ul>
101	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II -page 154 - EXHIBIT 2. KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES	Key Performance Measurements and Support Information	<p>The Complete Traffic Transactions and Images Timely Transmission to the BOS KPI states that the RTCS shall provide accurate and complete traffic transactions with all required images based upon TSP2's BOS ICD. The ICD defines the following image types:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FSI -front shot image</li> <li>• BSI – back shot image</li> <li>• FSIROI -front shot image region of interest</li> <li>• BSIROI -back shot image region of interest</li> <li>• OVERVIEW -overview image</li> </ul> <p>However, the RFP requirements do not refer to the overview images. Please, confirm what image types should be produced by the RTCS.</p>	<p>It will be clarified in a future addendum that the RTCS shall be required to produce the image types listed in the provided ICD except for the OVERVIEW image. The OVERVIEW image will not be required.</p>
102	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II -page 154 - EXHIBIT 2. KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES	Key Performance Measurements and Support Information	<p>Please provide compliance thresholds for the following key performance indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vehicle detection success rate</li> <li>• Transponder read success rate (for valid transponders mounted in accordance with the manufacturer instructions)</li> <li>• Vehicle classification accuracy</li> <li>• Vehicle separation accuracy</li> <li>• Image capture and association accuracy</li> </ul>	<p>The KPIs are defined and are not broken down to the component sub-system level.</p>



No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
103	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I -page 8 – 1.3.2.6 Project Facility		Please clarify whether the project facility or any other building in the vicinity can be used as a datacenter for the centralized RTCS components such as MOMS, DVAS, Reporting and an interface to the BOS?	We are not stipulating where the datacenter needs to be.
104	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS-Replacement_Form-K_20220201 GEN-007 to GEN-013	Requirements	<p>Please clarify, for those requirements in which the Proposer should decide whether to reuse equipment or to install new equipment, how to answer between “Meets”, “Meets w/Config.”, “Meets w/Cust.”, or “Exception”.</p> <p>Will the Joint Board read that “Meets” means that the Proposer is electing to reuse equipment or to install new equipment?</p>	Assuming that RSP2 will choose either option, “Meets” will suffice for the response.
105	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 34 - 5.2. IFA and Joint Board Reservation and Rights	Financials	<p>The RFP states that the Joint Board has the right to “Offer a Proposer the opportunity to cure its failure to meet required financial qualifications by providing a guaranty (or guaranties) of the Contract Documents by a third party;”</p> <p>Would it be possible to disclose the required financial qualifications?</p>	The Joint Board will assess a Proposer's profitability, capital structure, ability to service existing debt, and other commitments and contingencies of the Proposer. See criteria on page 52.
106	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS-Replacement_Form-G_20220201	Salvage Credit	Please clarify what legacy equipment is available and if it may be used by the proposer for spare parts.	It is assumed that Proposers will price all new equipment needed to run/maintain the Toll collection system. If during decommissioning there are pieces of equipment that will suffice for replacements, at the discretion of RSP2, RSP2 and the States can negotiate the terms at that time. The only pieces of equipment that will transfer over are those needed for the CTRMS's.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
107, 108	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 8 - RTCS Functionality and Services	Salvage Credit	<p>“The States’ Parties reserve the right to surplus legacy equipment after the award of the contract. Should the States’ Parties decide to surplus such equipment, RSP2 shall be responsible to package, label, and deliver such equipment within a 60-mile radius of the bridges.”</p> <p>When was the last time the system was upgraded/ updated (hw)? Which sub-systems were upgraded?</p>	Besides items that have failed, the system has not been updated since it was installed
109	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I – Page 44 - a. Financial Capacity Information	Financials	<p>“Financially Responsible Party Letter of Support: If Financial statements of a parent company or Affiliate company (a “Financially Responsible Party”) are provided to demonstrate financial capability of Proposer or Equity Members or Major Subcontractor of Proposer, an appropriate letter from the applicable Financially Responsible Party must be provided confirming that it will financially support all the obligations of Proposer or Equity Member or Major Subcontractor of Proposer, as applicable with respect to the Project.”</p> <p>Please clarify the difference between a financially responsible party and a Guarantor. If the Proposer is presenting the financial statements of a parent company, must the parent company sign the Form L, committing to provide a guaranty?</p>	<p>A Financially Responsible Party is provided by the Proposer as a means to determine the financial capability of the Proposer, Equity Members or Major Subcontractor whereby the Financially Responsible Party confirms it will financially support all obligations of those parties, as applicable, with respect to the Project.</p> <p>Although perhaps overlapping, a Guarantor would be a person providing a guaranty with respect to RSP2’s obligations under the Contract.</p> <p>Form L would only be required in the event a Guaranty is required. See language under C. Guarantor Commitment on page 47 for criteria when a Guarantor is required.</p>
110	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	N/A	Data Retention	Provide retention requirements for data that will be contained within the system. This will include data such as: transaction details, alerts, equipment status, images, work orders, log files, tag status files, CSC interface files.	<p>In a future addendum, it will be specified that the RTCS shall be required to store:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transactions, images and tag status files online for a minimum of 60 days</li> <li>• Toll schedule files, Alert Vehicles Lists, MOMS messages, and System logs for a minimum of 1 year online and in archive thereafter for the duration of the Contract</li> </ul>

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
111	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	N/A	Data Center	Provide any requirements on location of primary and disaster recovery data centers where server equipment will be located.	There are no data center location requirements, it is up to Proposer to decide.
112	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K, FUNC-007	General	Requirement FUNC-007 states, "RSP2 shall ensure that the JBR has direct and unlimited/unhindered access to the DVAS that includes allowing the JBR to access and utilize the DVAS remotely." Please confirm if there are certain trusted locations which should be provided access directly and if remote access through a VPN connection will suffice for other locations.	Confirmed.
113	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K, DEL-030	Disaster Recovery / Business Continuity Plan (DR/BCP)	Provide disaster recovery and business continuity requirements including: Recovery Point Objective (RPO), Recovery Time Objective (RTO), and any geographic distribution requirements. We suggest an RPO of 1 hour and RTO of 24 hours.	It will be specified in a future addendum that the minimum distance between the primary and disaster recovery sites shall be 100 miles. Also, requirement(s) regarding RTO and RPO will be specified.
114	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, pg. 50 (PDF: 53/114)	K-2. Approach to Scope of Work and Project Requirements	Text reads: "Provide a preliminary bill of materials (BOM) that meets the requirements in Section 1.3.2 Project Description and Form K." We request LSIORB to allow vendors to provide its Bill of Materials as an attachment to the proposal considering the BOM falls under the 10-page limit response section.	The BOM can be provided as an attachment as not to count against page limits.
115	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, pg. 48 (PDF: 51/114)	Form K. Planned Approaches	Text reads: "Responses shall be no more than ten (10) pages in length and shall provide sufficient detail on the approach the Proposer will take on the Project." We request that tables and figured which are part of the technical response can be submitted as an attachment to the proposal.	Confirmed.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
116	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, pg. 51 (PDF: 54/114)	K-6 Relevant Project Experience	We request that the references of the three (3) projects can be submitted as an attachment to the proposal considering it falls under the 10-page limit response section.	Confirmed.
117	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	RFP Vol 1 Page 12/114	1.5.1. Project Schedule	<p>“The Joint Board reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to revise, modify or change the RFP, procurement process and/or schedule at any time during this procurement.”</p> <p>For pricing and schedule consideration in developing our plan to accommodate these potential schedule adjustments, please provide a maximum and minimum limit to the time period of acceleration or delay which Proposer could reasonably expect changes in the active construction projects.</p>	At this time, there is no expectation that the schedule will move. However, for planning purposes, it will not be accelerated into 2022, nor will it be delayed past Aug 2023. However, per Volume I, Section 1.5.4 the JB reserves the right to revise, modify or change the schedule at any time during this procurement.
118	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K, DEL-0009	Project Schedule	<p>“RSP2 shall not schedule the reviews of different documents to be concurrent or overlap.”</p> <p>Based on the number of deliverables and the number of multiple review cycles per deliverable, we request this requirement be removed or modified to avoid potential schedule delays caused by extended review times.</p>	Given the limited number of staff the JBR has, this requirement will remain.
119	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume 1 And Volume II	Key Procurement Dates and 4.1.2	<p>“Award and Execution of Contract June 17, 2022”</p> <p>and</p> <p>“Authorization allowing RSP2 to proceed with Work hereunder shall be provided through issuance of the NTP.”</p> <p>For uniformity in development of the Proposal Schedule, please provide an anticipated NTP date for the Implementation Phase.</p>	It is anticipated that NTP will be provided prior to August 1, 2022.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
120	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K And Volume 1	DEL-016 AND 1.3.2.2	<p>"all Project Schedules, including all monthly updates, shall be provided in both MS Project"</p> <p>and</p> <p>"Contractor will establish detailed schedules...to coordinate RTCS activities."</p> <p>In order to more efficiently interface and coordinate Milestones with the Toll Gantry/Site Contractor's ("Contractor's") schedule, we request approval to use Oracle/Primavera P6 in lieu of Microsoft Project.</p>	All schedules provided to the JBR will need to be submitted as per the requirement.
121	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K	DEL-016	<p>"Acceptance is achieved no later than 60 days after Full Revenue Service is achieved"</p> <p>Please clarify "Acceptance" as referenced in this requirement, as it is not a defined term in the contract documents.</p>	Clarification will be provided in an updated Form K Addendum.
122	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume 1 Pdf 11	Project Schedule	<p>"RSP2 will work collaboratively and in coordination with the TSP2 to integrate complete traffic Transactions with images and messages into the new TSP2 BOS no sooner than 60 days after TSP2 BOS go-live date"</p> <p>Please provided the currently anticipated TSP2 BOS Go-Live Date.</p>	It is anticipated that TSP2 will go-live April 2023.
123	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II, Pdf 27	Section 3. Join Board Review Process	<p>The document review process in Volume II, Section 3 is different than the document review process noted in the Deliverables section of the Requirements Form K (DEL-001 through DEL-015).</p> <p>Please explain the differences and which contract section shall govern document deliverables and reviews.</p>	In a future addendum, Form K will be modified to be in better alignment with Section 3 of Volume II.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
124	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume 1, pdf p.54	E. Preliminary Project Schedule	"The preliminary Project Schedule and narrative may not exceed 10 pages" We request the Project Schedule page limit be increased from 10 pages to 20 pages in order to include sufficient schedule details as required throughout the RFP.	The Project Schedule page limit has been expanded to 20 pages. An updated Volume I Addendum will reflect this modification.
125	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume 1, pg. 44, Insurance	Insurance	Proposer has delivered written evidence, satisfactory to the Joint Board, from an insurance company(ies), broker(s), agent(s) or advisor(s) expressly indicating that Proposer will be able to obtain and maintain the insurance types and amounts required by the Contract Documents and can do so under the terms, and subject to the conditions, specified in Section 9 of the Contract. The insurance company(ies)', broker(s)', agent(s)' or advisor(s)' signatories to such written evidence shall explicitly state that it/they have read the Contract Documents and insurance requirements set for the therein and that the Persons required to obtain insurance under the Contract have the capability of obtaining such insurance in the coverages and under the conditions listed in the Contract. Will a certificate of insurance on an ACORD form showing coverage lines and limits be acceptable written evidence to meet this proposal requirement?	Yes, so long as they are supplemented by a letter from the covering insurance company that the information contained within the ACORD form is accurate and it has read the Contract Documents and that the Proposer has the capability of obtaining such insurance required under the Contract.
126	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II, Pg. 164-181	Exhibit 5 Bonds	The Performance, Payment, Warranty and Maintenance Bond Forms Prior to issuance of the Contract Bonds, will the surety be given the opportunity to offer modifications to the bond forms that will allow the surety to add language that gives the surety the customary options to obtain bids, perform or pay?	At this time, the Joint Board does not anticipate changing the requirements of this section.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
127	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I, Pg. 39 Form A	Form A	The RFP states that the Proposal Letter shall be signed in blue ink by all Equity Members and shall be accompanied by evidence of signatory authorization as specified in Form A and is preferred to be the original, wet signature. If wet signature is not practical, other means will be accepted i.e. DocuSign, notary. Will an e-signature be sufficient for any executable documentation required with the submission, or would a wet signature be required where applicable?	An e-signature will be sufficient.
128	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume III, pg. 14	Lincoln Bridge – Court Avenue Exit Ramp	The sketch provided shows the location of the proposed gantries for the mainlane toll zone, but nothing for the Court Avenue Exit Ramp. Should the proposer assume a brownfield conversion for this ramp, or will there be new gantries installed for this location?	The Court Avenue Exit ramp will be a brownfield conversion. No new gantries will be installed at this ramp.
129	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume III, pgs 24-58	Generator Specification	Specifications for the generators and semi-annual inspections were provided as part of Volume III. Was the intent of this information to provide proposers with the recommended manufacturer, size, and style generator that should be included in the proposal? Please clarify that the generators are to be replaced.	It is up to the Proposer to decide if any current generator will remain, or if any new one will be installed. The intent of including this information was to help each Proposer assess the current state of the generators and if they will work with the Proposer's solution.
130	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	General	General	Is the LSIORB a tax exempt and if so, will they provide a tax exemption certificate?	IFA is tax-exempt. Proposers should exclude sales tax when determining prices. A tax-exempt certificate will be provided upon selection.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
131	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-III Section 4	RTCS Diagrams and Images	Will the IFA please confirm that there is no ferrous material or expansion joints in the concrete cross section?	There is asphalt pavement at the downtown and East End locations where the new gantries will be installed. There is no ferrous material, expansion joints or rebar in asphalt pavement.
132	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-III Section 4	RTCS Diagrams and Images	Will the IFA please confirm that the rebar is below 6 inches on the roadways?	There is asphalt pavement at the downtown and East End locations where the new gantries will be installed. There is no ferrous material, expansion joints or rebar in asphalt pavement.
133	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-III Section 4	RTCS Diagrams and Images	Will the IFA clarify what input the RSP2 will have in the location of the new gantries?	Location of new gantries to be decided by the States, but feasibility of new location to be addressed/discussed with RSP2.
134	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-I Section 1.2	Existing RiverLink System	<i>"and one (1) set of ramp Toll Zone gantries spanning two (2) travel lanes and two (2) shoulders on the Court Avenue exit."</i>  Please confirm that the Authority desires the two lanes and two shoulders to be fully equipped to capture images and transponders. One shoulder lane appears not to be equipped in the images.	Proposed solution should ensure that vehicles driving anywhere on the roadway will be captured and processed as a transaction. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to ensure this.



No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
135	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-I Section 1.3.2.5	Communication Functionality and Services	<p>"Communication requirements include the following: LAN (between the toll gantry and toll equipment pad) and WAN (between toll equipment pad and RTCS back office)."</p> <p>Will the Authority please confirm that the fiber network is local to and independent within each of the toll zones and that the WAN has internet service at the cabinet locations?</p> <p>Will the authority please provide a diagram with distances to the associated Changeable Message Toll Rate Signs?</p>	<p>Confirmed.</p> <p>Google Maps locations for the CMTRS will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p>
136	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS-Replacement_Form-K_20220201 GEN-004	General Requirements	<p><i>"Each lane of the RTCS, including each travel, gore and shoulder lane, shall be capable of handling at least 2,400 vehicles per hour."</i></p> <p>Please confirm that the requirement implies that each travel, gore, shoulder lane must be fully equipped and collecting revenue.</p>	<p>Proposed solution should ensure that vehicles driving anywhere on the roadway in a Toll Zone will be captured and processed as a transaction. It is up to the Proposer on how they want to ensure this.</p>
137	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-III	Generator Specifications and Semi-Annual Inspections	<p><i>Inspection Checklist Pages 40-42.</i></p> <p>The inspection dated 2/09/2021 details a generator that failed the operations test due to a severe rat infestation. Please confirm if the situation was remediated and that the generator is now operational and rat free? Could the Authority please provide the manuals or the generator engine serial number (not the provided serial number, specific to the engine) so the manuals can be downloaded for evaluation of the condition of the generators?</p>	<p>The rodent infestation was resolved by installing a screen and steel wool to deter the mice from getting back in. Subsequent inspections in June 2021 and December 2021 did not find any remaining rodent issue.</p> <p>The generator manuals can be found at the following URLs:</p> <p><a href="https://www.manualslib.com/products/Cummins-C40-N6-10231681.html">https://www.manualslib.com/products/Cummins-C40-N6-10231681.html</a></p> <p><a href="https://www.manualslib.com/products/Cummins-C100-N6-10234677.html">https://www.manualslib.com/products/Cummins-C100-N6-10234677.html</a></p> <p>The Cummins manuals URLs will be included in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.</p>

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
138	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form- K_20220201  GEN-002 & FUN029	General Requirements	"- generate alert if any vehicle is determined to be on the Alert Vehicles List (also commonly referred to in the industry as the Alert Plates List, Hot List, and Watch List) "  Can these alerts and messaging be routed via MOMS? This would permit integrated logging etc.	Yes, these alerts and messaging can be routed via MOMS.
139	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form- G_20220201  Form G	Pricing	<i>Salvage Credit</i>  Will the Authority please clarify the request for a salvage credit? We understand the required MOT and the level of effort for removal, boxing, labeling and delivery of existing equipment and that we are not responsible for storage, but are not understanding the single item for salvage credit. Is the intent to price all those items for all five gantries into a single item?	The intent of the credit is to get a value for all the existing equipment that is to be removed should the Proposer want to keep it. This is to include equipment from all locations. Should the credit not be acceptable by the JBR, the JBR will move forward with salvage via help from the Proposer
140	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB- Roadside-Toll- Collection- System- Replacement- RFP-Volume-I  ID 6	Exhibit F - Tolling Zone Responsibility Matrix	"RSP2 to provide fiber backbone in accordance with the Technical Requirements."  Can the Authority please clarify the scope of work for the network? There is no material relating to the fiber backbone in the technical requirements. Please confirm our assumption that this is in regard to the additional fiber required that are related to the new gantry locations and the extra distance to the Changeable messages toll rate signs? Please provide additional details and general distances to assist in evaluation and pricing.	RSP2 will be responsible for providing fiber backbone from the existing splice vault located, nearest to the existing toll gantries, to the new toll gantries.  The Responsibilities Matrix will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.  Google Maps locations for the CTRMS locations will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
141	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-I ID 2	Exhibit F - Tolling Zone Responsibility Matrix	Can the authority please clarify who is responsible for fencing around the new cabinet and generator locations?	The Toll Gantry/Site Contractor will provide the fencing around each cabinet and generator. The Responsibilities Matrix in Volume I will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
142	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-I ID 7	Exhibit F - Tolling Zone Responsibility Matrix	"Toll Gantry and Site Contractor has responsibility to Furnish and install toll equipment cabinets."  Please confirm RSP2 will have design input into the cabinets that will be provided and that the cabinets will include all features (insulation, HVAC, etc.) required to support the lane side equipment?	Yes, RSP2 will have cabinet design and feature input.
143	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS-Replacement_Form-K_20220201 GEN-012	General Requirements	"Since RSP2's work at DTS, DTN, ECS and ECN involves utilizing new toll gantries structures and infrastructure being provided by others, RSP2 shall also provide and comply with respective requirements as specified by the Tolling Zones Responsibilities Overview Matrix. Also, note that work at both DTS and ECS/ECN may involve the installation and utilization of new or relocated electric utility service, gas service, generators and power distribution equipment."  Since the RSP2 is responsible to provide the new generator, power connections, power conditioning and main and sub power panels to service any toll equipment located at toll equipment site or toll gantry, will the Authority please clarify quantities the respondent should price?	Since much of the details of the work to performed related to this requirement is being left to the discretion of RSP2, no additional clarification regarding requested quantities can be provided and it is expected that each Proposer determine the appropriate quantities and price them accordingly.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
144	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System-Replacement-RFP-Volume-I ID 7	Exhibit F - Tolling Zone Responsibility Matrix	"Toll Gantry and Site Contractor has responsibility to Furnish and install toll equipment cabinets."  Can RSP2 assume the Site Contractor supplies AVI cabinets also?	RSP2 is responsible for furnishing and installing all gantry mounted cabinets. Contractor is responsible for furnishing and installing cabinets on the toll equipment pads.
145	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K Func 013	Technical	Are the mechanisms for managing the communication maintenance only through SMS / email?	Confirmed.
146	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	Regarding communications between toll sites and control center, does the FO communications provider lay fiber cable to toll site control cabinets and terminate fibers in an internal optical distribution frame? Vendor's scope is to provide enough space in cabinet to allocate such optical distribution frame; is that correct?	RSP2 should be responsible for providing fiber backbone from the existing splice vault located, nearest to the existing toll gantries, to the new toll gantries.  Volume I's Responsibilities Matrix will be updated in an upcoming Addendum.
147	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K TST-001	Technical	How many different non-production environments are required at the central level for testing or preproduction tasks in addition to the operational production environment?	The number of environments is to be decided by the Proposer.
148	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K TST-003	Technical	Please confirm that FAT testing can be performed using an existing IT infrastructure located in a current lab at RSP2's facilities.	Confirmed.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
149	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K TST-004 to 010	Technical	What does JBR expect to test in each kind of testing stage? (LAT, SIT, SAT, etc) with what kind of vehicles? Could JBR give more detail on each type of test?	The JBR looks for Proposers to propose solutions that will ultimately ensure that the requirements outlined in the RFP are met. How/when/where those requirements are satisfied will be up to the Proposer to propose and can be discussed/negotiated at the various testing stages.
150	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K GEN-017	Technical	Can JBR confirm that there are three kinds of MOT request procedures?  Preventive maintenance: in writing 14 days in advance Emergency maintenance: in writing 12 hours in advance Immediate lane closures: via phone and e-mail.  If yes, can you confirm that immediate lane closures can be performed at any time, 24/7?	All lane closures need to follow the States MOT procedure guidelines.
151	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. I	Schedule	Could you provide an expected "new TSP2 BOS go-live date"?	It is anticipated that TSP2 will go live April 2023.
152	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume I / 1.3.2.4. Toll-Related ITS Functionality and Services	Technical	Could you confirm CCTV and Changeable Message Toll Rate Sign ("CMTRS") central systems will be the central system currently in operations? Could you confirm both are provided now by Trimark?	The RFP requires RSP2 to reuse (including integrate to) and maintain the existing Changeable Message Toll Rate Signs (CMTRSs). The RFP requires RSP2 to replace the CMTRS cameras with new.  Trimark does not provide these services.
153	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II / 4.3.1. Delay Liquidated Damages	Contract	Suppose the Full Revenue Service Date is delayed. Will the Delay Liquidated Damages date be adjusted according to delays on a) TSP2 BOS Go-Live or b) the availability for RSP2 toll equipment installation by April 1, 2023?	If Full Revenue Service is not achieved by fault of RSP2, the JBR reserves the right to assess Delay Liquidated Damages. However, because of coordination with others, if there is a delay outside of control of RSP2, then the date can and will be moved.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
154	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume II Section 11.4	Contract	Considering that the warranty period, according to industry standards, is generally 1 to 2 years maximum and that services provided under warranty are distinct from O&M services, please confirm our understanding that the warranty period does not cover the full O&M Term, only the period of 1 to 2 years (depending on the product or equipment), from the system acceptance?	As indicated in the Contract, the Warranty Period shall extend for the full Contract Term. The intent of the Warranty Period is to address the equipment side of costs and it is anticipated that RSP2 will be able to take advantage of supplier and manufacture warranties. The price for the maintenance related to equipment repair and maintenance should be addressed in the monthly O&M pricing, along with any equipment that does not have a manufacturer warranty or in which that warranty expires before the end of the contract.
155	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-I	Schedule	Is the installation of the DTO tolling point also limited to no earlier than April 1, 2023, or could it be installed earlier if a possible delay in the delivery of the civil works and gantries of the DTS and DTN charging points is identified?	DTO equipment installation is also constrained to be no earlier than April 1, 2023.
156	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K MISC-006	Technical	How many workstations are required, and what are the minimum hardware requirements?	Proposed solutions are up to the Proposer to decide.
157	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	Please confirm that the access control to all cabinets and CCTV monitoring consists of door contacts in cabinets, card reader, and door contact? Also, does one camera covers the pad zone, fence, and perimeter?	The RFP specifies functional requirements for the Access Control and Monitoring System (ACMS) and intentionally leaves much of the details to the discretion of RSP2.
158	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	If there is not an access control system and DVR, please confirm if current pads to be decommissioned in the future shall be temporarily monitored or not.	Form K (see FUNC-014) specifies that the ACMS shall also include cameras that continue to monitor any existing RTCS cabinets and generators that will remain.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
159	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol. I	Rules	Please provide your current Tolling Business Rules.	The LSIORB/RiverLink Business Rules can be found here: <a href="https://riverlink.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/2018-12-18-RiverLink-Business-Rules.pdf">https://riverlink.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/2018-12-18-RiverLink-Business-Rules.pdf</a> .  This URL will be added to an upcoming Volume III Addendum.
160	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I: 1.3.2.6 (page 8)	Project	May the vendor use this space for spares and other equipment and a maintenance workshop?	Confirmed.
161	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	RFP Exhibit B. Part 2	Proposal	Please confirm that the page limit of 10 pages applies to each planned approach topic i.e., K-1 to K-6 for a total of 60 pages.	Correct, each Planned Approach response shall not exceed 10 pages with a total not exceeding 60 pages.
162	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K – GEN-013	Requirement	Please describe the communication network interconnecting the CMTRS infrastructure to the Toll Zones.	Additional information will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.
163	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	RFP Section 1.2	Axle and height classification	Would the Joint Board be willing to consider using a combination of vehicle, length, width, and height to classify vehicles into passenger, medium, and large vehicle categories?	Specific classes of vehicles have already been dictated by the JBR. Exact dimensions can be discussed on how vehicles as assigned to each of those classes.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response					
164	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System- Replacement-RFP-Volume-III. Table on page 6	CCTV traffic cameras	Please confirm if I-265 gantry will have only one CCTV camera to monitor both directions.	There is one East End/I-265 CCTV cameras to monitor traffic by direction. A field inventory list will be provided in an upcoming Volume III Addendum.					
165	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form-K_20220201.xlsb - DEL-009 And LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System- Replacement-RFP-Volume-II-SECTION 3. JOINT BOARD REVIEW PROCESS	Schedule	<p>DEL009 indicates (1) week for review of outlines and two (2) weeks for review of all other versions.</p> <p>3.1.3. The Joint Board shall have a period of up to ten (10) days after receipt of such submission...</p> <p>Planning 10 calendar days as opposed to 2 weeks per submission could improve delivery time</p>	<p>Review periods will remain as defined within the requirements.</p> <p>In a future addendum, Form K will be modified to be in better alignment with Section 3 of Volume II.</p>					
166	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-Roadside-Toll-Collection-System- Replacement-RFP-Volume-II-I. Payment terms related to initial costs And LSIORB-RTCS- Replacement_Form-K_20220201.xlsb	Schedule	<p>Could it be considered by JBR a reasonable set of submittals for review the way deliverables are associated to payment milestones?</p> <p>This way we can schedule review periods by milestone.</p> <p>e.g.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="953 1243 1442 1406"> <tr> <td rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Milestone</td> <td rowspan="3" style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">1.2 Planning</td> <td>Project Schedule</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Project Management &amp; Quality Assurance (PM&amp;QAP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Software Development Plan (SDP)</td> </tr> </table>	Milestone	1.2 Planning	Project Schedule	Project Management & Quality Assurance (PM&QAP)	Software Development Plan (SDP)	Yes.
Milestone	1.2 Planning	Project Schedule									
		Project Management & Quality Assurance (PM&QAP)									
		Software Development Plan (SDP)									



No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response		
					<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Installation and Transition Plan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Master Test Plan (MTP)</td> </tr> </table>	Installation and Transition Plan	Master Test Plan (MTP)	
Installation and Transition Plan								
Master Test Plan (MTP)								
167	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	N/A	Data Retention	Please specify the data retention period for transactions, images, logs, and MOMS data.	<p>In a future addendum, it will be specified that the RTCS shall be required to store:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transactions, images and tag status files online for a minimum of 60 days</li> <li>• Toll schedule files, Alert Vehicles Lists, MOMS messages, and System logs for a minimum of 1 year online and in archive thereafter for the duration of the Contract</li> </ul>		
168	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	N/A	Disaster Recovery / Business Continuity	Please describe the minimum acceptable Recovery Time Objective (RTO) and Recovery Point Objective (RPO) for providing optimal DR/BC capability.	It will be specified in a future addendum that the minimum distance between the primary and disaster recovery sites shall be 100 miles. Also, requirement(s) regarding RTO and RPO will be specified.		
169	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	LSIORB-RTCS-Replacement_Form-K_20220201.xlsb – MISC-009	FCC license	<p>Typically, on a replacement system FCC license just gets updated by the licensee registered contact.</p> <p>To view current license public information, could you provide FRN number?</p>	FRN information will be provided in a future Addendum.		
170	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	FORM K. DEL-009	Delivery	Text is “Also, RSP2 shall not schedule the reviews of different documents to be concurrent or overlap.” Since the proposed deliveries restriction expands the project schedule significantly, will JRB allow some delivery overlaps? For example, we propose an "outline" to be reviewed when a “final” is in the second or later review?	In a future addendum, Form K will be modified to be in better alignment with Section 3 of Volume II.		

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
171	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 11.7	Contract	In , "Subject to Section 17 and in addition to the Joint Board's other rights and remedies hereunder, at Law or in equity, RSP2 shall be liable for actual damages resulting from any breach of an express <b>or implied Warranty</b> or any defect in the Work, including the cost of Performance of such obligations by others; provided, however, that RSP2's liability for revenue Loss resulting from the failure of the System to perform in accordance with the KPIs shall be as set forth in Exhibit 2 and in Section 5." What is "implied warranty", and under what circumstances does "implied warranty" apply?	An implied warranty is an automatic warranty that RSP2's products will operate as intended for the purposes of tolling.
172	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II 11.7	Contract	"Subject to Section 17 and in addition to the Joint Board's other rights and remedies hereunder, at Law or in equity, RSP2 shall be liable for actual damages..." Please define actual damages.	Actual damages would be the compensatory damages suffered by the Joint Board in the event of a breach.
173	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II 9.1.5. Crime Insurance	Contract	Will JRB consider reducing the crime insurance by 50% since only the very top executives at our company have access to bank accounts, credit files or similar?	At this time, the Joint Board does not anticipate reducing the crime insurance requirement.
174	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 13.6.2	Contract	If an Excusable Delay occurs, then are the contract dates extended by the "Excusable Delay" and no Liquidated Damages apply for the original dates but apply on the new dates which are extended by the Excusable Delay?	Yes.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
175	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 14	Contract	How much time will JRB give in the notice for the supplier to implement the Suspension? Will JRB provide a five-day implementation time and modify this paragraph?	The time will be determined by the Joint Board as it sees appropriate and dependent upon the circumstances.
176	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 15	Contract	Could RSP2 take any measure in case of breach or failure to make payments to the Joint Board (ex: suspension, end of the contract...?)	Unclear as to question being asked.
177	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II 9.1.2. Workers' Compensation Insurance 5 and 6	Contract	Considering our kind of work, we understand that United States Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act coverage endorsement ("WC 00 01 06") and Title 46 of the United States Code § 688 ("Jones Act") are not applicable since we will not work on waterways or related. May this clause be removed?	The language at the end of the clause, "on an 'if any' basis or as otherwise appropriate" means this will only apply if necessary.
178	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause 4.3	Contract	We understand Performance Liquidated Damages and the Performance Stipulated Damages are subject to the limits set forth in sections 17.1.1(a) and 17.1.2(a). Are the Delay Liquidated Damages subject to such limits? If no, do Delay Liquidated Damages have any limits? To submit a proposal, we require a limit on Delay Liquidated Damages.	Given the enormous potential financial impact to the States, should a delay continue for an extended period of time, no time limit on Delay Liquidated Damages can be given.
179	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 4.3	Contract	May a grace period be inserted prior to the start of the Liquidated Damages?	At this time, the Joint Board does not anticipate inserting a grace period relative to the start of Liquidated Damages.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
180	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 19.11	Contract	Will JRB negotiate an interruption of tasks when there is a dispute about the payment and it has not been resolved within 30 days? The interruption ends when the invoices are paid.	No, unless the invoices amount to undisputed amounts owed under the Contract. See Section 16.4 regarding RSP2 rights for failure to receive undisputed payment
181	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause:13.2.2	Contract	May JRB propose a limit to the reduction of the scope of work? Does a limit of 25% in total for work scope reduction work?	Joint Board does not anticipate placing any limit on the reduction of scope of work.
182	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 14.1	Contract	Will JRB provide a prior notice or short time period (e.g. 5 days) for vendor to implement the suspension?	The time will be determined by the Joint Board as it sees appropriate and dependent upon the circumstances.
183	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 13.6.2.1	Contract	Would it be possible to add clauses to include Covid-19, strikes/demonstrations (not against the RSP2) and natural disasters as Force Majeure reasons?	Joint Board does not anticipate making any changes to existing definition of Force Majeure found in Exhibit 1.
184	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 14	Contract	If the suspension is not RSP2's fault, would JRB compensate RSP2 for the impact and loss?	Compensation to be determined via a Joint Board Change Order (see Section 13).
185	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 15.1.2	Contract	Would it be possible to establish a prior notice period before termination?	Joint Board does not anticipate establishing a particular notice period before termination, but anticipates the notice given will be appropriate given the circumstances in that particular situation.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
186	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 15.10	Contract	Will JRB compensate RSP2 for the portion of work removed and for the the cost to shutdown that work?	Such would be determined as part of the Settlement Proposal and Amount of Negotiated Termination Settlement. See Sections 15.5 and Section 15.6.
187	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 15.10	Contract	Will JRB compensate RSP2 for the portion of work removed and for the the cost to shutdown that work?	Such would be determined as part of the Settlement Proposal and Amount of Negotiated Termination Settlement. See Sections 15.5 and Section 15.6.
188	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 15.10	Contract	Will JRB reduce the days between the receipt of the invoices and the payment from 30 to 15 days?	At this time, the Joint Board does anticipate reducing the days between receipt of the invoices and the payment.
189	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 2.4.6	Contract	Text says "The representations and warranties set forth in this Section 2.4.6 shall survive the O&M Term and shall survive the expiration or termination of this Contract." May JRB put a expiration date on these representations and warranties? Is a five-year expiration after contract ends satisfy JRB for an end point rather than a clause that lasts forever?	At this time, the Joint Board does not anticipate placing an expiration date on these representations and warranties.
190	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Volume-II Clause: 20.6.3	Contract	Please confirm our understanding that the JRB will only have access to the source code of the pre-existing software deposited in escrow to ensure the maintenance of the pre-existing software in the event RSP2 files for bankruptcy or otherwise fails to maintain the pre-existing software according to the terms and conditions of the Contract.	Confirmed.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
191	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	Can JBR state the current power consumption per toll point and the upper limit of power supply for the electrical system per toll point?	The current power consumption per toll point and the upper limit of power supply for the electrical system per toll point are not known. Note, however, that DTO, DTS and DTN currently share a common electrical utility power supply and generator.
192	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	How many hours have the generators been running since commissioning?	Generators have run less than 50 hours since installation and go-live.
193	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Vol I	Technical	Pease confirm that the pad area construction and the conduits pulling are out of RSP2's scope.	Confirmed.  Pad area is out of RSP2 scope. RSP2 scope includes pulling some cables in some conduits.
194	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K	Technical	Considering that the "urgent MOT request" approval needs to be 12 hours, does this delay count for the calculation of MTTR?	The KPI availability clock is stopped while waiting for JBR's MOT approval. Volume II will be updated in in an upcoming Addendum.
195	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K	Technical	Considering that the "urgent MOT request" approval needs to be 12hours, is these 12 hours used to calculate Loss of Revenues?	The KPI availability clock is stopped while waiting for JBR's MOT approval. Volume II will be updated in in an upcoming Addendum.
196	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K	Technical	Can JRB confirm that vehicle detection, classification and rear image is sufficient for a transaction to have revenue? In case it is not sufficient, please specify your understanding mentioning all the information needed for a minimum traffic transaction.	Minimum vehicle information is defined by the required fields within the Lane to BOS ICD in Volume III.  Also note that Form K (see FUNC-001) specifies that both front and rear images be captured.

No.	Date Received	Date Responded	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Joint Board Representative Response
197	3/4/2022	3/18/2022	Form K FUNC-011 -	Technical	For "receipt and storage of hardware and software status and event message," Does JRB have more information about what Hardware and Software is required to be monitored?	The JBR does not have more information about what hardware and software status and event messages are to be received and stored.