



FILED:
April 25,
2023

**STATE OF INDIANA
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PROCEEDINGS**

Gregory L. Wilson, Sr., in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, Coty Clifton, and Lawrencia Booth, Complainants, V. Tri Properties, LLC, Respondent.	Administrative Cause No.: ICRC-2301-000108 Underlying Agency Action No.: HOra22090557 05-22-7239-8
---	---

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

Pursuant to IC 4-21.5 and the Commission's June 19, 2020 Order: Automatic Adoption and Approval of Certain Non-Final Decisions, this Order is final, having been automatically approved by the Indiana Civil Rights Commission.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY & JURISDICTION

The Commission of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission ("ICRC") has subject matter jurisdiction over real estate discrimination complaints based on race and familial status that are filed under the Indiana Fair Housing Act ("IFHA") and the Indiana Civil Rights Law ("ICRL"). IND. CODE § 22-9-1-2; IND. CODE § 22-9-1-6; IND. CODE § 22-9.5-4-1; IND. CODE § 22-9.5-5. On January 12, 2023, the ICRC, after conducting a neutral investigation, made a reasonable cause finding on Coty Clifton and Lawrencia Booth's September 26, 2022 complaint that alleged Respondent violated the Indiana Fair Housing Act ("IFHA") by discriminating against Coty Clifton and Lawrencia Booth in the protected area of real estate on the basis of race and familial status. When a finding of cause is made under the IFHA, pursuant to the Commission's June 19, 2020 Finding of Necessity, the Office of Administrative Law Proceedings ("OALP") shall appoint an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to preside over the matter and to conduct a hearing. IND. CODE § 22-9-1-6; IND. CODE § 4-15-10.5-12; IND. CODE § 4-15-10.5-13.

ISSUE

Is Complainants' Notice of Withdrawal ("Motion") effective in dismissing this matter?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Motion was filed on April 25, 2023.

2. As represented in the Motion, the Parties have reached a settlement. As a result, Complainant requests that this matter be dismissed.
3. The Motion was served on all Parties before a Notice of Hearing was issued.
4. There is no evidence of fraud, coercion, duress, or any other reason not to grant the requested dismissal.
5. Any Conclusion of Law that should have been deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such, and this Order's statement of Procedural History is incorporated into these Findings of Fact.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties. IND. CODE § 22-9-1-2; IND. CODE § 22-9-1-3; IND. CODE § 22-9.5-5-5.
2. A Complainant can withdraw an ICRC complaint by filing a Notice of Withdrawal with the Commission. 910 IAC 1-2-6.
3. A Notice of Withdrawal is a written statement made by the Complainant in which the Complainant requests that the matter be closed. *Id.* The Notice of Withdrawal must be served on all Parties. *Id.*
4. If a hearing has been set, then the majority of the Commission must agree to the withdrawal before the withdrawal is effective in closing the matter. *Id.*
5. However, if a hearing has not been set, then the Notice of Withdrawal filed by a Complainant is immediately effective in closing the matter as of the date of filing. *Id.*
6. Furthermore, on June 19, 2020, the ICRC Commission issued its Order: Automatic Adoption and Approval of Certain Non-Final Decisions ("Commission's Order"). As detailed in the Commission's Order (attached as Exhibit A), the Commission, by majority vote, agreed to automatically approve any non-final decision issued by an ALJ in which an ALJ accepts a "Notice of Withdrawal filed by Complainant before a date for a hearing has been set," provided the Notice of Withdrawal complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6 or in which an ALJ accepts a joint motion to dismiss, provided the Parties waive their right to object to the ALJ's decision under IAOPA.
7. Complainant filed Complainants' Motion prior to the setting of a hearing in this matter, and Complainants' Motion complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6.
8. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and pursuant to IC 4-21.5-3-29 and the Commission's Order, this Order is an automatically affirmed as a Final Order disposing of the proceedings. IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-27(a).
9. Any Finding of Fact that should have been deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such, and this Order's Statement of Jurisdiction is incorporated into these Conclusions of Law.

DECISION

Having duly considered the above, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) for the Office of Administrative Law Proceedings (“OALP”) hereby orders as follows:

1. Complainants’ Motion is GRANTED.
2. Coty Clifton and Lawrencia Booth’s September 26, 2022 Complaint is DISMISSED, with prejudice.
3. Either Party to a dispute filed under IC 22-9.5 may, not more than thirty (30) days after the date of receipt of the Commission’s final appealable order, appeal to the court of appeals under the same terms, conditions, and standards that govern appeals in ordinary civil actions. IND. CODE § 22-9.5-11-1.

SO ORDERED: April 25, 2023



Hon. LaKesha Triggs, Administrative Law Judge
Indiana Office of Administrative Law Proceedings
100 North Senate Ave., Room N802
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 234-6689

Distribution List:

The following distribution list includes the names and mailing addresses of all known Parties and other persons to whom notice is being given. IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-18.

Coty Clifton and Lawrencia Booth: 215 East 37th Avenue, Apt. 3, Griffith, IN 46319

Gregory L. Wilson, Sr.*: 100 North Senate Ave., Room N300, Indianapolis, IN 46204

Vanessa Powell*: 100 N. Senate, Suite 300, Indianapolis, IN 46204

Tri Properties, LLC*: 3702 41st Lane, Highland, IN 46322

Nicholas Snow*: 11410 Broadway, Crown Point, IN 46307

Chair Slash of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission – ultimate authority and served at docketclerk@icrc.in.gov

**served in care of appearing attorney through ALP system at the email address on file with the Indiana Roll of Attorneys – all other service by mail.*

INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION
ORDER: AUTOMATIC ADOPTION AND APPROVAL OF CERTAIN NON-FINAL
DECISIONS

On June 19, 2020, the Majority of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission voted, under Indiana Code 4-21.5-3-29, to automatically adopt and approve as a final order any decision issued by an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") assigned to a matter under the June 19, 2020 Order: Finding of Necessity in which the assigned ALJ accepts the following:


1. A Joint Motion to Dismiss or similar motion in which all parties move for the matter's dismissal and waive their right to file objections to a decision issued by the ALJ under Indiana Code 4-21.5-3-29; and
2. A Notice of Withdrawal filed by Complainant before a date for a hearing has been set, provided the Notice of Withdrawal complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6(A)(i) and 910 IAC 1-2-6(B).


This Order does not apply to any Consent or Conciliation Agreements which require the signature of all approving Commission Members to be effective.

This Order applies with equal force to decisions issued under Indiana Code 22-9, *et. seq.* and Indiana Code 22-9.5, *et. seq.*


This Order is effective immediately.

SO ORDERED on June 19, 2020 by the majority vote of 4 Commissioners:


Chair Adrienne L. Slash


Vice-Chair Steven A. Ramos


Commissioner Holli Harrington


Commissioner James W. Jackson