SEVERE MATERNAL HYPERTENSION DE-BRIEFING FORM

Debrief Participan	ts:				Place p	atient sticker here		
Date and Time of Event: GA at Even		t (weeks & days):						
preeclampsia or e	e to treatment (<60minutes) for new onset se clampsia or chronic/gestational hypertensior , PP, ED) up to 6 months postpartum. Comp	n with superimpose	ed pi			· ·		
Medical Management			Medications		Dosage Given		Reason Not Given	
Time: hh:mm	Measure			Labetalol	□ 20 mg □ 40 mg □ 80 mg			
	BP reached \geq 160 or diastolic \geq 110 (sustained >15 minutes) Severe increase in BP that can lead to a stroke, typically systolic \geq 180, diastolic \geq 120					□ 5 mg □ 10 mg		
	First BP med given			Nifedipine	□ 1	.0 mg		
	BP reached <160 and diastolic BP <110			Magnesium Sulfate Bolus		4gm 6gm Other		
Did diastolic pressure fall to <80 within one hour after meds given?		☐ Yes ☐ No		Magnesium Sulfate Maintenance		1gm/hour 2gm/hour 3gm/hour Other		
If yes, was there corresponding deterioration in FH rate?		☐ Yes ☐ No		Any ANS (if<34 weeks)		Partial Course Complete Course Not Given	ò	
OB Complic	ations							
products □ Place □ Intracranial hemorrhage or Ischemic event □ ICU / □ Pulmonary Edema □ Eclai		☐ Placental Ab☐ ICU Admissi☐ Eclampsia	acental Abruption EU Admission Clampsia			☐ HELLP Syndrome☐ DIC☐ Ventilation☐ Other☐ None		
Discharge Management:								
Follow-up appointment scheduled within 3-10 days		□ No						
□ No								
		☐ Yes ☐ No] No					
Were education materials about preeclampsia given?		☐ Yes ☐ No						

Thinking about how the hypertension event was managed								
Identify what went well	Identify opportunities for improvement "human factors"	Identify opportunities for improvement "non-human factors"						
☐ Communication went well	☐ Communication needed improvement	☐ Delay in blood products availability						
☐ Teamwork went well	☐ Teamwork needed improvement	☐ Equipment issues						
☐ Leadership went well	☐ Leadership needed improvement	☐ Medication issues						
☐ Decision-making went well	☐ Decision-making needed improvement	☐ Inadequate support (in-unit or other areas)						
☐ Recognition to response went well	☐ Recognition to response needed improvement	☐ Delay in transport of patient						
☐ Roles of responding personnel went well	☐ Other:	☐ Other:						
☐ Other								
Comments:	Comments:	Comments:						

1) What could have been improved for this patient's care? Could we have predicted or prevented this?

2) Was the team leader identified and in control? Were team roles clear and appropriate?

3) Did we communicate clearly and use closed-loop communication?

4) Was rapid response consulted?