


HYDROGEOLOGY OF HARRISON COUNTY, INDIANA

BULLETIN 40











STATE OF INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER

2006

HYDROGEOLOGY OF HARRISON COUNTY, INDIANA

By Gerald A. Unterreiner

STATE OF INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF WATER

Bulletin 40



Printed by Authority of the State of Indiana Indianapolis, Indiana: 2006

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	. 1
Purpose and Background	. 1
Climate	. 1
Physiography	. 4
Bedrock Geology	. 5
Bedrock Topography	. 8
Surficial Geology	. 8
Karst Hydrology and Springs	. 11
Hydrogeology and Ground Water Availability	. 15
Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems	. 17
Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands Aquifer System	. 17
Alluvial, Lacustrine, and Backwater Deposits Aquifer System	. 19
Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System	. 19
Ohio River Outwash Aquifer Subsystem	. 20
Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems Registered Significant Ground-Water	
Withdrawal Facilities	20
Bedrock Aquifer Systems	. 20
Mississippian Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups	
Aquifer System	. 23
Mississippian Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System	. 24
Mississippian Borden Group Aquifer System	. 24
Bedrock Aquifer Systems Registered Significant Ground-Water	
Withdrawal Facilities	. 25
Ground Water Levels and Potentiometric Surface	25
Ground Water Quality	. 26
Summary	29
References Cited	31
Appendix	
Surface Water Quality Data	. 34

FIGURES

		Page
1.	Location of Harrison County	2
2.	Harrison County Physiography	3
3.	Bedrock Geologic Map of Harrison County	6
4.	Bedrock Topography Map of Harrison County	9
5.	Harrison County Generalized Cross Section from Southwest to Northeast	10
6.	Unconsolidated Deposits Thickness Map of Harrison County	12
7.	Quaternary Geologic Map of Harrison County	13
8.	Ground-Water Flow and Dissolution Features of Carbonate Rocks	14
9.	Cross Section Illustrating the Underground Capture of Indian Creek	15
10.	Residual Drawdown of a Test Well Screened in Outwash Sand and Gravel	16
11.	Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems Map of Harrison County	18
12.	Bedrock Aquifer Systems Map of Harrison County	22
13.	Hydrograph of Observation Well Harrison 8	25
14.	Map Showing Composite Ground-Water Level Surface of Harrison County	27
	TABLES	
1.	Normal Monthly Maximum, Minimum, and Mean Temperatures for the Period	
	1971-2000	4
2.	Normal Monthly and Annual Precipitation for the Period 1971-2000 and Precipitation	
	Extremes for the Period 1901-2001	4
3.	Stratigraphic Column and Exposed Bedrock Thickness for Harrison County	7
	Registered Significant Ground-Water Withdrawal Facilities in Harrison County	
	Ground Water Chemistry Data and USEPA (2006) Drinking Water Standards for	
	Constituents Reported.	28

INTRODUCTION

Harrison County is located in south-central Indiana (Figure 1) and is bounded by Floyd County to the east, Washington County to the north, and Crawford County to the west. It is bordered on the southeast, south, and southwest by the Ohio River. Harrison County covers an area of approximately 485 square miles and had a year 2000 population of 34,325 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2005). The main population center is Corydon (2000 population 2,715), which is the county seat. The next largest towns are Palmyra (2000 population 633) and Lanesville (2000 population 614). Milltown (2000 population 932), which is situated on the Crawford and Harrison county line, had a 2000 Harrison County population of about 400.

Ground water availability in Harrison County is highly variable because of the nature of the bedrock and unconsolidated deposits. Reported well yields range from less than 1 gallon per minute (gpm) to 1,000 gpm. The most productive wells are located in the glacial outwash deposits of sand and gravel within the Ohio River valley. The other main sources of ground water in the county are the bedrock aquifers, which are primarily limestone.

PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is to present existing information on the geology, ground-water availability, and ground-water quality of Harrison County. Information came from published and unpublished reports, water well records, and ground-water quality data on file at the Division of Water (DOW). Unpublished reports on the ground-water resources of Harrison County by William J. Steen, retired DOW geologist, and J.D. Hunn of the U.S. Geological Survey were invaluable. A special thanks is owed to Dr. W. Thomas Straw, Ph.D. Indiana University, and professor emeritus Western Michigan University, for a discourse of his Ohio River Valley mapping dissertation (Straw, 1968).

Since 1959, an Indiana state law has required water well drillers to submit to the Division of Water a record, or log, for each well drilled in the state. The well logs provide information on stratigraphy, aquifer zones and depths, ground-water levels, and ground-water availability.

Approximately 1,000 records (670 field-located) of water wells drilled in Harrison County were reviewed for this study. The wells are fairly evenly distributed across the county (Figure 2). The vast majority (over 97%) of the wells were drilled into bedrock. Less than 3% of the wells were completed in unconsolidated materials. The data from the well logs were used to evaluate groundwater availability, ground-water levels, and the direction of ground-water flow.

CLIMATE

Long-term climatic data for Harrison County shown below are based on the Paoli, Indiana weather station (Midwestern Regional Climate Center, 2005). Normal monthly maximum, minimum, and mean temperatures for the period 1971-2000 are listed in Table 1. Air temperatures reach a high point in July with a monthly mean of 75.5 °F and dip to a mean of 28.2 °F in January. Precipitation averages nearly 48 inches per year. Normal monthly and annual precipitation for the period 1971-2000 and precipitation extremes for the period 1901-2001 are listed in Table 2.




Figure 1. Location of Harrison County.




Figure 2. Harrison County Physiography (adapted from USGS topographic maps and Gray, 2000).

Table 1. Normal Monthly Maximum, Minimum, and Mean Temperatures for the Period 1971-2000 [values, in degree Fahrenheit (°F); data from the Midwestern Regional Climate Center, 2005].

°F	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
Max	37.9	43.8	54.5	65.4	75.2	83.0	87.1	85.4	79.2	68.1	54.9	42.7	64.8
Min	18.4	21.9	31.0	40.4	50.1	59.7	63.8	61.5	52.8	40.1	32.0	22.7	41.2
Mean	28.2	32.9	42.8	52.9	62.7	71.4	75.5	73.5	66.0	54.1	43.5	32.7	53.0

Table 2. Normal Monthly and Annual Precipitation for the Period 1971-2000 and Precipitation Extremes for the Period 1901-2001 (precipitation values are in inches; data from the Midwestern Regional Climate Center, 2005).

Month	Normal	Maximum	Minimum
January	3.29	17.38 (1937)	0.57 (1946)
February	3.10	8.30 (1908)	0.24 (1947)
March	4.37	14.29 (1964)	0.50 (1941)
April	4.84	10.69 (1996)	0.88 (1962)
May	5.14	12.13 (1927)	0.80 (1914)
June	4.19	12.72 (1949)	0.45 (1933)
July	4.46	10.69 (1979)	0.20 (1919)
August	4.17	8.83 (1977)	0.51 (1953)
September	3.26	10.92 (1996)	0.48 (1960)
October	2.90	13.57 (1919)	0.04 (1908)
November	4.22	9.26 (1985)	0.42 (1904)
December	3.64	8.19 (1921)	0.35 (1989)
Annual	47.58	63.45 (1950)	25.38 (1901)

PHYSIOGRAPHY

Harrison County lies within the unglaciated region of Indiana (Malott, 1922), and is located within three physiographic provinces (see Figure 2): (1) the Norman Upland extends from the north into a small area in the east-central portion of the county; (2) the Mitchell Plateau (Gray, 2000) includes most of the eastern two-thirds of the county; and (3) the Crawford Upland much of the western one-third. The uplands form an area of small highland flats bordered by steeply dissected hills. The uplands subsequently merge into the undulating terrain of the plateau topography. Elevations in the county range from about 972 feet above mean sea level (msl) near the Norman Upland just south of Floyd County to 375 feet above msl in the Ohio River valley.

In Harrison County, siltstone capped with limestone predominantly underlies the Norman Upland, and its variable resistance to erosion forms an area of rugged topography. The Knobstone Escarpment, a steep slope, outlines the eastern boundary of the Norman Upland. The escarpment can be traced along SR 111 southward (see Figure 1), where steep cliffs rise above the Ohio River floodplain.

The Mitchell Plateau in Harrison County includes extensive areas of rolling hills underlain by limestone and large sections of karst including solution valleys, sinkholes, caves, underground drainage, and springs. Generally, the limestone is overlain by red clayey material, or terra rossa. The terra rossa commonly was thought to simply be a residual weathering product of limestone. The formation of terra rossa is evidently more complex and includes material of varied origin (Olson and others, 1980; Merino, 2005; Gray, 2000).

The rugged, dissected highland of the Crawford Upland is underlain by shale, sandstone, and limestone and is bounded along the east by the Springville Escarpment. The soils within these upland areas formed in 0-40 inches of loess over material weathered from either cherty limestone, or clastic rocks (Robbins, 1975).

The surface water drainage of Harrison County lies within the Indiana water-management basin of the Ohio River Basin. Major tributaries to the Ohio River in Harrison County are Blue River, Indian Creek, Buck Creek, and Mosquito Creek. Blue River is the largest stream and its entrenched meanders dictate its flow path. It flows in a sinuous pattern from north to south to its confluence with the Ohio River. The other main streams generally flow in an incised meandering fashion from northeast to southwest. Alluvial soils formed on bottomlands along portions of the major streams and their tributaries. However, in many places, the soil is absent or poorly developed and the streams flow on bedrock. Lacustrine silty clays were deposited in the Ohio River tributary valleys of the Blue River, Indian River, and Buck Creek.

BEDROCK GEOLOGY

The rocks that underlie and crop out at the surface in Harrison County (Figure 3) are Mississippian in age (320-360 million years old), and generally dip to the southwest at about 30 feet per mile (Straw, 1968). A thin mantle of soil overlies the bedrock in most of the county. Bedrock exposures are common throughout the county in road cuts, hillsides, and where streams have cut through the unconsolidated materials. The bedrock from east to west, and oldest to youngest, is made up of rocks of the Borden Group, Sanders Group, Blue River Group, West Baden Group, and Stephensport Group (Table 3).

The Borden Group is part of a deltaic complex that accumulated sediments originating from streams flowing southwest from the rising Appalachian Mountains. The Borden Group rocks are composed dominantly of siltstone, shale, and fine-grained sandstone, along with small amounts of discontinuous lenses of limestone (Shaver and others, 1986). In Harrison County, the Borden Group outcrops only in the eastern portion of the county. The clastic rocks form an area of rugged topography and steep bluffs that rise along the Ohio River from Mosquito Creek to the northeast. Younger rocks cover the Borden Group west of their exposure. The thickness of the Borden Group in Harrison County is estimated to average about 500 feet (Amadi, 1981).

The Sanders Group formed in a shallow sea and covers the deltaic complex of the Borden Group disconformably. The Sanders Group is predominantly composed of skeletal limestone. The upper formation is the Salem Limestone, the famous Indiana building stone. The lower part of the Sanders Group contains a mixture of fine-grained limestone and dolomite commonly containing cherty intervals and minor amounts of siltstone and shale. The Sanders Group is estimated to attain a maximum thickness of about 120 feet within its outcrop area (Fenelon and others, 1992).

The Blue River Group rests conformably on the Sanders Group (Shaver and others, 1986) and covers most of the central and more than one-half of the western portions of Harrison County.




Figure 3. Bedrock Geologic Map of Harrison County (after Gray and others, 1987).

Table 3. Stratigraphic Column and Exposed Bedrock Thickness for Harrison County*.

Group	Formation	Member	Exposed Thickness (ft)	Description
Stephensport	Glen Dean		not	skeletal to oolitic to biomicritic
Stephensport	Limestone		noted	limestone
	Hardinsburg		35	gray soft carbonaceous shale and
				very fine grained ripple-bedded sandstone
	Haney Limestone		29	skeletal limestone and micritic dolomite
	Big Clifty	Indian Springs Shale	30	sandstone, gray shale, and mudstone
	Beech Creek		11-12	gray skeletal to biomicritic limestone
West Baden	Elwren		30-33	sandstone, siltstone, and shale
	Reelsville		5-7	skeletal limestone
	Limestone			
	Sample		40	sandstone and shale
	Beaver Bend		13-15	gray skeletal to oolitic to
	Limestone			biomicritic limestone
	Bethel		20-60	sandstone, gray clayey shale, and thin beds of coal
Blue River	Paoli	Downey's Bluff	30-35	gray skeletal, micritic, and oolitic
	Limestone	Yankeetown		limestone, calcareous shale,
		Shetlerville		calcareous sandstone, and dark
		Popcorn	122 122	shale
	Ste.	Levias	125-175	oolitic, skeletal, micritic, and
	Genevieve	Spar Mountain		detrital limestone, with small
	Limestone	Fredonia Lost River Chert Bed		amounts of shale, dolomite,
	St. Louis	Lost River Cheft Bed	205-275	sandstone, and chert micritic, pelletal, and skeletal
	Limestone		203-213	limestone, thin beds of shale, and silty dolomite
Sanders	Salem	Somerset Shale	40-60	thick, cross-bedded fossil-
Builders	Limestone	Somerset Share	40 00	calcarenite, dolomitic silty shale,
				argillaceous limestone and
				dolomite
	Harrodsburg		60-75	bioclastic calcarenite and
	Limestone			calcirudite, dolomite, shale, and
				argillaceous limestone
	Ramp Creek		20-25	dolomite and limestone with small
				amounts of siltstone and shale
Borden	Edwardsville	Floyds Knob	50-55	siltstone, shale, sandstone, and
		Limestone		sporadic limestone
	Spickert		240	siltstone, silty shale, some
	Knob	(1042) and Shavar and atl		sandstone, and limestone

^{*}After Stockdale (1931), McGrain (1943), and Shaver and others (1986).

Micritic, skeletal, and oolitic limestone make up the bulk of the rocks of the Blue River Group (Gray and others, 1987). In Harrison County, the Blue River Group attains a thickness of about 450 feet (Droste and Carpenter, 1990). The Ste. Genevieve Limestone is a thick, upper formation (see Table 3) and an important source of ground water.

The West Baden Group, in Harrison County, overlies the Blue River Group conformably (Shaver and others, 1986), and consists mainly of complexly interbedded shale, sandstone, and micritic and skeletal limestone (Gray and others, 1987). Isolated outcrops of the West Baden Group are found along the northwestern and western parts of Harrison County. Also included in the West Baden Group are scattered deposits of poorly consolidated sand in upland areas of southeastern Harrison County, which were formerly designated the Ohio River Formation (Wayne, 1960). Potter (1969) demonstrated that the Ohio River Formation is a remnant of an extensive channel filling that belongs to the Bethel Formation (Shaver and others, 1986).

The Stephensport Group overlies the West Baden Group conformably in Harrison County (Shaver and others, 1986) and is comprised of a complex of limestone, shale, and cliff-forming sandstone. The combined thickness of the West Baden and Stephensport Groups in the county ranges from 0 where the older Blue River Group rocks are exposed to a maximum of about 115 feet in the western part of the county.

BEDROCK TOPOGRAPHY

Bedrock exposures are common throughout Harrison County, although most of the bedrock surface (Figure 4) is covered by a thin layer of unconsolidated material. Bedrock topography closely mimics the surficial topography (see Figure 2) throughout much of the county. The highest bedrock elevations in the county at over 900 feet occur in the northwestern uplands, and also just south of the southern boundary with Floyd County. The lowest bedrock elevations, at about 300 feet, occur in places within the Ohio River Valley. A cross section (Figure 5) shows an example of the variability in bedrock topography within the county.

SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

Late Paleozoic and Mesozoic sedimentary units are missing in Harrison County (and the surrounding area) suggesting that a very long interlude of erosion took place (Ray, 1965). Uplift, climate change, and/or world-wide changes in sea level during this interval have been proposed to explain the origin of erosional surfaces located above the present stream valleys (Powell, 1964; Ray, 1965).

The most notable physiographic and hydrogeologic feature in Harrison County is the Ohio River valley. The ancestral or pre-glacial Ohio River probably headed either near Madison, Indiana or on the dip slope of the Knobstone Escarpment (Straw, 1968; Gray and Powell, 1965). The Teays River was likely the principal regional east-west drainage in the Midwest before the Illinoian ice advances. The Teays River system crossed Indiana in a sinuous bedrock valley corridor from Adams County to Benton County (Bruns and Steen, 2003). The valley occupied by the Teays River System is filled with pre-Illinoian, Illinoian, and Wisconsin glacial deposits. The modern Ohio River probably began forming before the Illinoian Stage as southward flowing glaciers disrupted the Teays drainage system and southerly drainage divides were breached.




Figure 4. Bedrock Topography Map of Harrison County (after Gray, 1982).




Figure 5. Harrison County Generalized Cross Section from Southwest to Northeast (see Fig. 2 for cross section location).

The Ohio River flows in only a portion of its old glacial drainage valley. The maximum downcutting of Pleistocene age is called the "deep stage", and is associated with the buried deep channel of the Ohio River. Well logs show that the bedrock elevation associated with the deep stage near Mauckport is about 290 feet msl (Straw, 1969).

Harrison County lies within the unglaciated region of Indiana, and its bedrock in places is covered by unconsolidated material, which is collectively called regolith. Most of the bedrock surface is covered by less than 50 feet of regolith (Figure 6). In the north-central section of the county, the regolith attains a thickness up to 100 feet. Along the Ohio River, unconsolidated deposits reach a maximum thickness of up to 150 feet. The unconsolidated deposits include a variety of residual and transported material, and consist of soil, clay, silt, sand, gravel, and fragmented, weathered bedrock (Figure 7).

KARST HYDROLOGY AND SPRINGS

Carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and from the soil is incorporated into precipitation and can form a mild acid. In humid regions, where carbonate rocks (i.e. limestone) are at or near the surface, the slightly acidic water slowly dissolves the rock over time. As the dissolution process continues, large openings and solution features can form in the rock (Figure 8). The most common types of solution features develop along preexisting fractures, joints, and bedding planes, which represent the initial flow path of the water through the rock. Karst is a type of topography characterized by carbonate solution features; such as, sinkholes (closed depressions on the land surface), sinking streams, underground drainage channels or conduits, caves, and collapsed sinkholes. Artesian and perched springs seep or flow at the surface as the underground drainage emerges.

The near-surface bedrock aquifers in the Mississippian carbonates contain a highly variable fracture pattern, which greatly affects ground-water flow through the bedrock. Fractured rock represents one of the most complex types of hydrogeologic systems known. While regional ground-water flow can be somewhat predictable, local flow can be highly varied in terms of both quantity and direction. Consequently, determining the local direction of ground-water flow in fractured (and dissolved) bedrock at the scale of a specific site may require elaborate instrumentation, monitoring, and dye tracing.

The most extensive karst development in Harrison County occurs in the outcrop area of the Blue River Group, which consists mainly of carbonates with small amounts of shale, sandstone, and chert. Most of the Mitchell Plateau is underlain by Blue River Group carbonates. The Sanders Group has a few isolated sinkholes, generally within the dissected uplands of eastern Harrison County. The majority of the sinkholes or depressions are within the Mitchell Plateau physiographic region, primarily in the central portion of the county, extending from north to south through the towns of Palmyra, Corydon, and Laconia. Typically, above the solid bedrock lie 20 to 50 feet of broken limestone and reddish-brown clay (terra rossa). However, a thickness up to 90 feet has been reported in a few locations in Harrison County. The areas of thickest clays tend to occur on the Mitchell Plateau. Additionally, water well records on file at the Division of Water indicate many caves or mud-filled cavities in this group. The height of the caves may be as much as 15 feet, but are typically 1 to 5 feet. Most of the water wells showing such cavities are also in the same general area as the surface karst features.




Figure 6. Unconsolidated Deposits Thickness Map of Harrison County (after Gray, 1983).




Figure 7. Quaternary Geologic Map of Harrison County (after Gray, 1989).

Entrenched stream Disappearing Collapse Swallow sinkhole hole Cave 211/10 spring **Sinkhole** Limestone Regional potentiometric surface bedrock Solution cavity Regional drainage direction The most common solution features develop in carbonate rocks along Well preexisting fractures, joints, and bedding planes, which represent the initial flow path of the water Depth (feet) 50 through the rock. Bedding 100 plane Joint / fracture 150 Whereas regional ground-water flow can be somewhat predictable, local flow can be highly varied both in terms of quantity and direction. Determining the local direction of ground-water flow in fractured and soluble rock may require elaborate instrumentation, monitoring, and dye tracing.

Karst topography schematic

Figure 8. Ground-Water Flow and Dissolution Features of Carbonate Rocks.

The surface and subsurface drainage systems are well connected in the widespread highly developed karst region of Harrison County. For example, surface water enters the karst plain in the north central section of the county through thousands of small underground cracks and solution channels and eventually reemerges at Harrison Spring (see Figure 1), the largest spring in Indiana (Figure 9). In addition, a considerable part of the water comes from the Indian Creek watershed. Dye tests and analysis of hydrographs have shown that water can move underground from the Sinks of Indian Creek (see Figure 1) to Harrison Spring, a surface distance of over four miles, as quickly as one hour.




Figure 9. Cross Section Illustrating the Underground Capture of Indian Creek (from Gray and Powell, 1965).

The average flow of Harrison Spring has been estimated at 18,000 gpm. The potential for it and other karst springs of Harrison County as a source of potable water has been investigated throughout the years. Unfortunately, karst areas are extremely vulnerable to contamination from point sources (e.g., spills, leaking underground storage tanks, and individual household septic systems) and broad area contamination (e.g., road salts, vehicle emissions, pesticides, and fertilizers). The karst features of subterranean conduits or streams are in many cases connected for great distances. These connected conduits create a potential for widespread contamination downstream of a contaminant source. In places the flow rates can be similar to surface streams, with some contaminants flowing through the system rapidly (especially after a rain or snow-melt event), while in other parts of the system contaminants may be trapped in pools, sediments, or minor fractures for much longer periods of time. A study conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey (Silcox and others, 2002) showed that concentrations of *E. coli* bacteria in water samples collected upstream from the sinks of Indian Creek exceeded the State of Indiana single-sample standard for waters used for recreation (see Appendix).

HYDROGEOLOGY AND GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY

Ground water availability in Harrison County is primarily related to the location of the sand and gravel glacial outwash deposits in the unconsolidated aquifers, and to secondary porosity development in the bedrock aquifers. Primary (intercrystalline) porosity in the Ste. Genevieve

Limestone (the most important bedrock aquifer) is minor (Merkley, 1991). Thus, bedrock ground water resources are inherently dependent on secondary porosity solution channels. Bedrock wells represent over 95 percent of all wells completed in Harrison County. However, the well yields from bedrock wells are difficult to predict and typically relatively low. One notable exception is in the northwestern part of the county near Milltown, where ground water is available in sufficient quantities to supply several high-capacity wells.

Most of the population of the county is served by a public water supply distribution system whose wells are producing from the sand and gravel deposits located within the Ohio River valley. In other portions of Harrison County the bedrock aquifers are utilized for the most part by individual households and farms, where public water supply systems are inaccessible.

Values for aquifer transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity were calculated for the glacial outwash sand and gravel deposits within the Ohio River valley. A pump test was conducted on a test well screened in sand and gravel outwash deposits adjacent to the Ohio River (see Figure 1 and Figure 5 for Test well location). The test well was located near the Harrison and Floyd County line upstream from the town of Elizabeth's well field. Well recovery data from the 48-hour single well pump test are shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10. Residual Drawdown of a Test Well Screened in Outwash Sand and Gravel.

The well log shows saturated sand and gravel deposits from 30 to 74 feet below the land surface. Twenty-eight feet of silty clay and 2 feet of unsaturated sand and gravel overlie the aquifer. Bedrock was encountered at 74 feet below the land surface. The pumping rate for the test was 572 gpm. Transmissivity was calculated by the recovery method outlined in Driscoll (1995). Based on the recovery data, the transmissivity was estimated to be 13,490 ft²/day and the hydraulic conductivity 314 ft/day. The available drawdown for unconfined aquifers is normally considered to be about 60 percent of the saturated aquifer thickness. Therefore, about 10 feet of drawdown was still available at the end of the testing period. Results from the pump test show that the glacial outwash sand and gravel aquifer has the potential to consistently meet the needs of domestic and high-capacity water users in Harrison County.

Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems

Four unconsolidated aquifer systems have been mapped in Harrison County (Figure 11): the Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands; the Alluvial, Lacustrine, and Backwater Deposits; the Ohio River Outwash; and the Ohio River Outwash Subsystem. Boundaries of these aquifer systems are commonly gradational and individual aquifers may extend across aquifer system boundaries.

Although Harrison County lies within the unglaciated region of Indiana, the indirect glacial effects of the ice sheet meltwaters had a dramatic influence on the ground-water resources of the county. In places within the main valley of the Ohio River, sand and gravel were deposited of sufficient thickness and extent to make up an aquifer capable of supplying large municipal, industrial, and irrigation needs. Outside the main valley of the Ohio River, nearly the entire county has less than 50 feet of unconsolidated materials overlying the bedrock (see Figure 6), and ground water resources from unconsolidated deposits are minimal.

Regional estimates of aquifer susceptibility to contamination from the surface can differ considerably from local reality. Variations within geologic environments can cause variation in susceptibility to surface contamination. In addition, man-made structures such as poorly constructed water wells, unplugged or improperly abandoned wells, and open excavations, can provide contaminant pathways that bypass the naturally protective clays. In general, the unconsolidated aquifer systems of the county are most vulnerable to contamination from surface sources where thick clay layers are lacking.

Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands Aquifer System

The Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands Aquifer System, which covers most of Harrison County, has the most limited ground-water resources of all the unconsolidated aquifer systems. Materials of this system consist of regolith (transported and/or residual rock material) covered in places by windblown silt deposits. Most of the materials of this aquifer system are relatively high in clay and silt content and fragmented rock, although thin deposits of sand and/or gravel are noted on some well logs. Terra rossa, or red clay, covers portions of underlying limestone bedrock. Included in this system are relatively thin deposits of alluvium, colluvium, and lacustrine materials within a few of the stream valleys. Also included in the system is an area of unusually thick (approximately 50 feet) unsaturated sand and fine gravel deposits along a series of hilltops east of the town of Elizabeth.




Figure 11. Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems Map of Harrison County.

Collectively, over 80 percent of the well logs for the 650 field-located wells within the Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands Aquifer System show that the total thickness of the system typically ranges from less than 1 foot to 50 feet. Potential yields of conventionally drilled wells in this system are generally known to be so small that wells are commonly completed in the underlying bedrock. The Division of Water has no record of wells actually producing from the Unglaciated Southern Hills and Lowlands Aquifer System in Harrison County. Unsaturated conditions are common because of the thinness and low permeable materials of the aquifer system, the diversion of surface water runoff by the rolling topography and, within karst areas, by subsequent subterranean drainage. Because of the generally low permeability of the near-surface materials, this system is not very susceptible to contamination from surface sources.

Alluvial, Lacustrine, and Backwater Deposits Aquifer System

The Alluvial, Lacustrine, and Backwater Deposits Aquifer System consists of unconsolidated deposits adjacent to and in a few of the valleys tributary to the Ohio River. The unconsolidated deposits primarily come from three major sources. One source is alluvium deposited by the streams along with colluvium eroded from the valley walls and upland areas. The second major source includes the silty clay deposits of the waning valley train and subsequent overbank deposits. The third major source is glaciolacustrine deposits that were formed in bodies of relatively stagnant lake water. These silt and clay deposits were formed when the Ohio River valley was choked with coarser material carried by glacial meltwater that effectively dammed tributary streams, creating lakes. Thick deposits of silt and clay, sometimes called "slackwater clay," mark the former locations of these glacial lakes. In Harrison County, these deposits can occur up to an elevation of about 450 feet above mean sea level. They are especially noted within the valleys of Blue River, Indian Creek, and Buck Creek near the Ohio River.

The total thickness of unconsolidated deposits (mostly clay and silt) in this aquifer system varies considerably, from about 20 feet to more than 90 feet. Well data are very sparse, but the scarcity of productive zones of sand and gravel in this aquifer system is apparent from the number of water wells completed in the underlying bedrock aquifer. It is doubtful that this system has the potential for more than domestic wells. This aquifer system is marked by thick deposits of soft silt and clay that have a low susceptibility to surface contamination.

Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System

The Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System occupies portions of the main valley of the Ohio River. This valley carried great quantities of outwash from the melting glaciers during the Wisconsin and pre-Wisconsin glacial stages. The outwash aquifer system contains large volumes of sand and gravel that fill the main river valley. As the glaciers melted, the sediment contained within them was delivered to the Ohio River in quantities too large for the stream to transport. As a result, the increased sediment load was stored in the valley as vertical and lateral accretionary deposits. As long as the retreating glaciers continued to provide sediment in quantities too large for the stream to transport farther downstream, the valley continued to be filled. This valley-filling process formed the most prolific aquifer system in the county.

In Harrison County, unconsolidated deposits of the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System range from less than 20 feet at the edge of the valley to more than 130 feet in thickness. However, not all of the sand and gravel is saturated with water. Saturated sand and gravel (aquifer) thickness

of the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System ranges from about 25 to 65 feet, but most of the system has an aquifer thickness between 45 and 55 feet. Commonly, 20 to 35 feet of silty to sandy clay overlie the aquifer materials. However, in some areas this layer is absent. Because water levels are generally near the base of overlying fine-grained clay, silt, or sandy clay the aquifer could be under confined or unconfined conditions.

The Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System is by far the most productive aquifer system in the county and has the potential to consistently meet the needs of domestic and high-capacity water users. There are three registered significant ground-water withdrawal facilities (10 wells) in this system. Reported capacities range from 300 to 800 gpm. Static water levels typically range from about 30 to 55 feet below the land surface.

This aquifer system is highly susceptible to contamination in areas that lack overlying clay layers. Areas within the system that are overlain by thick layers of clay or silt are moderately susceptible to surface contamination.

Ohio River Outwash Aquifer Subsystem

The Ohio River Outwash Aquifer Subsystem in Harrison County is located contiguous to the outwash system and is mapped as a transitional zone. Well data are scarce, but well yields are expected to be comparatively lower in the subsystem because the saturated thickness of sand and gravel is typically less than 15 feet. In places, especially on the downstream ends of most point bars, the sand and gravel deposits grade into a sand unit. In some areas silty or sandy clay, with a typical thickness ranging from 10 to 30 feet, overlie the aquifer materials.

Domestic wells completed in the subsystem typically yield 5 to 20 gpm. Prospects of completing high-capacity wells in this aquifer system are limited to areas with sufficient saturated thickness and optimal well-field design. Areas within this aquifer system that have overlying clay or silt deposits are moderately susceptible to surface contamination; whereas, areas that lack overlying clay or silt deposits are highly susceptible to contamination.

Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems Registered Significant Ground-Water Withdrawal Facilities

Currently there are three registered significant ground-water withdrawal facilities using unconsolidated aquifers in the county. All of the facilities utilize the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System for the purpose of public water supply. The Elizabeth Water Company has three wells with reported capacities of 350 gpm each, the South Harrison Water Corporation has two wells with capacities of 300 gpm and 800 gpm, and the Town of Corydon has five wells with capacities ranging from 700 to 750 gpm. Refer to Table 4 for some details on the wells and to Figure 11 for facility locations.

Bedrock Aquifer Systems

Three bedrock aquifer systems, all of Mississippian age, have been mapped in Harrison County (Figure 12). They are from west to east and youngest to oldest: the Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups; the Blue River and Sanders Groups; and the Borden Group. The bedrock aquifer systems extend across Harrison County generally as a series of bands primarily trending north to south.

Table 4. Registered Significant Ground-Water Withdrawal Facilities in Harrison County.

Registration No.	Use	Owner	Well No.	Capacity (gpm)	Depth (ft)	Dia. (in)	Aquifer System	UTMN	UTME	Well Ref. No.	Торо Мар	T, R, S
31-01885	PS	Blue River Regional Water District	1	200	140	10	BRSG	4244400	563450	201076	Milltown	2s,2e,15
			2	50	190	12	BRSG	4244400	563525	201061	Milltown	2s,2e,15
			3	80	200	12	BRSG	4244450	563575	201005	Milltown	2s,2e,15
			4	60	170	10	BRSG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Milltown	2s,2e,15
			5	300	237	10	BRSG	4244240	564240	N/A	Milltown	2s,2e,14
			6	25	170	12	BRSG	4243900	563275	N/A	Milltown	2s,2e,15
31-04529	PS	Elizabeth Weter Commence	1	350	78	20	ORO	4220750	505450	346346	Lanesville	4- 5- 25
31-04329	PS	Elizabeth Water Company	2						595450			4s,5e,25
			2	350	75	20	ORO	4220575	595450	346347	Lanesville	4s,5e,25
			3	350	81	20	ORO	4220650	595575	346348	Lanesville	4s,5e,25
31-00028	PS	South Harrison Water Corporation	1	800	100	16	ORO	4202175	584700	216959	Rock Haven Rock	6s,4e,26
			2	300	120	36	ORO	4202100	584750	N/A	Haven	6s,4e,26
31-02758	IN	Lucas Corporation (Disc. Date 1997)	1	300	79	16	ORO	4208500	570800	216979	Mauckport	6s,3e,4
31-03939	PS	Corydon, Town of	1	700	90	16	ORO	4208125	570425	216964	Mauckport	6s,3e,4
			2	700	90	16	ORO	4208200	570450		Mauckport	6s,3e,4
			3	700	90	16	ORO	4208225	570350		Mauckport	6s,3e,4
			4	750	91	18	ORO	4208150	570350	324199	Mauckport	6s,3e,4
			5	750	94	18	ORO	4208175	570275	324200	Mauckport	6s,3e,4

PS = Public Supply

IN = Industry

T,R,S = Congressional Township, Range, and Section

ORO = Ohio River Outwash

BRSG = Blue River and Sanders Groups

N/A = Not Available

Disc. Date = Discontinued Date




Figure 12. Bedrock Aquifer Systems Map of Harrison County.

The thickness of unconsolidated materials covering the bedrock of Harrison County varies, but for the most part is less than 50 feet (see Figure 6). Rock types exposed at the bedrock surface range from relatively unproductive shales to moderately productive limestones. Because permeability in many places is greatest near the bedrock surface, bedrock units within the upper 100 feet are commonly the most productive aquifers. Most of the bedrock aquifers in the county are under confined conditions. In other words, the potentiometric surface (water level) in most wells completed in bedrock rises above the top of the water-bearing zone. Bedrock wells represent over 95 percent of all wells completed in Harrison County. However, much of the county is served by a public water supply system originating from the prolific unconsolidated sand and gravel deposits within the Ohio River valley.

The susceptibility of bedrock aquifer systems to surface contamination is largely dependent on the type and thickness of the overlying sediments. However, because the bedrock aquifer systems have complex fracturing systems, once a contaminant has been introduced into a bedrock aquifer system, it will be difficult to track and remediate.

Mississippian -- Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups Aquifer System

The Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups are mapped as a bedrock aquifer system in Indiana. However, no Buffalo Wallow strata are present in Harrison County. The older Stephensport and West Baden Groups outcrop primarily along ridge tops in the western portion of the county.

The older West Baden Group consists dominantly of sandstone, siltstone, and shale; however, it has limestone beds of variable thickness. The younger Stephensport Group is comprised of limestone, shale, and cliff-forming sandstone. The combined thickness of the West Baden and Stephensport in the county ranges from less than one foot where the older Blue River Group rocks are exposed to a maximum of about 115 feet in the western part of the county.

The depth to the bedrock surface is typically less than 20 feet on the uplands in Harrison County. Well depths of the very few wells started in the Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups Aquifer System range from 94 to 503 feet. The amount of rock penetrated by a well typically ranges from about 170 to 340 feet. Therefore, all of the wells penetrate into the underlying Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System. Static water levels are highly variable in the wells started in this aquifer system. Reported water levels range from 50 to 300 feet below the land surface.

The Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups Aquifer System is not regarded as a major ground-water resource in this county. Wells started in this system are typically completed in the older, more productive Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System. The domestic wells completed in the underlying Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System have commonly been tested between less than 1 and 10 gpm with a few dry holes reported. At least one domestic well was tested as high as 30 gpm. However, very few wells can sustain a pumping rate over 10 gpm.

In the outcrop/subcrop area of this aquifer system the rock is predominantly shallow and contains numerous, irregular joints. In addition, karst features (sinkholes) are noted on topographic maps. These conditions warrant considering the aquifer system in Harrison County to be moderately to highly susceptible to contaminants introduced at and near the land surface.

Mississippian -- Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System

This aquifer system outcrops throughout most of the county. The older Sanders group consists of limestone and dolomite. Chert and siliceous intervals of carbonate rocks are common, and minor amounts of shale and siltstone are present. The Blue River Group formations are primarily limestone, but they may contain significant amounts of dolomite, gypsum, anhydrite, shale, chert, and calcareous sandstone.

The combined thickness of the Blue River and Sanders Groups ranges from less than 1 foot where they are eroded along parts of the eastern and southeastern border of Harrison County to over 500 feet in the southwestern part of the county. The formations thicken as they dip to the west-southwest. Limestones within the Blue River Group are especially noted for development of karst features on the land surface where the bedrock is quite shallow. Some of the karst features in the county include caves, sinkholes, collapsed sinkholes, sinking streams, stream rises, and springs. These features are produced by the action of ground water dissolving the limestone, primarily along fracture and bedding planes or zones of weakness.

Some well records describe cavities or solution channels up to 15 feet in height. As expected, the yields of wells tapping this aquifer system are quite variable. The Division of Water has records for over 800 wells in this aquifer system in the county. The depth to solid bedrock is typically between 20 and 50 feet on the uplands of the central portion of the county (Mitchell Plateau), but may be as much as 90 feet where broken limestone and clay are present due to extensive weathering and/or karstification.

Well depths range from about 25 to 525 feet, but wells are commonly completed at depths of 75 to 175 feet. Reported test rates for water wells vary from less than 1 to 300 gpm. There is one registered significant ground-water withdrawal facility (6 wells) with reported yields of 25 to 300 gpm. Domestic well yields in this system commonly range from 1 to 20 gpm and a few isolated dry holes have been reported. Reported static water levels range from less than 1 foot to 300 feet below the land surface, but are typically between 40 and 90 feet.

In Harrison County, the Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System is considered a moderately dependable ground-water source. Water quality is generally good, except for several wells reporting a sulfur odor, which may be due to chemical reactions associated with gypsum deposits in the Blue River Group. Because the rock is generally quite shallow, and contains numerous fractures, open joints, and solution channels, the aquifer system is considered very susceptible to contamination from the land surface.

Mississippian -- Borden Group Aquifer System

The Borden Group Aquifer System outcrops/subcrops in small areas along the eastern county boundary. This bedrock aquifer system is composed mostly of siltstone and shale, but fine-grained sandstones are common. Carbonates are rare, but do occur as discontinuous interbedded limestone lenses, mostly in the upper portion of the group.

The Borden Group in Harrison County is up to 600 feet thick. The very few wells completed in the Borden Group Aquifer System range in depth from 48 to 145 feet. Reported static water levels in the wells completed in this aquifer system range from 10 to 120 feet below the land surface. Because the Borden Group is generally not very productive, it is typically used only where unconsolidated deposits do not contain an aquifer. In fact, some dry holes and yields of less than 1 gpm have been reported. The domestic wells completed in the group have testing rates ranging from

less than 1 to 40 gpm. The higher yielding wells likely occur along significant bedrock fractures. There is little chance for development of high-capacity wells in the Borden Group Aquifer System in Harrison County.

This aquifer system is regarded as moderately susceptible to surface contamination. However, in areas where coarse-grained alluvium overlies the fractured and jointed rock, there is a high risk of contamination from surface or near-surface sources.

Bedrock Aquifer Systems Registered Significant Ground-Water Withdrawal Facilities

Currently there is one registered significant ground-water withdrawal facility using a bedrock aquifer in the county. The facility utilizes the Blue River and Sanders Group Aquifer System for the purpose of public water supply. The Blue River Regional Water District has six wells with reported capacities ranging from 25 to 300 gpm. Refer to Table 4 for some details on the wells and to Figure 12 for the facility location.

GROUND WATER LEVELS AND POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE

The Division of Water in conjunction with the U.S. Geological Survey has maintained an observation well in Harrison County as part of a statewide observation well network. The well, Harrison 8, is located about two miles southeast of Palmyra. The well was drilled to a depth of 93 feet and water levels were measured in the bedrock of the Ste. Genevieve Limestone Formation. The hydrograph, or water-level record, of Harrison 8 shows the normal seasonal fluctuation of ground-water levels in the bedrock.

Average monthly ground-water levels for the years 1971-2000, along with average monthly precipitation, are shown in Figure 13. Wells developed in the unconsolidated deposits will show a similar seasonal pattern. Water levels are highest in the spring and gradually decline to the lowest levels in fall. This pattern is because of increased evaporation and transpiration in the spring and summer.




Figure 13. Hydrograph of Observation Well Harrison 8.

A composite ground water potentiometric surface map was constructed by use of static water levels from over 500 well-driller's records (Figure 14). The potentiometric surface map can be used only as an approximation because: the aquifer system is mapped as a continuum across the county and does not distinguish among the numerous aquifers; and seasonal and yearly variations in water levels are not taken into account. When water levels are measured in a timely manner from a single aquifer, the direction of ground-water flow is downgradient perpendicular to the contours of the potentiometric surface.

Figure 14 can be used to estimate the general direction of ground-water flow and the static water level in wells. The ground water levels shown represent the level to which water will rise in a well. For the bedrock aquifer, depending on the total head, water levels shown may actually be above ground level (potential for a flowing artesian well), at ground level (a spring), or below ground level (potential for a non-flowing artesian well). Great care and professional judgment should be used when making interpretations or performing calculations based on the ground water flow map. It is not realistic to assume that these water levels represent ground water levels for a single homogeneous aquifer. The vertical component of ground water flow may vary both spatially and temporally. In the case of the unconsolidated aquifer, local ground water levels will vary based on the volume of flow in the Ohio River. The two extreme cases are noted. During flood stage, the Ohio River will be a losing stream and groundwater levels near the river will rise. During the low flow stage of the Ohio River, the river will be a gaining stream and local ground water levels will fall.

Ground water typically flows from upland recharge areas to low-lying discharge areas along major streams. The potentiometric surface map indicates that ground water generally flows from upland areas toward the Ohio River. Local ground-water divides exist in some upland areas.

GROUND WATER QUALITY

The Division of Water has on file the results of several analyses of well water from Harrison County. The samples were collected by various organizations including the DOW, Public Water Supply facilities, and the U.S. Geological Survey (Table 5). Four water samples from the glacial outwash sand and gravel aquifer and two water samples from the limestone bedrock aquifer are included in Table 5. Independent laboratories analyzed the water samples collected from wells operated by the Town of Corydon (1992) and the Blue River Regional Water District (1998). The U.S. Geological Survey analyzed the Harrison 8 water sample (1966). The Indiana Department of Health analyzed the water samples from the Elizabeth Water Company (2006), South Harrison Water Corporation (1987), and Ramsey Water Company, Inc. (1986). Although the Ramsey Water Company, Inc. well field is located just west of Harrison County in Crawford County, their water sample is included in this survey because the water company supplies much of the population of Harrison County with potable water.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006) maximum contaminant level (MCL) is the maximum level of a contaminant that is allowed in a public water system. The secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) is the level for contaminants that may affect the taste, odor, or other characteristics of drinking water and is not considered to present a risk to human health at the SMCL. The MCLs and SMCLs are listed in Table 5 if established.




Figure 14. Map Showing Composite Ground-Water Level Surface of Harrison County.

Table 5. Ground Water Chemistry Data and USEPA (2006) Drinking Water Standards for Constituents Reported. [The USEPA maximum contaminant level (MCL) is the maximum level of a contaminant that is allowed in a public water system. The secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) is the level for contaminants that may effect the taste, odor, or other characteristics of drinking water. These contaminants are not considered to present a risk to human health at the SMCL.]

Well	Elizabeth Water Company	South Harrison Water Corporation No. 1	Town of Corydon	Ramsey Water Company, Inc.	Blue River Regional Water District No.	Harrison 8	USEPA MCL	USEPA SMCL
Reference Number	NA	216959	NA	NA	NA	121605		
Township	4S	6S	6S	4S	2S	1S		
Range	5E	4E	3E	2E	2E	4E		
Section	25	23	4	8	14	33		
Aquifer Type	Sand & Gravel	Sand & Gravel	Sand & Gravel	Sand & Gravel	Limestone	Limestone		
Depth (feet)	80	100	90	110	237	93		
Chemical Constituents								
pH units	7.2	7.2	7.2	8.0	8.05	7.4		6.5 to 8.5
Temperature (°C)					16			
Conductivity (µS/cm)						499		
Hardness as CaCO ₃	288	290	289	246	214	255		
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	252	258	290	184	214			
Alkalinity as HCO ₃						310		
Iron	0.0125	< 0.02	0.048	< 0.05	0.1	1.1		0.3
Manganese	< 0.001	< 0.01		0.02	< 0.01			0.05
Calcium	94.7	86	88.1	75	53.1	79		
Magnesium	15.4	18		14		14		
Sodium	14.6	6.4	13.0	16	6.35	8.4		
Potassium	1.61	0.8		1.4		0.6		
Chloride	21	9	22.5	25	8	6.0		250
Sulfate	34	20		63	68	7.2		250
Phosphate	< 0.03	0.09		< 0.09				
Fluoride	< 0.1	0.2		0.9	0.158	0.2	4.0	2.0
Nitrate-N	1.1	4.9	3.2	0.6	0.232	5.5	10.0	
Arsenic	< 0.0012	0.0003		0.0002	< 0.002		0.10	
Barium	0.0398	0.030		0.060	0.08		2	

NA = not available; Dashes (---) indicate no test performed; units are in mg/L unless otherwise noted

The pH of water is a measure of its reactivity. Water with a pH of 7 is considered neutral, whereas below 7 is acidic and above 7 basic. Values of pH for all wells sampled were within the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL).

The hardness of water is a measure of the amount of dissolved calcium and magnesium in the water. Hardness hampers the formation of soap lather and can affect the suitability of water for use in certain industries. Bicarbonates of calcium and magnesium decompose in steam boilers and water heaters to form scale. All wells sampled had hardness values of greater than 210 milligrams per liter (mg/L). Most values were considerably above the 180 mg/L value for very hard water (Heath, 1982). Water is commonly softened for household use at values of 200 mg/L or greater.

Iron is present in most soils and rocks and is found in solution naturally in ground water. Iron will precipitate out of solution when exposed to oxygen and may stain laundry and plumbing fixtures. Iron bacteria can precipitate iron from solution in ground water with a high iron content, which can lead to encrustation and clogging of well screens. Only the Harrison 8 observation well had an iron value above the 0.3 mg/L SMCL.

Manganese is similar to iron in chemical behavior but is commonly less widely distributed. Whereas iron combines with oxygen to form rust, manganese forms brownish-black particles. All wells tested for manganese were below the SMCL.

Chloride in combination with sodium gives water a salty taste. All wells tested for chloride were at least one level of magnitude below the SMCL.

High concentrations of sulfate may give water a bitter taste. All wells tested for sulfate were practically one level of magnitude below the SMCL.

Fluoride, in certain concentrations, reduces tooth decay and at higher concentrations may cause mottling of tooth enamel. The U.S. Environmental Protection currently recommends that water supplies contain no more than 2 mg/L in water. Fluoride values for all wells tested were below the SMCL of 2 mg/L.

Nitrate as nitrogen (nitrate-N), in places, is found naturally in Indiana at low concentrations. Nitrate-N may cause methemoglobinemia (i.e. blue-baby syndrome) at high concentrations. Ground water contaminated with nitrate-N is commonly associated with animal feedlots, sewage, and/or agricultural chemicals. All wells tested for nitrate-N were below the MCL.

Arsenic is found naturally in many soils and rocks and is found in solution naturally in ground water. All wells tested for arsenic were below the MCL.

Barium is a common constituent in soil and rock and is found dissolved in ground water. All wells tested for barium were below the MCL.

SUMMARY

The Quaternary age Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System and the Mississippian age Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System are the primary aquifers in Harrison County. Most of the population of the county is served by a public water supply distribution system originating from the prolific glacial outwash sand and gravel deposits of the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System. A 1,000-gpm 24-hour pump test was reported for a well screened in the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System. Values for aquifer transmissivity (13,490 ft²/day) and hydraulic conductivity (314 ft/day) were calculated for the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System based on the recovery data from another pump test. Outside of the Ohio River valley, there is little chance of obtaining an adequate supply of water from unconsolidated deposits, because most of the bedrock in the county is covered by less than 50 feet of silty clay material.

In the northwestern part of the county, ground water is available in sufficient quantities within the limestone fractures and solution cavities of the Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System to supply several high-capacity wells for public water supply. These wells have reported capacities of 80, 200, and 300 gpm. In other portions of Harrison County the bedrock aquifers are utilized for the most part by individual households and farms, where public water supply systems are inaccessible. Although the well yields from bedrock wells are difficult to predict and typically relatively low, bedrock wells represent over 95 percent of all wells completed in Harrison County.

Ground-water quality of the wells tested is generally good. Four wells from the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System and two wells from Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System were tested for major ions and selected trace inorganic constituents. Very hard water values were reported for all six wells sampled. No constituents were reported above the MCL and only one well (Harrison 8 – observation well) had a constituent (iron) with reported levels greater than the SMCL.

The unconsolidated aquifer systems are generally overlain by thick layers of clay or silt and are moderately susceptible to surface contamination. The Ohio River Outwash Aquifer System and the Ohio River Outwash Aquifer Subsystem are highly susceptible to contamination in areas that lack overlying clay layers.

The Blue River and Sanders Groups Aquifer System is considered very susceptible to contamination from the land surface because the rock is generally quite shallow, and contains numerous fractures, open joints, and solution channels. The Borden Group Aquifer System and the Buffalo Wallow, Stephensport, and West Baden Groups Aquifer System are regarded as moderately to highly susceptible to contaminants introduced at and near the land surface because the rock is predominantly shallow and contains numerous, irregular joints.

REFERENCES CITED

- Amadi, P., 1981, Ground-water chemistry and hydrochemical facies distribution as related to flow in the Mississippian Carbonates, Harrison County, Indiana. Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Bruns, T.M., and Steen, W.J., 2003, Hydrogeology of the Lafayette (Teays) bedrock valley system, north-central Indiana, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water, Water Resource Assessment 2003-7.
- Driscoll, F.G., 1986, Groundwater and wells: Johnson Screens, St. Paul, Minnesota, 1089 p.
- Droste, J.B., and Carpenter, G.L., 1990, Subsurface stratigraphy of the Blue River Group (Mississippian) in Indiana, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Bulletin 62.
- Fenelon, J.M., Bobay, K.E., and others, 1992, Hydrogeologic atlas of aquifers in Indiana, U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 92-4142 *prepared in cooperation with the* Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water, and Indiana Department of Environmental Management.
- Gray, H.H., 2000, Physiographic divisions of Indiana, Indiana Geological Survey, Indiana Geological Survey Special Report 61.
- Gray, H.H., 1989, Quaternary Geologic Map of Indiana, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Map 49.
- Gray, H.H., 1982, Map of Indiana showing topography of the bedrock surface, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Map 36.
- Gray, H.H., 1983, Map of Indiana showing thickness of unconsolidated deposits, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Map 38.
- Gray, H.H., Ault, C.H., and Keller, S.J., 1987, Bedrock Geologic Map of Indiana, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Map 48.
- Gray, H.H., and Powell, R.L., 1965, Geomorphology and groundwater hydrology of the Mitchell Plain and Crawford Upland in southern Indiana, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Field Conference Guidebook 11.
- Heath, R.C., 1982, Basic ground-water hydrology, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2220.
- Malott, C.A., 1922, The physiography of Indiana, *in* Handbook of Indiana Geology, Indiana Department of Conservation, publication no. 21, pp. 59-256.

- McGrain, P., 1942, The St. Louis and Ste. Genevieve Limestones of Harrison County, Indiana, Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Science, v. 52, pp. 149-162.
- Merino, E., 2005, Origin of associated terra rossa and karst by replacement, Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, vol. 37, no. 7, p. 257.
- Merkley, P.A., 1991, Origin and distribution of carbonate eolianites in the Ste. Genevieve Limestone (Mississippian) of southern Indiana and northwestern Kentucky, Master's thesis, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Midwestern Regional Climate Center, 2005, Historical Climate Summaries, Sep. 13, 2005, http://sisyphus.sws.uiuc.edu/climate_midwest/mwclimate_data_summaries.htm.
- Olson, C.G., Ruhe, R.V., and Mausbach, M.J., 1980, The terra rossa limestone contact phenomena in karst, Southern Indiana, Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 44, pp. 1075-1079.
- Potter, P.E., 1969, Sedimentation Seminar, Bethel sandstone (Mississippian) of western Kentucky and south-central Indiana, a submarine-channel fill, Kentucky Geological Survey, Kentucky Geological Survey Report of Investigations 11.
- Powell, R.L., 1964, Origin of the Mitchell Plain in south-central Indiana, Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Science, vol. 73, pp. 177-182.
- Ray, L.L., 1965, Geomorphology and Quaternary Geology of the Owensboro Quadrangle, Indiana and Kentucky, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 488.
- Robbins, J.M., 1975, Soil survey of Harrison County, Indiana, U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service *in cooperation with* Purdue University Agricultural Experiment Station.
- Shaver, R.H., Ault, A.H., Burger, A.M., Carr, D.D., Droste, J.B., Eggert, D.L., Gray, H.H., Harper, D., Hasenmueller, N.R., Hasenmueller, W.A., Horowitz, A.S., Hutchison, H.C., Keith, B.D., Keller, S.J., Patton, J.B., Rexroad, C.B., and Wier, C.E., 1986, Compendium of Paleozoic Rock-Unit Stratigraphy in Indiana A Revision, Indiana Geological Survey, Indiana Geological Survey Bulletin, B59.
- Silcox, C.A., Robinson, B.A., and Willoughby, T.C., 2002, Concentrations of *Escherichia coli* in streams in the Ohio River Watershed in Indiana, May-August 2000, U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 02-4064 *prepared in cooperation with the* Indiana Department of Environmental Management.
- Stockdale, P.B., 1931, The Borden (Knobstone) rocks of southern Indiana, Indiana Department of Conservation Publication 98.
- Straw, W.T., 1968, Geomorphology, hydrogeology, and economic geology of the Ohio River Valley, Mauckport to Cannelton, Indiana, Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana.

- U.S. Census Bureau, 2005, http://www.census.gov/>.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Chapter 1, Parts 141 and 143.
- Wayne, W. J., 1960, Stratigraphy of the Ohio River Formation: Indiana Geological Survey Bulletin 21.

Appendix. Surface water quality data*, May-August 2000 (data from Silcox and others, 2002).

Location	Date	Discharge at	Water	pН	Dissolved	Specific	Turbidity	Escherichia
		time of	temperature	(Standard	oxygen	conductance	(Nephelometric	coli
		sample	(degrees	units)	(milligrams	(microsiemens	turbidity units)	(colonies
		collection	Celsius,		per liter)	per centimeter		per 100
		(cubic feet	degrees			at 25 degrees		milliliters)
		per second)	Fahrenheit)			Celsius)		
Indian Creek at	7-10-00		24.5, 76.1	7.9	8.4	393	7.9	400
SR 335 near	7-17-00		23.0, 73.4	7.7	8.2	400	8.0	74
Corydon	7-24-00		22.0, 71.6	7.7	8.9	401	9.4	260
	7-31-00		21.0, 69.8	7.4	6.2	421	29	2,100
	8-7-05		24.5, 76.1	7.6	6.1	371	8.3	800
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					420
Indian Creek	7-12-00		28.0, 82.4	8.1	8.5	417	5.6	K56**
downstream	7-19-00		24.5, 76.1	7.9	7.6	508	30	4,500
from Little	7-26-00		29.5, 85.1	8.2	11.2	576	7.1	33
Indian Creek	8-02-00		27.0, 80.6	8.2	9.7	399	15	690
mouth at	8-09-00		24.5, 76.1	7.8	9.2	395	20	1,100
Corydon	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					360
Indian Creek at	7-12-00		26.0, 78.8	7.8	7.8	406	9.5	240
Lickford Bridge	7-19-00		25.0, 77.0	7.4	4.0	421	18	710
Road near	7-26-00		22.5, 72.5	7.4	4.0	447	12	40
Central	8-02-00		23.5, 74.3	7.4	2.5	433	6.6	K20**
	8-09-00		24.5, 76.1	7.4	3.1	405	16	830
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					160
Buck Creek at	7-12-00	13.3	25.0, 70.0	8.0	8.6	377	5.8	250
SR 337 near	7-19-00	18.1	23.5, 74.3	7.8	7.1	351	6.5	1,100
New	7-26-00	4.9	21.5, 70.7	7.8	7.8	354	5.3	110
Middletown	8-02-00	6.2	23.0, 73.4	7.9	6.9	378	6.8	230
	8-09-00	7.7	24.0, 75.2	7.8	8.1	357	7.7	240
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					280

Appendix. Surface water quality data, May-August 2000—Continued.

Location	Date	Discharge at	Water	рН	Dissolved	Specific	Turbidity	Escherichia
		time of	temperature	(Standard	oxygen	conductance	(Nephelometric	coli
		sample	(degrees	units)	(milligrams	(microsiemens	turbidity units)	(colonies
		collection	Celsius,		per liter)	per centimeter		per 100
		(cubic feet	degrees			at 25 degrees		milliliters)
		per second)	Fahrenheit)			Celsius)		
Blue River at	7-11-00		25.0, 77.0	7.9	8.0	418	9.1	110
Main Street at	7-18-00		23.5, 74.3	7.9	7.1	434	7.8	40
Milltown	7-25-00		21.5, 70.7	7.9	7.9	404	12	170
	8-01-00		20.0, 68.0	7.5	6.8	361	77	2,800
	8-08-00		24.0, 75.2	7.8	6.5	410	8.6	97
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					180
Blue River at	7-11-00		26.0, 78.8	8.2	9.9	400	8	74
Rothrock Mill	7-18-00		27.0, 80.6	8.3	10.3	401	6.2	K19**
near Moberly	7-25-00		23.0, 73.4	8.1	9.4	321	14	56
	8-01-00		24.0, 75.2	8.1	8.3	422	19	590
	8-08-00		24.5, 76.1	8.0	7.8	407	9	110
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean					87
Blue River at SR	7-12-00	110	24.0, 75.2	7.7	6.7	434	6.2	50
462 near White	7-19-00	82	23.5, 74.3	7.8	6.8	450	7.1	520
Cloud	7-26-00	66	21.5, 70.7	7.7	7.0	386	11	78
	8-02-00	247	23.0, 73.4	7.8	7.2	454	9.0	190
	8-09-00	374	21.5, 70.7	7.5	7.8	488	14	K1,600**
	Five-sam	ple geometric	mean			_		230

^{*}According to 327 IAC 2-1-6 (d), the following rule is used to evaluate water for full-body contact recreational uses, to establish wastewater-treatment requirements, and to establish effluent limits during the recreational season: *E. coli* bacteria, using membrane filter (MF) count, shall not exceed one hundred twenty-five per one hundred milliliters as a geometric mean based on not less than five samples equally spaced over a thirty day period nor exceed two hundred thirty-five per one hundred milliliters in any one sample in a thirty day period.

K** denotes an estimate based on a non-ideal colony count