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## Page 2 <br> Survey Procedures and Response

This report can be used as an indication of price trends for logs of defined species and qualities. It should not be used for the appraisal of logs or standing timber (stumpage). Stumpage price averages are reported by the Indiana Association of Consulting Foresters in the Indiana Woodland Steward, http://www.inwoodlands.org/.

Data is collected once a year, but log prices change constantly. Standard appraisal techniques by those familiar with local market conditions should be used to obtain estimates of current market values for stands of timber or lots of logs. Because of the small number of mills reporting logging costs, "stumpage prices" estimated by deducting the average logging and hauling costs (Table 4) from delivered log prices must be interpreted with extreme caution.

Data for this survey was obtained by a direct mail survey of all known sawmills, veneer mills, concentration yards, loggers and firms producing wood chips, sawdust, etc., as a byproduct. Only firms operating in Indiana were included. The survey was conducted and analyzed by the Indiana Division of Forestry. The prices reported are for logs delivered to the log yards of the reporting mills or concentration yards. Thus, prices reported may include logs shipped in from other states (e.g. black cherry veneer logs from Pennsylvania and New York).

The survey was mailed to 219 firms, compared to 216 in 2013. Several were returned as undeliverable. There was an initial mailing and one reminder postcard sent to non-respondents. Follow-up phone calls and mailing got a few of those mills and operators back into the system.

An abbreviated survey form was used for 87 firms that do not buy logs, compared to 86 in 2013. The long form with the tables for prices paid for sawlogs and veneer logs went to 132 firms, compared to 130 in 2013.

Fifty-four mills reported some useful data, compared to 47 in 2013, 52 in 2012, 56 in 2011, 62 in 2010, 73 in 2009 and 88 in 2008. Seven mills were dropped because their phones were disconnected, or they reported being out of business.

The number of mills contributing price data for each product is shown in the second and third columns in Tables 2 and 3, and in the second column in Tables 4 and 5. Forty-three mills reported their 2013 board foot production in 2014, compared to 43 reporting their 2012 production in 2013. Thirteen mills reported producing 1 million board feet (MMBF) or less (Figure 1). Eight mills reported production of 5 MMBF or greater. Total production reported for 2013 was 147 MMBF compared to 151 MMBF for 2012, and 134 MMBF for 2011. The largest single mill production reported was 23 MMBF. These annual levels are not comparable since they do not represent a statistical estimate of total production.

The price statistics by species and grade don't include data from small custom mills, because most do not purchase logs, or they pay a fixed price for all species and grades of pallet-grade logs. They are, however, the primary source of data on the cost of custom sawing and pallet logs. The custom sawing costs reported in Table 4 do not reflect the operating cost of large mills.
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Figure 1. Distribution of the 43 mills reporting 2013 level of production.

## Hardwood Lumber Prices

Hardwood lumber prices continue to increase as shown in Table 1, which represents prices per thousand board feet (MBF) for green, 1 inch thick $4 / 4$ lumber by species and grade compiled by the Hardwood Market report out of Memphis, TN. Log prices are directly tied to lumber prices since logs are delivered to mills on a continuing basis. This allows mills to base the price they pay for logs on current lumber market prices. The link to prices paid for standing timber is less direct, depending on how far in advance of logging a stand of timber is purchased.

## Premium Species

Red oak is an economic indicator species in the hardwood industry. Prices cycle with the general domestic economy and housing. Export markets continue to be a major factor as well. The price of the top grade of lumber, first and seconds (FAS), plus a $\$ 200$ premium peaked at $\$ 1,310$ per thousand board feet (MBF) in the summer of 2004 and has gone through two cycles since. It's been increasing since July 2012, hitting $\$ 1,145$ per MBF in December 2014 - 27\% increase. The premium applies when a buyer and a manufacturer negotiate a price for the purchase of lumber consisting of No. 1 C and better grades.

White oak prices are also cyclical, but the cycles are slightly more moderate than red oak's. An exception is the $42 \%$ drop in FAS plus the premium from $\$ 1,390$ per MBF in 2008 to $\$ 800$ per MBF in the summer of 2009. In mid-December of 2014, FAS lumber pricing was $\$ 1,425$ per MBF.

Black walnut is in great demand both domestically and globally at this time. FAS lumber is being reported at \$3,040 per MBF, a $37 \%$ increase from September 2013.

Black cherry FAS prices dropped in January 2013 to $\$ 1,335$ per MBF. For the most part however, black cherry prices have held pretty steady with current pricing at $\$ 1,540$ per MBF.

FAS hard maple reached \$1,305 in July 2013and increased slightly in early 2014 but current markets have softened with recent pricing around $\$ 1,390$ per MBF.
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## Other Species

Yellow poplar hit a low point of $\$ 550$ in the summer of 2011. Markets have become stronger and demand continues to be good despite increased production. FAS lumber pricing is reported at $\$ 830$ per MBF, a $34 \%$ increase

Soft maple markets have improved in the past couple of years. In July of 2012, prices were reported at $\$ 920$ per MBF and current pricing at $\$ 1,115$ per MBF.

Locally, ash markets have become stronger with good volumes of lumber moving overseas as well as being used as a substitute for higher priced red oak. These increases are despite a large amount of ash logs and lumber entering the market as landowners harvest ash because of Emerald Ash Borer (EAB). December pricing is at \$1,085 per MBF; a $22 \%$ increase from September 2013.

True to form, beech prices were unchanged. FAS last changed in July 2005.
Basswood prices increased to $\$ 660$ per MBF in September 2013. Current basswood pricing is at $\$ 695$ per MBF.

Hickory markets have continued to pick up steam due to increased demand from the cabinet and rustic flooring markets. Current pricing is reportedly \$1000 per MBF, a 33\% increase since January 2012.

Table 1. Hardwood lumber prices, dollars per one thousand board feet (MBF), 1-inch-thick (4/4) Appalachian market area unless otherwise indicated. Source: Hardwood Market Report, P.O. Box 2633, Memphis, TN 380882633
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## Table 1. (continued)

| Lumber <br> Grade | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { July } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { July } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { July } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hard Maple (unselected) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS + | 1080 | 1095 | 995 | 970 | 1050 | 1050 | 1075 | 1305 | 1305 | 1390 |
| Prem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1C | 655 | 710 | 710 | 705 | 735 | 750 | 790 | 1000 | 1000 | 905 |
| No. 2A | 480 | 545 | 535 | 535 | 565 | 555 | 550 | 685 | 685 | 665 |
| Soft Maple (unselected) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS + | 880 | 895 | 835 | 805 | 845 | 920 | 940 | 1000 | 1000 | 1115 |
| Prem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1C | 535 | 610 | 595 | 580 | 595 | 610 | 650 | 710 | 710 | 785 |
| No. 2A | 275 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 330 | 330 | 340 | 360 | 360 | 490 |
| White Oak (plain) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS + | 915 | 1165 | 1060 | 1035 | 995 | 1015 | 1015 | 1070 | 1070 | 1425 |
| Prem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1C | 540 | 655 | 625 | 575 | 555 | 555 | 575 | 695 | 705 | 960 |
| No. 2A | 365 | 500 | 500 | 450 | 420 | 410 | 475 | 610 | 630 | 650 |
| Red Oak (plain) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS + | 825 | 1095 | 930 | 925 | 830 | 830 | 880 | 1045 | 1045 | 1145 |
| Prem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1C | 560 | 665 | 615 | 580 | 535 | 520 | 570 | 680 | 700 | 795 |
| No. 2A | 470 | 540 | 540 | 460 | 430 | 420 | 495 | 640 | 660 | 690 |
| Yellow Poplar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS + | 620 | 640 | 550 | 550 | 590 | 700 | 760 | 775 | 775 | 830 |
| Prem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1C | 420 | 470 | 350 | 360 | 385 | 445 | 490 | 505 | 505 | 545 |
| No. 2A | 310 | 320 | 270 | 280 | 300 | 310 | 330 | 340 | 340 | 385 |
| Sycamore (Southern plain) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 | 455 |
| No. 1C | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 | 435 |
| No. 2A | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 |
| Black Walnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAS | 1800 | 1995 | 2105 | 2155 | 2070 | 1815 | 1795 | 1795 | 1905 | 3040 |
| No. 1C | 765 | 1040 | 1125 | 1160 | 1075 | 905 | 875 | 875 | 935 | 1645 |
| No. 2A | 360 | 620 | 740 | 770 | 705 | 505 | 475 | 475 | 530 | 1035 |

## Delivered Sawlog Prices

The number of mills reporting delivered sawlog prices slightly increased this year (Table 2). Almost without exception sawlog prices for the premium species, such as black walnut and white oak have increased. Sawlog price changes varied for the other species.

## Premium Species

All four sawlog grades of the oak species increased again this year with many mills reportedly paying similar prices for red oak and black oak. The lumber from these two and all other species in the red oak family is sold as red oak.

Demand for black walnut has made it difficult for producers to keep up supply, increasing the price for both lumber and sawlogs. However, this year the prices for the lower grade sawlogs increased at a higher rate than that of the prime and grade 1 sawlogs. If the price cycles from the previous 10 years are at all predictive, there is room for further price increases.
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Black cherry sawlog prices generally increased, with the exception of grade 1 sawlogs, coinciding with increased lumber prices. Prices still remain well below their peak in 2004.

Hard and soft maple are not substitutes in finished goods markets, thus their prices can be expected to behave differently. Hard maple had increases in all grades, but the largest increases were in the lower grade sawlogs. However, soft maple prices increased more in the upper sawlog grades.

## Other Hardwood Species

The increases in ash lumber prices have affected the average price of ash sawlogs across the board, with increases from $10.1 \%$ to $18.3 \%$. Despite ash logs continually hitting the market due to EAB, the overseas and domestic markets keep demand high.

Basswood prices for sawlogs were mixed as grade 2 prices decreased while the other grades increased. Beech and cottonwood sawlog prices had moderate increases for all grades, whereas elm prime decreased by $1.9 \%$ and grade 1 increased by $8.5 \%$.

Tulip poplar increased across all grades, but at a much lower rate than in the previous year. This could be due to the increased supply of sawlogs from landowners selling it more due to several years of dry weather. It grows best in bottoms and on north facing slopes, but can be susceptible to drought.

## Softwood Logs

The price of pine sawlogs decreased by $6.3 \%$, to $\$ 218$ per MBF. However, red cedar increased by $55.7 \%$ to $\$ 475$ per MBF. The same number of mills reporting in 2013 reported in 2014.

Table 2. Prices paid for delivered sawlogs by Indiana sawmills, May 2013 and May 2014.

|  |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/Grade | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Ash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 300-800 | 15 | 21 | 520 | 573 | 600 | 600 | 10.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (27.52) | (22.75) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-600 | 20 | 25 | 400 | 457 | 400 | 500 | 14.3 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (22.86) | (18.79) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-400 | 17 | 23 | 306 | 338 | 300 | 350 | 10.5 | 16.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (17.09) | (13.78) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-350 | 16 | 20 | 221 | 262 | 220 | 250 | 18.3 | 13.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.62) | (13.79) |  |  |  |  |
| Basswood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 250-500 | 11 | 14 | 305 | 329 | 300 | 300 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (24.73) | (21.43) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-500 | 15 | 16 | 300 | 288 | 300 | 275 | -4.2 | -8.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (23.40) | (18.54) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 11 | 14 | 217 | 241 | 240 | 250 | 11.3 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  | (15.32) | (14.14) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 100-300 | 12 | 14 | 213 | 231 | 230 | 250 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.55) | (14.77) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 2. (continued)

|  |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/Grade | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  | 3F) |  |  |  |  |
| Beech |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 180-500 | 10 | 14 | 280 | 283 | 250 | 262.5 | 0.9 | 5.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (18.56) | (19.01) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 180-500 | 12 | 16 | 257 | 282 | 250 | 250 | 9.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (9.87) | (21.12) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 12 | 14 | 237 | 241 | 250 | 250 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (10.91) | (12.02) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 11 | 15 | 234 | 238 | 240 | 250 | 1.7 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  | (10.29) | (11.51) |  |  |  |  |
| Cottonwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 150-250 | 10 | 10 | 211 | 211 | 200 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (9.60) | (10.59) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 150-300 | 12 | 12 | 214 | 223 | 210 | 215 | 4.0 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |  | (8.30) | (12.32) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 11 | 11 | 214 | 215 | 200 | 200 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (9.07) | (12.24) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 11 | 11 | 212 | 215 | 200 | 200 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (9.13) | (12.24) |  |  |  |  |
| Cherry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 300-1800 | 16 | 20 | 788 | 798 | 800 | 800 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (83.98) | (69.82) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-1800 | 21 | 23 | 648 | 609 | 650 | 600 | -6.1 | -7.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (66.86) | (62.84) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-600 | 18 | 21 | 381 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (24.15) | (20.12) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-350 | 16 | 17 | 258 | 268 | 250 | 300 | 3.7 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (14.79) | (12.08) |  |  |  |  |
| Elm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 150-300 | 9 | 11 | 239 | 235 | 250 | 250 | -1.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (18.22) | (14.23) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 150-450 | 10 | 13 | 236 | 256 | 250 | 250 | 8.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (14.16) | (20.71) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 10 | 12 | 235 | 235 | 250 | 250 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.52) | (13.00) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 10 | 12 | 230 | 232 | 245 | 250 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.82) | (13.30) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 2. (continued)

|  |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/Grade | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hickory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 300-850 | 13 | 16 | 485 | 503 | 450 | 475 | 3.7 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (41.36) | (32.43) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 300-850 | 18 | 20 | 367 | 436 | 350 | 400 | 18.9 | 14.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (25.24) | (27.47) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 250-400 | 15 | 18 | 285 | 328 | 300 | 325 | 15.0 | 8.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (8.92) | (12.28) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 13 | 16 | 228 | 263 | 250 | 250 | 15.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.29) | (10.70) |  |  |  |  |
| Hard Maple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 300-1400 | 14 | 18 | 832 | 881 | 850 | 900 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
|  |  |  |  | (93.12) | (58.46) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-1400 | 18 | 22 | 642 | 698 | 630 | 750 | 8.7 | 19.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (63.56) | (49.18) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-600 | 17 | 20 | 373 | 483 | 400 | 525 | 29.4 | 31.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (25.07) | (29.53) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-400 | 15 | 18 | 249 | 311 | 250 | 300 | 24.9 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (19.56) | (18.33) |  |  |  |  |
| Soft Maple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 250-650 | 11 | 17 | 355 | 424 | 350 | 400 | 19.3 | 14.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (24.73) | (36.41) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-600 | 16 | 21 | 309 | 379 | 300 | 350 | 22.5 | 16.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (16.14) | (25.72) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-450 | 15 | 19 | 245 | 288 | 250 | 300 | 17.7 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.45) | (15.94) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 14 | 16 | 223 | 249 | 245 | 250 | 11.5 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.69) | (13.29) |  |  |  |  |
| White Oak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 350-1700 | 13 | 18 | 804 | 953 | 800 | 875 | 18.5 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (67.34) | (77.49) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 250-1250 | 16 | 22 | 550 | 689 | 500 | 600 | 25.2 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (37.08) | (46.63) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-900 | 19 | 21 | 405 | 502 | 400 | 500 | 24.0 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (26.39) | (34.07) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-700 | 16 | 19 | 275 | 337 | 275 | 300 | 22.5 | 9.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (21.19) | (27.79) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 2. (continued)

|  |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/Grade | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  | BF) |  |  |  |  |
| Red Oak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 250-1000 | 17 | 20 | 633 | 783 | 650 | 800 | 23.6 | 23.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (38.75) | (34.08) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 200-1000 | 20 | 23 | 508 | 612 | 500 | 600 | 20.5 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (30.62) | (31.98) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-600 | 19 | 21 | 354 | 460 | 350 | 450 | 30.1 | 28.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (18.06) | (22.42) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-350 | 17 | 18 | 255 | 306 | 250 | 325 | 19.8 | 30.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (17.87) | (13.94) |  |  |  |  |
| Black Oak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 150-1000 | 16 | 20 | 609 | 728 | 600 | 750 | 19.5 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (43.83) | (37.95) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 150-1000 | 20 | 23 | 457 | 561 | 400 | 500 | 22.7 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (34.57) | (32.20) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-600 | 17 | 21 | 321 | 425 | 300 | 400 | 32.3 | 33.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (19.02) | (19.67) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-350 | 15 | 18 | 244 | 300 | 250 | 300 | 23.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (16.27) | (14.00) |  |  |  |  |
| Tulip Poplar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 150-600 | 16 | 19 | 459 | 493 | 450 | 500 | 7.5 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (26.72) | (22.61) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 150-600 | 21 | 23 | 375 | 403 | 400 | 400 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (18.60) | (19.90) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-400 | 19 | 21 | 287 | 298 | 300 | 300 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.90) | (14.10) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-350 | 16 | 19 | 212 | 245 | 210 | 250 | 15.4 | 19.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.49) | (10.74) |  |  |  |  |
| Sycamore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 180-500 | 12 | 15 | 231 | 265 | 250 | 250 | 14.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (15.45) | (19.68) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 180-500 | 13 | 17 | 229 | 272 | 250 | 250 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.73) | (20.91) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 13 | 15 | 225 | 235 | 240 | 250 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.33) | (10.73) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 13 | 16 | 221 | 236 | 220 | 250 | 6.9 | 13.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.27) | (11.06) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 2. (continued)

|  |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species/Grade | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  | BF) |  |  |  |  |
| Sweetgum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 150-500 | 11 | 14 | 225 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (13.91) | (22.61) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 150-500 | 12 | 16 | 226 | 266 | 245 | 250 | 17.7 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (12.76) | (23.40) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 150-300 | 12 | 14 | 218 | 226 | 230 | 245 | 3.9 | 6.5 |
|  |  |  |  | (10.95) | (10.41) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-300 | 12 | 15 | 218 | 225 | 230 | 200 | 3.4 | -13.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (10.95) | (11.08) |  |  |  |  |
| Black Walnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime | 1000-2750 | 15 | 17 | 1430 | 1709 | 1400 | 1750 | 19.5 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (114.21) | (116.73) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | 800-2750 | 20 | 21 | 1113 | 1321 | 1000 | 1300 | 18.7 | 30.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (100.71) | (97.07) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 2 | 400-1500 | 21 | 19 | 719 | 937 | 700 | 950 | 30.3 | 35.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (54.72) | (68.38) |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3 | 150-1200 | 16 | 17 | 376 | 594 | 305 | 600 | 58.0 | 96.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (39.45) | (82.01) |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pine | 150-250 | 6 | 6 | 233 | 218 | 250 | 230 | -6.3 | -8.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (21.08) | (15.58) |  |  |  |  |
| Red Cedar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 150-1000 | 5 | 5 | 305 | 475 | 350 | 400 | 55.7 | 14.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (55.00) | (141.86) |  |  |  |  |

## Veneer Log Prices

The number of mills reporting veneer log price decreased in 2014, Table 3. Prices were reported by both veneer mills and sawmills. Sawmills resell their veneer quality logs to veneer mills, exporters, and in some cases they saw the marginal veneer logs for specialty cuts like quarter sawn. The large variation in pricing would be reduced if only prices reported by veneer mills were used.

Overall, veneer demand remains slow with most mills running at $60 \%-70 \%$ capacity. Conversely, veneer quality logs continue to remain in demand with minor price increases depending on the species, such as hard maple or cherry, and the mills specializing in them. Additionally, bad weather conditions or a special project that requires large veneer volumes can increase pricing.

Black walnut and white oak veneer demand has been in great demand with pricing continuing to strengthen, countering the trend of species specialization by some manufacturers. The demand domestically, and especially internationally, for black walnut continues to remain very strong, so much that it has driven some of the local veneer mills and sawmills to drop out of the market or reduce production. This demand for veneer, veneer logs, and grades 2 and 3 sawlogs continue to elevate pricing for logs on a weekly basis. The result of these increases will eventually force some buyers to purchase lower-cost species like cherry or maple. Additionally, slower economic conditions and housing starts in China and Europe, the two largest buyers in volume of black walnut should cause prices to begin to level off in 2015.
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These economic conditions will also affect white oak veneer, but to a much smaller degree. One of the biggest drivers for white oak currently is the stave market. Wine and whiskey manufactures are currently having difficulty building inventories thus requiring additional stave demand. When you add the demand for quarter-sawn and export lumber to the mix, the pressure for logs increases exponentially. Look for white oak logs to remain constant for 2015 and possibly longer.

Table 3. Prices paid for delivered veneer logs by Indiana mills, May 2013 and May 2014.

| Species/Grade/ Log Dia. |  | 2014 <br> Range | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  |  | (\$/MBF) |  |  | (\$/1 | BF) |  |  |  |  |
| Black Walnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | 1200-4500 |  | 7 | 8 | 1879 | 2775 | 2000 | 2750 | 47.7 | 37.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (244.43) | (322.79) |  |  |  |  |
| 14-15 | 2750-5500 |  | 8 | 8 | 3363 | 3719 | 3100 | 3500 | 10.6 | 12.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (295.16) | (338.84) |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 3500-6500 |  | 8 | 7 | 4456 | 4929 | 4575 | 5000 | 10.6 | 9.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (414.19) | (428.57) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 4000-8500 |  | 8 | 7 | 5875 | 5857 | 6000 | 5000 | -0.3 | -16.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (523.98) | (604.69) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 6000-12500 |  | 7 | 5 | 6571 | 8000 | 6000 | 7000 | 21.7 | 16.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (751.42) | (1214.50) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 5000-14500 |  | 6 | 6 | 7500 | 8917 | 7500 | 7500 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1056.72) | (1462.97) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 6000-16000 |  | 4 | 5 | 7500 | 9600 | 8500 | 9000 | 28.0 | 5.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1658.31) | (1691.15) |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | 1200-3000 |  | 5 | 5 | 1310 | 2060 | 1500 | 2100 | 57.3 | 40.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (272.21) | (326.50) |  |  |  |  |
| 14-15 | 1500-4500 |  | 6 | 6 | 2108 | 2842 | 2000 | 2750 | 34.8 | 37.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (481.04) | (402.16) |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 2000-4500 |  | 6 | 5 | 3200 | 3110 | 3000 | 3000 | -2.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (461.88) | (399.50) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 2750-5000 |  | 6 | 5 | 4000 | 3950 | 4000 | 4000 | -1.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (577.35) | (357.07) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 3750-6000 |  | 6 | 3 | 4833 | 4917 | 4500 | 5000 | 1.7 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (792.32) | (650.85) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 5000-7000 |  | 6 | 4 | 5833 | 5875 | 6000 | 5750 | 0.7 | -4.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1046.16) | (426.96) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 6000-7000 |  | 4 | 3 | 6250 | 6333 | 7000 | 6000 | 1.3 | -14.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1547.85) | (333.33) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3. (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Species/Grade/ } \\ \text { Log Dia. } \end{gathered}$ |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2014$ <br> Range | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  | (\$/1 | BF) |  | BF) |  |  |
| White Oak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 1200-2000 | 4 | 5 | 1363 | 1560 | 1375 | 1500 | 14.5 | 9.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (80.04) | (128.84) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 1000-2300 | 7 | 6 | 1793 | 1917 | 2000 | 2100 | 6.9 | 5.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (121.71) | (197.34) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 1500-2875 | 7 | 5 | 2271 | 2415 | 2400 | 2500 | 6.3 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  | (96.89) | (245.41) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 2000-4000 | 6 | 6 | 2750 | 3242 | 2900 | 3500 | 17.9 | 20.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (227.67) | (305.62) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 2500-5250 | 6 | 6 | 3583 | 3958 | 3750 | 4000 | 10.5 | 6.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (416.67) | (378.69) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 3000-7000 | 4 | 5 | 4500 | 4550 | 4000 | 4000 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (1322.88) | (717.64) |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 1200-1500 | 2 | 2 | 1000 | 1350 | 1000 | 1350 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (200.00) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 1000-1500 | 4 | 2 | 1425 | 1250 | 1350 | 1250 | -12.3 | -7.4 |
|  |  |  |  | (217.47) | (250.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 1500-1700 | 4 | 2 | 1775 | 1600 | 2000 | 1600 | -9.9 | -20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (225.00) | (100.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 1700-3000 | 3 | 3 | 2333 | 2233 | 2500 | 2000 | -4.3 | -20.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (166.67) | (392.99) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 1700-3000 | 4 | 3 | 2525 | 2400 | 2750 | 2500 | -5.0 | -9.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (592.14) | (378.59) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 1700-3000 | 4 | 3 | 3275 | 2567 | 3000 | 3000 | -21.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (1091.92) | (433.33) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3. (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Species/Grade/ } \\ \text { Log Dia. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2014$ Range | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  | (\$/M | (BF) |  | BF) |  |  |
| Black Cherry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | 1200 | 2 | 1 | 475 | 1200 | 475 | 1200 | 152.6 | 152.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (25.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-15 | 2000 | 2 | 1 | 1575 | 2000 | 1575 | 2000 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (425.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 1000-2500 | 3 | 2 | 1550 | 1750 | 1650 | 1750 | 12.9 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (292.97) | (750.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 1500-3000 | 4 | 2 | 2338 | 2250 | 2000 | 2250 | -3.8 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  |  | (389.11) | (750.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 4000 | 4 | 1 | 2863 | 4000 | 2475 | 4000 | 39.7 | 61.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (557.29) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 2000-5000 | 3 | 2 | 3533 | 3500 | 2600 | 3500 | -0.9 | 34.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (1245.44) | (1500.00) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | N/A | 3 | 0 | 4867 | N/A | 2600 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (2572.50) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | N/A | 1 | 0 | 500 | N/A | 500 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-15 | N/A | 1 | 0 | 2000 | N/A | 2000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | N/A | 2 | 0 | 1400 | N/A | 1400 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (600.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | N/A | 3 | 0 | 2067 | N/A | 2000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (520.68) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | N/A | 3 | 0 | 2333 | N/A | 2000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (600.93) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | N/A | 2 | 0 | 3500 | N/A | 3500 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (1500.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | N/A | 2 | 0 | 5000 | N/A | 5000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | (3000.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3. (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Species/Grade/ } \\ \text { Log Dia. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2014 <br> Range | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  | (\$/MBF) |  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  |
| Red Oak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 1100-2500 | 5 | 6 | 1330 | 1650 | 1200 | 1500 | 24.1 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (157.80) | (221.74) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 1200-2500 | 5 | 6 | 1690 | 1833 | 1600 | 1650 | 8.5 | 3.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (308.38) | (224.60) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 1500-2500 | 5 | 5 | 1910 | 1970 | 1700 | 1750 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  | (417.85) | (220.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 1500-3000 | 4 | 4 | 2213 | 2250 | 1650 | 2250 | 1.7 | 36.4 |
|  |  |  |  | (777.92) | (322.75) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 1500-4000 | 3 | 4 | 2950 | 2500 | 1800 | 2250 | -15.3 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (1540.29) | (540.06) |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 1050-1800 | 2 | 2 | 1350 | 1425 | 1350 | 1425 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (150.00) | (375.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 | 1500-1800 | 2 | 2 | 1750 | 1650 | 1750 | 1650 | -5.7 | -5.7 |
|  |  |  |  | (450.00) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 21-23 | 1500-1800 | 2 | 2 | 2150 | 1650 | 2150 | 1650 | -23.3 | -23.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (850.00) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
| 24-28 | 1500-1800 | 2 | 2 | 2400 | 1650 | 2400 | 1650 | -31.3 | -31.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (1100.00) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
| >28 | 1500-1800 | 2 | 2 | 3150 | 1650 | 3150 | 1650 | -47.6 | -47.6 |
|  |  |  |  | (1850.00) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3. (continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Species/Grade/ } \\ \text { Log Dia. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | No. Responses |  | Mean (s.e.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | Median |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2014$ <br> Range | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | Mean | Median |
|  | (\$/MBF) |  |  |  | BF) |  | BF) |  |  |
| Hard Maple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-20 | 1500-3000 | 6 | 6 | 2292 | 2500 | 2250 | 2500 | 9.1 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (261.54) | (223.61) |  |  |  |  |
| >20 | 2000-3500 | 6 | 4 | 2967 | 3125 | 2650 | 3500 | 5.3 | 32.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (466.67) | (375.00) |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-20 | 1500-1800 | 3 | 2 | 1733 | 1650 | 2000 | 1650 | -4.8 | -17.5 |
|  |  |  |  | (266.67) | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |
| >20 | 2000 | 3 | 2 | 2133 | 2000 | 2200 | 2000 | -6.2 | -9.1 |
|  |  |  |  | (66.67) | (0.00) |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Poplar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-20 | 1800 | 2 | 1 | 650 | 1800 | 650 | 1800 | 176.9 | 176.9 |
|  |  |  |  | (150.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| >20 | 2200 | 2 | 1 | 750 | 2200 | 750 | 2200 | 193.3 | 193.3 |
|  |  |  |  | (250.00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-20 | N/A | 1 | 0 | 600 | N/A | 600 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >20 | N/A | 1 | 0 | 800 | N/A | 800 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Miscellaneous Products

The change in prices paid for or received for various raw-wood products between 2013 and 2014 varied (Table 4). These are lower quality and sometimes smaller logs purchased in batches of random species to be sawn into cants or chipped. The cants are re-sawn into boards used for pallets, blocking, railroad ties or other industrial applications that have a strong market. Some mills restrict purchases to specific species or exclude specific species, depending on the markets they sell to. The price for pallet and cant logs increased, pulpwood and bark prices decreased, and sawdust prices were variable.

Until about the 1970's sawdust, chips and bark would have been burned or landfilled by many mills. They now have many more uses. Sawdust can be used to make fuel pellets. Wood chips are produced primarily from slabs sawn off of debarked logs. The decline in the pulp and paper industry is a threat to this market. Bark is used for landscape mulch. In some facilities all or some portion of these byproducts are used to fire efficient low-emission boilers to heat dry kilns year round and heat facilities in the winter. Attempts have been made to cogenerate electricity at mills, or in standalone generating plants. Success has been limited by the low cost of electricity purchased off of the grid, and below cost price received if sold into the grid.
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Table 4. Prices of miscellaneous products reported by Indiana mills, May 2013 and May 2014, free on board (fob) the producing mill.

|  |  |  | Mean |  | Median |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. <br> Responses | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ Range | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| Pallet logs, \$/MBF | 25 | $200-445$ | 266 | 286 | 260 | 280 |
| Pallet logs, \$/ton | 5 | $34-50$ | 30 | 39 | 34.5 | 37 |
| Sawn cants | 3 | $375-485$ | 376 | 419 | 340 | 397 |
| Pulpwood, \$/ton | 5 | $10-42$ | 33 | 31 | 32 | 34 |
| Pulp chips, \$/ton | 14 | $12-38.5$ | 26 | 22 | 23.5 | 20 |
| Sawdust, \$/ton | 6 | $9-27.7$ | 14 | 17 | 9.5 | 15.95 |
| Sawdust, \$/cu. yd. | 11 | $1.5-10$ | 5 | 5 | 4.3 | 5 |
| Bark, \$/ton | 4 | $1.75-16$ | 9 | 8 | 8.5 | 8 |
| Bark, \$/cu. yd. | 14 | $1-15$ | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8.16 |
| Mixed, \$/ton | 2 | $17.5-20.56$ | 7.5 | 19 | 7.5 | 19.03 |
| Mixed, \$/cu. yd. | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Custom Costs

Costs of custom services were generally down (Table 5). Logging costs as reported in this survey indicate an increase in logging costs from $\$ 106$ to $\$ 125$ per MBF.

Table 5. Custom costs reported by Indiana mills, May 2012 and May 2013.

|  |  |  |  | Mean |  | Median |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. <br> Responses | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> Range | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |
| Sawing (\$/MBF) | 12 | $250-500$ | 306 | 293 | 293 | 250 |  |
| Sawing (\$/hour) | 5 | $50-85$ | 128 | 69 | 128 | 75 |  |
| Logging (\$/MBF) | 4 | $70-150$ | 106 | 125 | 140 | 140 |  |
| Hauling (\$/MBF) | 4 | $12-60$ | 60 | 44 | 50 | 52.5 |  |
| Distance (miles) | 6 | $20-150$ | 55 | 79 | 50 | 60 |  |
| \$/MBF/mile | 0 | N/A | 2.4 | N/A | 3 | N/A |  |

## Indiana Timber Price Index

The delivered log prices collected in the Indiana Forest Products Price Survey are used to calculate the delivered $\log$ value of typical stands of timber. This provides trend-line information that can be used to monitor long-term prices for timber. The species and $\log$ quality weights used to calculate the index are described in previous editions of this report, available at https://ag.purdue.edu/fnr/Pages/extforestsprice.aspx. The weights are based primarily on the 1967 Forest Survey of Indiana. Adjusting the weights for more recent forest surveys did not change the series enough to justify converting to a new series.
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The nominal (not deflated) price (columns three and six in Table 6) is a weighted average of the delivered $\log$ prices reported in the price survey. The price indexes [columns (4) and (7)] are the series of nominal prices divided by the price in 1957, the base year, multiplied by 100. Thus, the index is the percentage of the 1957 price. For example, the average price in 2014 for the average stand was 937.0 percent of the 1957 price. The index for a quality stand increased from 997.5 percent to 1092.6 percent.

The real prices [columns (5) and (8)] are the nominal prices deflated by the producer price index for finished goods, with 1982 as the base year [Table 6, column (2)]. The real price series represents the purchasing power of dollars based on a 1982 market basket of finished producer goods. It's this real price trend that is important for evaluating long-term investments like timber and the log input cost of mills. Receiving a rate of return less than the inflation rate means that the timber owner is losing purchasing power, a negative real rate of return.

Note that each year the previous year's number is recalculated using the producer price index for finished goods for the entire year. The price index used for the current year is the last one reported for the month when the analysis is conducted: October this year. The index increased from 198.1 for 2013 to 200.5 as of October 2014. Inflation in the 1 to 2 percent range is generally considered a sign of a healthy, growing economy. The change from 2013 to 2014 is about 2 percent.

## Average Stand

The nominal weighted average price for a stand of average quality increased from $\$ 449.1$ in 2013 to $\$ 521.1$ this year (Table 6, column three and Figure 2). Again, this series is based on delivered log prices, not stumpage prices.

The deflated, or real, price increased from $\$ 226.70$ in 2013 to $\$ 259.90$ this year. The new equation for the trend line for the 1957 to 2013 period is,

Avg. Stand Real Price $=184.89+1.61 \times$ T, where,
$\mathrm{T}=1$ for 1957,2 for $1958 \ldots 58$ for 2014
The average annual compound rate of interest required to take the linear trend line from $\$ 187$ in 1957 to $\$ 278$ in 2014 is $0.70 \%$, i.e. less than 1 percent. This rate will continue to decrease until the real price is above the trend line for several years. Compare the green trend line with the red real price line in Figure 2.

## Quality Stand

The nominal weighted average price for a high-quality stand increased from $\$ 664.1$ in 2013 to $\$ 727.50$ this year. (Table 6, column six and Figure 3). The average real price series for a high-quality stand increased from an adjusted $\$ 335.3$ in 2013 to $\$ 362.8$ this year.

The average annual compound rate of increase for the trend line declined from $0.98 \%$ in 2013 to $0.97 \%$ this year (Figure 3). The equation for the trend line is,

Quality Stand Real Price $=223.9+2.92 \times$ T, where
$\mathrm{T}=1$ for 1957,2 for $1958 \ldots 58$ for 2014
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As for an average stand, this rate will continue to decrease until the real price is above the trend line for several years. Compare the green trend line with the red real price line in Figure 3.

## Implications

The extent to which holding a stand of timber increases purchasing power depends on when you take ownership and when you liquidate. The 58 year period used in this analysis is much longer than the typical length of ownership. The rate of increase in the trend line doesn't include the return resulting from increase in volume per acre by physical growth, nor the potential increase in unit price as trees get larger in diameter and increase in quality. Maximizing these increases in value requires timber management.

Table 6. Weighted average actual price, price index and deflated price for an average and quality stand of timber in Indiana, 1973-2014.

|  |  | Average Stand |  |  | Quality Stand |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Producer Price Index | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Nominal } \\ \text { Price } \end{array}$ Price | Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Real } \\ & \text { Price } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Nominal Price | Index | Real Price 1 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  |  | (\$/MBF) |  | (\$/MBF) | (\$/MBF) |  | (\$/MBF) |
| 1973 | 45.6 | 112.6 | 202.5 | 247 | 139 | 208.8 | 304.9 |
| 1974 | 52.6 | 135.3 | 243.3 | 257.3 | 170.2 | 255.7 | 323.7 |
| 1975 | 58.2 | 125.1 | 225 | 215 | 166.3 | 249.8 | 285.8 |
| 1976 | 60.8 | 133.6 | 240.2 | 219.7 | 172.7 | 259.4 | 284.1 |
| 1977 | 64.7 | 143.6 | 258.1 | 221.9 | 188 | 282.4 | 290.6 |
| 1978 | 69.8 | 181.7 | 326.1 | 260.3 | 234.9 | 352.9 | 336.6 |
| 1979 | 77.6 | 201.5 | 362.3 | 259.6 | 260.7 | 391.6 | 336 |
| 1980 | 88 | 207.8 | 373.6 | 236.1 | 309.3 | 464.5 | 351.5 |
| 1981 | 96.1 | 206.7 | 371.7 | 215.1 | 284.9 | 427.8 | 296.4 |
| 1982 | 100 | 196.8 | 353.8 | 196.8 | 277.3 | 416.5 | 277.3 |
| 1983 | 101.6 | 207.6 | 373.3 | 204.3 | 294.4 | 442.2 | 289.8 |
| 1984 | 103.7 | 235.8 | 424 | 227.4 | 322.7 | 484.6 | 311.2 |
| 1985 | 104.7 | 210.5 | 378.5 | 201 | 274 | 411.5 | 261.7 |
| 1986 | 103.2 | 223.6 | 402 | 216.6 | 312.2 | 468.9 | 302.5 |
| 1987 | 105.4 | 257.3 | 462.7 | 244.2 | 334.6 | 502.6 | 317.5 |
| 1988 | 108 | 262.1 | 471.3 | 242.7 | 345.9 | 519.6 | 320.3 |
| 1989 | 113.6 | 285.9 | 514 | 251.6 | 404.9 | 608.1 | 356.4 |
| 1990 | 119.2 | 288.3 | 518.3 | 241.8 | 397.9 | 597.6 | 333.8 |
| 1991 | 121.7 | 268.1 | 482.1 | 220.3 | 362.9 | 545.1 | 298.2 |
| 1992 | 123.2 | 293.4 | 527.6 | 238.2 | 417.6 | 627.1 | 338.9 |
| 1993 | 124.7 | 355.2 | 638.8 | 284.9 | 491.2 | 737.8 | 393.9 |
| 1994 | 125.5 | 364.8 | 655.9 | 290.6 | 507.4 | 762.1 | 404.3 |
| 1995 | 127.9 | 354 | 636.4 | 276.7 | 451.6 | 678.3 | 353.1 |
| 1996 | 131.3 | 337.7 | 607.1 | 257.2 | 495.4 | 744 | 377.3 |
| 1997 | 131.8 | 357.5 | 642.7 | 271.2 | 448.3 | 673.3 | 340.2 |
| 1998 | 130.7 | 391.1 | 703.3 | 299.3 | 501.7 | 753.5 | 383.9 |

Table 6. (continued)

|  |  | Average Stand |  |  | Quality Stand |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Producer Price Index | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Nominal } \\ \text { Price } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Index } \\ \text { Number } \end{array}$ | Real Price 1 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Nominal } \\ \text { Price } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Index } \\ \text { Number } \end{array}$ | Real Price 1 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  |  | (\$/MBF) |  | (\$/MBF) | (\$/MBF) |  | (\$/MBF) |
| 1999 | 133 | 389.2 | 699.8 | 292.6 | 526.3 | 790.5 | 395.7 |
| 2000 | 138 | 426.5 | 766.9 | 309.1 | 617.6 | 927.5 | 447.5 |
| 2001 | 140.7 | 389.7 | 700.8 | 277 | 538.5 | 808.8 | 382.7 |
| 2002 | 138.9 | 410.7 | 738.4 | 295.7 | 561.2 | 842.9 | 404 |
| 2003 | 143.3 | 433.7 | 779.7 | 302.6 | 567.9 | 852.9 | 396.3 |
| 2004 | 148.5 | 452.2 | 813.1 | 304.5 | 625.1 | 938.9 | 421 |
| 2005 | 155.7 | 445.2 | 800.5 | 285.9 | 621.5 | 933.4 | 399.9 |
| 2006 | 160.4 | 448.3 | 806 | 279.5 | 643.6 | 966.6 | 401.2 |
| 2007 | 166.6 | 414.2 | 744.8 | 248.6 | 559.9 | 840.9 | 336.1 |
| 2008 | 177.1 | 433.7 | 779.8 | 244.9 | 643.2 | 966 | 363.2 |
| 2009 | 172.1 | 358.8 | 645.2 | 208 | 512 | 769 | 296.8 |
| 2010 | 179.8 | 412.5 | 741.7 | 229.4 | 584.1 | 877.3 | 324.9 |
| 2011 | 190.5 | 388.5 | 698.6 | 199.2 | 550.4 | 826.6 | 288.9 |
| 2012 | 194.2 | 382.2 | 687.3 | 197.9 | 492.7 | 739.9 | 253.7 |
| 2013 | 198.1 | 449.1 | 807.6 | 226.7 | 664.1 | 997.5 | 335.3 |
| 2014 | 200.5 | 521.1 | 937.0 | 259.9 | 727.5 | 1092.6 | 362.8 |
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Figure 2. Average stand of timber: nominal, deflated, and trend-line price series, 1957-2014.


Figure 3. Quality stand of timber: nominal, deflated, and trend-line price series 1957-2014.

