

## Indiana Department of Natural Resources - Division of Forestry

### Amendment to the Management Guides Compartment 15 Tracts 5 and 7

This guide was last prepared and written by the summer intermittent forester. This guide states that half of the standing timber should be marked for removal. This harvest volume estimation is high, normally in a typical single tree selection cut there is a quarter to a third of the timber removed unless there is need for large regeneration openings. There are a couple reasons why this data is unusually high. Due to the nature of the inventory verses a 100% tree count the results are not going to be exact, and may be skewed depending where the inventory plots fall within the tract. After a review of the tract there is no need for large regeneration openings, single tree selection has show to be the best option for marking this tract of timber. The intermittent forester not having much experience in the matter has also could have estimated the harvest volume higher than what it should be. There may be substantially less timber removed from this tract when the tract is to be harvested.

The guide also claims that there will be no marking west of the horsetrail on this tract. Upon further inspection there is no cause why there would not be any harvesting on the west side of the trail. There will be minimal sedimentation in Deam Lake as long as the best management practices of Indiana are followed.

### Amendment to the Management Guides Compartment 15 Tracts 6

After reviewing the guide for this tract there was some concern about the amount of timber that was inventoried on this tract. The guide states that there is 9.488 Doyle MBF that is merchantable for the entire tract. After reviewing the data that was collected it was found that this figure was per acre. There is 966.260 MBF total Doyle board feet and there is 9.488 Doyle MBF per acre that is merchantable.

This guide was last prepared and written by the summer intermittent forester. This guide states that half of the standing timber should be marked for removal. This harvest volume estimation is high, normally in a typical single tree selection cut there is a quarter to a third of the timber removed unless there is need for large regeneration openings. There are a couple reasons why this data is unusually high. Due to the nature of the inventory verses a 100% tree count the results are not going to be exact, and may be skewed depending where the inventory plots fall within the tract. After a review of the tract there is no need for large regeneration openings, single tree selection has show to be the best option for marking this tract of timber. The intermittent forester not having much experience in the matter has also could have estimated the harvest volume higher than what it should be. There may be substantially less timber removed from this tract when the tract is to be harvested.

To submit a comment on this document, click on the following link:

[http://www.in.gov/surveytool/public/survey.php?name=dnr\\_forestry](http://www.in.gov/surveytool/public/survey.php?name=dnr_forestry)

You **must** indicate the State Forest Name, Compartment Number and Tract Number in the “Subject or file reference” line to ensure that your comment receives appropriate consideration. Comments received within 30 days of posting will be considered.