Cases Transmitted Week of 1/12/15

Name: Case No.	Aztec Partners, LLC v. Ind. Dep't of State Revenue 49T10-1501-TA-1
Date Filed:	1/12/15
Attorneys:	Robert A. Romack, Dan R. Dunbar
	DUNBAR & ROMACK
	Attorneys at Law
	331 Carriage Lane
	Franklin, IN 46131
	(317) 213-6351
Type of Tax:	Sales & Use – Whether taxpayer, who operates restaurants in Indiana, is entitled to a refund of sales/use taxes paid on purchases of: 1) natural gas and electricity and 2) various pieces of equipment directly used in the direct production of food items.

Cases Transmitted Week of 1/12/15

Marion Co. Assessor v. Meijer Stores LP
49T10-1501-TA-2
1/15/15
Christopher Slatten
Attorney for Marion County Assessor
200 E. Washington St., Ste. 1121
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 327-3913
Real – Whether the Indiana Board erred when it determined that Meijer presented a prima facie case that its property assessment should be reduced.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 1/26/15

Name:Wells County Assessor v. Alexin, LLCCase No.49T10-1501-TA-3Date Filed:1/30/15Attorneys:Brian A. Cusimano, Attorney at Law
Marilyn S. Meighen, Attorney at LawType of Tax:Personal – The Assessor challenges whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred in determining that the
PTABOA did not have authority to overturn the local designating body's resolution that waived taxpayer's
abatement noncompliance.

Cases Transmitted Week of 2/16/15

Name:Howard County Assessor v. Kohl's Indiana LPCase No.49T10-1502-TA-00004Date Filed:2/13/15Attorneys:Marilyn S. Meighen, Brian A. CusimanoType of Tax:Real – Assessor challenges whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred when it determined that
taxpayer's store should be valued as a general retail "dark box" as opposed to actual use as a Kohl's store.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 2/16/15

Name:Insead North America, Inc. v. Ind. Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1502-TA-00005Date Filed:2/4/15Attorneys:Leonard J. Bencivenga, pro seType of Tax:AGIT / Withholding – Taxpayer challenges it is entitled to a refund of the money it paid to the Department of
Revenue that was related to the Department's collection costs incurred through a third-party entity.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 2/16/15

Name:	DeKalb County Assessor v. Paul L. and Joan E. Chavez
Case No.	49T10-1502-TA-00006
Date Filed:	2/19/15
Attorneys:	Brian A. Cusimano, Marilyn S. Meighen, Jeffrey D. Collins
Type of Tax:	Real – Assessor challenges whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred when it determined that
	taxpayer's land should be classified as agricultural, not residential excess.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/2/15

Name:6787 Steelworkers Hall, Inc. v. Porter County AssessorCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00007Date Filed:3/2/15Attorneys:James K. GildayType of Tax:Real – Taxpayer challenges whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred when it determined that
taxpayer's property, used for purposes related to the union, did not qualify for a charitable purposes
exemption.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/2/15

Name:William R. Larsen v. Indiana Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00008Date Filed:3/3/15Attorneys:William R. Larsen, pro seType of Tax:Income – Taxpayer challenges whether the Indiana Department of State Revenue erred when it determined
that because taxpayer did not provide any social security numbers for the three children he was claiming as
dependents, he was not entitled to the related deduction.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/9/15

Name:	Paetec Communications, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue
Case No.	49T10-1503-TA-00009
Date Filed:	3/11/15
Attorneys:	Randle B. Pollard, Kirsten A. Gulotta
Type of Tax:	Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether the Indiana Department of State Revenue erred when it
	determined that taxpayer's purchases of equipment did not qualify for the telecommunication
	equipment exemption provided for in Indiana Code 62.5-5-13.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/9/15

Name:Windstream KDL, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00010Date Filed:3/11/15Attorneys:Randle B. Pollard, Kirsten A. GulottaType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether the Indiana Department of State Revenue erred when it
determined that taxpayer's purchases of equipment did not qualify for either the telecommunication
equipment exemption provided for in Indiana Code 6.-2.5-5-13 or the purchase for resale exemption
provided for in Indiana Code 6-2.5-5-8.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/9/15

Name:MEB Leasing, LLC v. Indiana Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00011Date Filed:3/13/15Attorneys:Louis M. Meiners, Jr.Type of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether the Indiana Department of State Revenue erred when it
determined that taxpayer did not demonstrate it was exempt from sales tax under Indiana Code 6-2.5-5-8
on its purchase of aircraft, which was acquired for the purpose of leasing in the ordinary course of its

business. In the alternative, the taxpayer argues it is liable for only 1/3 of the sales tax, as it is a 1/3 owner of the aircraft.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/23/15

Name:Leo B. and Catherine A. Pilachowski v. Monroe Co. AssessorCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00012Date Filed:3/25/15Attorneys:Leo B. Pilachowski, pro seType of Tax:Real – Taxpayers challenge whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred when it determined that
taxpayers failed to present a prima facie case that their property's assessments were not uniform and equal
with those of comparable properties.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/23/15

Name:Middlebury Solar, LLC, et. al. v. Indiana Department of Local Government FinanceCase No.49T10-1503-TA-00013Date Filed:3/26/15Attorneys:Michael Melone, pro seType of Tax:Property – Taxpayers challenge whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred in determining that the
Department of Local Government Finance was statutorily authorized to assess the property of the
taxpayers who are engaged in generating solar energy.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 3/30/15

Name:Albert J. Hackl & Christine M. Hackl v. Indiana Department of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1504-TA-00014Date Filed:4/1/15Attorneys:Stephen E. Arthur, James J. McGrathType of Tax:Income – Taxpayers challenge whether the Department Revenue erred in determining that they were
residents of Indiana and therefore were required to pay Indiana income taxes.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 4/13/15

Name:DCL Medical Laboratories, LLC v. Indiana Department of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1504-TA-00015Date Filed:4/17/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales/Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchases of drugs, which it directly consumed in its practice
of diagnosing human disease, were exempt from tax pursuant to Indiana Code § 6-2.5-5-19.

Cases Transmitted Week of 4/20/15

Name:Richardson's RV, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1504-TA-00016Date Filed:4/23/15Attorneys:Randal J. Kaltenmark, Ziaaddin MollabashyType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayers challenge whether the Department erred in when it determined that taxpayer's sales were not interstate sales and therefore subject to sales & use tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 4/27/15

Name:Richard D. Foster v. Indiana Dept. of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1504-TA-00017Date Filed:4/22/15Attorneys:Richard D. Foster, pro seType of Tax:Income – taxpayer alleges that the Department of State Revenue has, since 1994 when he plead guilty to a
drug charge, illegally seized both his state tax refund checks and his vehicles.

Cases Transmitted Week of 5/11/15

Name:Hamilton Square Investment, LLC v. Hamilton County AssessorCase No.49T10-1505-TA-00018Date Filed:5/15/15Attorneys:James F. Beatty, Jessica L. Findey, Donald D. Levenhagen, Kathryn Merritt-thrasher, Megan M. AnthonyType of Tax:Real – whether the Indiana Board of Tax Review erred, under Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20.6-4, in classifying
part of taxpayer's property as non-residential and therefore not subject to the applicable property tax credit.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:William E. Schmidt Jr. and Danielle R. Schmidt v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00019Date Filed:6/12/15Attorneys:Matthew S. Carr, Blake P. Holler, Marc T. QuigleyType of Tax:AGIT – Taxpayers challenge whether the Department erred in determining taxpayers' income was Indiana sourced income.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:Mid America Clinical Laboratories, LLC v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00020Date Filed:6/15/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchase of drugs which were used in the diagnosis of disease were subject to sales tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:PA Labs, Inc. v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00021Date Filed:6/15/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchase of drugs which were used in the diagnosis of disease were subject to sales tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:Mid America Clinical Laboratories, LLC v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00022Date Filed:6/15/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchase of drugs which were used in the diagnosis of disease were subject to sales tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:PA Labs, Inc. v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00023Date Filed:6/15/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchase of drugs which were used in the diagnosis of disease were subject to sales tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 6/15/15

Name:Laboratory Corp. of America Holdings v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1506-TA-00024Date Filed:6/15/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether its purchase of drugs which were used in the diagnosis of disease were subject to sales tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 7/6/15

Name:Zimmer, Inc. v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1507-TA-00025Date Filed:7/7/15Attorneys:Brett J. MillerType of Tax:Sales & Use – Taxpayer challenges whether it is liable for use tax on convention displays displayed outside of Indiana and only temporarily stored in Indiana.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 7/20/15

Name:Michael A. Claytor and Deborah L. Winchester v. Ind. Dep't of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1507-TA-00026Date Filed:7/23/15Attorneys:Brett J. MillerType of Tax:AGIT – Taxpayer challenges whether the Department of State Revenue erred in determining that they had
not abandoned their Indiana residency and therefore owed Indiana income tax.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 8/3/15

Name:	Thor Industries Inc. and Subsidiaries v. Ind. Dep't of State Revenue
Case No.	49T10-1508-TA-00027
Date Filed:	8/6/15
Attorneys:	Nathan J. Hagerman
Type of Tax:	AGIT – Taxpayer challenges whether the Department of State Revenue erred when it determined that:
	1. Taxpayer should have included in its Indiana sales factor numerator sales of its vehicles
	shipped/delivered to out-of-state dealers;

- Taxpayer should have included in its Indiana sales factor sales to out-of-state dealers who were located in states where taxpayer was already subject to an income or franchise tax due to its warranty repair obligations;
- 3. Taxpayer failed to substantiate employee activities supporting its research expense credits.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 8/17/15

Name:	Forethought Financial Group, Inc. & Subsidiaries v. Ind. Dep't of State Revenue
Case No.	49T10-1508-TA-00028
Date Filed:	8/17/15
Attorneys:	Randal J. Kaltenmark, Ziaaddin Mollabashy
Type of Tax:	AGIT – Taxpayer challenges whether the Department of State Revenue erred when it:
••	1. determined that taxpayer's "surplus debenture" income, interest income, capital gains, other
	income, and dividends were not to be included in the numerator of its sales factor;
	2. determined that taxpayer's 2009 & 2010 rebill receipts were not to be included in the numerate

- 2. determined that taxpayer's 2009 & 2010 rebill receipts were not to be included in the numerator and denominator of its sales factor;
- 3. adjusted taxpayer's federal consolidated non-life subgroup loss limitations; and
- 4. determined that taxpayer was not entitled to carry forward its 2006 and 2007 NOLs.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 9/7/15

Name:Monroe Co. Assessor v. SCP 2007-C-26-002, LLC a/k/a CVS 3195-02Case No.49T10-1508-TA-00029Date Filed:9/4/15Attorneys:Marilyn S. Meighen, Brian A. CusimanoType of Tax:Real – Whether, in accepting the taxpayer's appraisal over the assessor's appraisal, the Indiana Board
valued property using a standard other than Indiana's prescribed True Tax Value

Cases Transmitted

Week of 9/14/15

Name:Jonathan and Megan Moss v. Indiana Department of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1509-TA-00030Date Filed:9/4/15Attorneys:Jonathan & Megan Moss, pro seType of Tax:AGIT – Taxpayers challenge whether they should be liable for the Department's collection fees despite the
fact that the underlying tax warrant was issued in error.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 9/28/15

Name:Quest Diagnostics Clinical Laboratories, Inc. v. Indiana Department of State RevenueCase No.49T10-1510-TA-00031Date Filed:10/2/15Attorneys:Mark J. Richards, Matthew J. EhingerType of Tax:AGIT – Taxpayer maintains that the Department of Revenue erred in issuing proposed assessments
against it (as well as in denying its claims for refund) because it included the apportionment factors of a
minority interest in the computation of adjusted gross income tax due.

Cases Transmitted

Week of 12/21/15

Name:Monroe Co. Assessor v. SCP 2002 E19 LLC 6697, a/k/a CVS 6697-02Case No.49T10-1512-TA-00032Date Filed:12/22/15Attorneys:Marilyn S. Meighen, Brian A. CusimanoType of Tax:Real – Whether, in reducing the petitioner's assessment, the Indiana Board failed to analyze and interpret
"True Tax Value" on the basis of actual property wealth.