



## 2010 Progress Report Forms For

### Public Agency, Conservation Group and Other Non-Industrial Forest Landowners

Please complete and return by March 15, 2011 to:

Rachel Dierolf, Manager of Statistics and Labeling, SFI  
900 17th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20006  
Phone: (613) 274-0124 Fax: (613) 792-1470 Email : [rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org](mailto:rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)  
E-mail submissions are preferred

**Indiana Division of Forestry**

**NSF-ISR**

Program Participant

Certification Body (if 3<sup>rd</sup> party certified)

Carl Hauser

Contact (for more information)

Property Program Specialist

317-232-4114

317-233-3863

Title of Contact

Phone for Contact

Fax for Contact

**[chauser@dnr.in.gov](mailto:chauser@dnr.in.gov)**

E-mail for Contact

**2010 Progress Report for Industrial Forest Landowners with Manufacturing Facilities****I. General Information**

- Forestland<sup>i</sup> Information for Program Participant  
*list in acres; to convert from hectares multiply number of hectares by 2.471*

**TABLE 1.**

| Country              | Total Acres Managed <sup>1</sup> | Acres Certified to the SFI Forest Management Standard <sup>2</sup> |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| United States        | 156,020                          | 156,020  |
| Canada-Crown License |                                  |  |
| Canada-Private Land  |                                  |  |

<sup>1</sup>Include acreage in Canada and or the United States that is enrolled in the SFI program.

<sup>2</sup>Include only forest management certifications on the acres managed.

- Recreation  
*list in acres only; to convert from hectares to acres, multiply by 2.471*
  - For lands owned or controlled by your organization, how many acres are open to the public for recreation (this includes private leases and public permits)? 156,020 (U.S. acres) \_\_\_\_\_ (Canadian acres)

**II. Harvesting and Reforestation—Participant Land** (list in acres only; to convert from hectares to acres, multiply by 2.471)

- How many acres of harvest units<sup>ii</sup> were completed in 2010<sup>iii</sup> by?

**TABLE 2.**

| Harvest Method                               | U.S. Acres | Canadian Acres |
|--|------------|----------------|
| 1. Clearcutting <sup>iv</sup>                | 78.5       |                |
| 1a. Average size of clearcut harvest areas   | 15.7       |                |
| 2. Seed Tree and Shelterwood                 | 1          |                |
| 3. Selection Methods                         | 3828       |                |
| 4. Commercial Thinning or Sanitation Salvage | 44.5       |                |

Note: SFI 2010-2014 Performance Measure 5.2 states: *Program Participants shall manage the size, shape, and placement of clearcut harvests. Indicators: 1. Average size of clearcut harvest areas does not exceed 120 acres (50 hectares), except when necessary to meet regulatory requirements or to respond to forest health emergencies or other natural catastrophes. 2. Documentation through internal records of clearcut size and the process for calculating average size.*

**TABLE 3.**

Please provide explanation if the average size of your clearcut harvest areas exceeds 120 acres (50 hectares)

- Disease or insect outbreak? \_\_\_\_\_
- Fire salvage? \_\_\_\_\_
- Windthrow? \_\_\_\_\_
- Hurricane? \_\_\_\_\_
- Government regulations requiring larger harvest areas (please specify government policy name and requirement)? \_\_\_\_\_
- Other, please explain: \_\_\_\_\_

- 2010 Reforestation<sup>v</sup> Activities and Five Year Assessment (Section 1 is for U.S., Section 2 is for Canada)
  - Reforestation Data for the **United States** (list in acres only; to convert from hectares, multiply by 2.471)

**TABLE 4.1**

| Regeneration Type                | Within 1 year of Final Harvest (acres) | Within 2 years of Final Harvest (acres) | More than 2 years after Final Harvest (acres) | Total for 2010 (sum of all three-acres) | Percent of Harvest Units Regenerated After 5 Growing Seasons |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| <b>1. Artificial</b>             |  |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. Planting</b>               | 8.4                                    | +                                       | +   | =8.4                                    |  |
| <b>b. Direct Seeding</b>         | 0                                      | +                                       | +   | =0                                      |  |
| <b>2. Natural</b>                | <b>Acres In 2010</b>                   |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. All types</b>              | 246                                    |   |   |   |  |
| <b>3. Artificial and Natural</b> |  |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. All types</b>              |  |   |   |   | <b>100 %</b>   |

- Reforestation Data for **Canada** (list in acres only; to convert from hectares, multiply by 2.471)

**TABLE 4.2**

| Regeneration Type                | Within 1 year of Final Harvest (acres) | Within 2 years of Final Harvest (acres) | More than 2 years after Final Harvest (acres) | Total for 2010 (sum of all three-acres) | Percent of Harvest Units Regenerated After 5 Growing Seasons |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| <b>1. Artificial</b>             |  |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. Planting</b>               |  | +                                       | +   | =                                       |  |
| <b>b. Direct Seeding</b>         |  | +                                       | +   | =                                       |  |
| <b>2. Natural</b>                | <b>Acres In 2010</b>                   |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. All types</b>              |  |   |   |   |  |
| <b>3. Artificial and Natural</b> |  |   |   |   |  |
| <b>a. All types</b>              |  |   |   |   | <b>%</b>   |

### III. Research Funding – Internal & External<sup>vi</sup> —(\$US and \$Canadian)

**TABLE 5.**

| Category   | Funding—United States |                 | Funding—Canada        |                       |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|  | Internal (\$US)       | External (\$US) | Internal (\$Canadian) | External (\$Canadian) |
| A. Forest Health & Productivity                    |                       | \$100,000       |                       |                       |
| B. Water Quality                                   |                       |                 |                       |                       |
| C. Wildlife and Fish                               |                       | \$140,000       |                       |                       |
| D. Landscape/Ecosystem Management and Biodiversity |                       |                 |                       |                       |
| E. All Other                                       |                       |                 |                       |                       |

### IV. SFI Implementation Committee Support

- Funding provided in 2010 for SFI program implementation activities at the state or provincial level (include all funding your organization provided in 2010 to SFI Implementation Committees and others for logger training and education and all other SFI program implementation activities at the state or provincial level):
  - Support for U.S. SFI Implementation Committees (USD) \_\$800 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Support for Canadian SFI Implementation Committees (CAD) \_\_\_\_\_

## V. Conservation Partnerships

Since 1995, SFI-certified organizations have contributed more than \$1 billion (US) for research activities to improve the health, productivity and responsible management of forest resources. These conservation partnerships are key to responsible forest management, and SFI Inc. acknowledges them in a number of ways, including through conservation awards. Is your organization currently involved in any conservation partnerships/projects?

- Yes  No
- If yes, please describe below and/or with attachments. The description should include: name of project; partners involved; conservation objective; start date; (estimated) completion date; total project cost; your organization's contribution; other. Note: Please only list projects that were active or concluded in 2010/2011. If you are reporting more than one project, please copy and paste the below table as often as needed.

**TABLE 7.**

|                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Project Name</b>                |  |
| <b>Short Project Description</b>   |  |
| <b>Partners</b>                    |  |
| <b>Conservation Objective</b>      |  |
| <b>Start Date (estimated)</b>      |  |
| <b>Completion date (estimated)</b> |  |
| <b>Total Project Cost</b>          |  |
| <b>Contribution</b>                |  |
| <b>Other</b>                       |  |

## VI. SFI Label Use

SFI Inc. often features companies that use SFI on-product labels, and shows samples of these products, in publications and other market outreach materials that raise awareness of the value of certification. If we can feature your organization/products, please let us know to contact you or forward SFI-labeled samples to Amy Doty, 900 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006.

No on-product labels are used.

## VII. Government Relations (optional)

As part of our SFI 2011 strategy, SFI Inc will work with governments at the local, state/provincial and federal levels to enhance recognition of the value of the SFI program across public and private lands and certified and uncertified lands through our fiber sourcing program, our forest management standard and key outreach activities such as conservation projects, Habitat for Humanity and research. Information regarding your organization's involvement in government programs, partnerships and projects would be helpful for SFI to support your work and develop further opportunities to build strong relationships with governments to increase understanding and support of the SFI program. SFI Inc. is also interested in any challenges or unexplored opportunities to build those relationships and ensure strong support of the SFI program and acceptance of SFI certified forest products.

**TABLE 8.**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Current projects involving government</b>                       | The Indiana Division of Forestry is a governmental agency. |
| <b>Opportunities to involve government moving forward</b>          |  |
| <b>Current challenges related to SFI and government acceptance</b> |  |

## VIII. Profile

SFI Inc. is often asked for short profiles on SFI Program Participants. If possible, please provide a brief profile of your organization including product information in the space below or with attachments.

The Indiana Division of Forestry is the Division within the Indiana Department of Natural Resources that is responsible for forest management statewide. Sections within the Division of Forestry include the Properties Section, Cooperative Forest Management Section, State Forest Nursery and Special Programs. The SFI certificate applies to the Properties Section that manages approximately 154,000 acres of State Forests for multiple uses and benefits. These include recreation (hunting, fishing, swimming, trails, camping, etc) timber production (annual harvest of 12 MMBF), aesthetics, water quality, ecological values, and archeological values to name a few.

## IX. Off-Shore Fiber

Currently, data collection for the SFI program report includes only U.S. and Canadian information. However, SFI is interested in how much fiber Program Participants procure from offshore and use in manufacturing facilities in the U.S. or Canada that are enrolled in the SFI program. The SFI definition of procurement is: Acquisition of roundwood (sawlogs or pulpwood) and field-manufactured or primary-mill residual chips, pulp, and veneer to support a forest products manufacturing facility.

- Does your company procure off-shore fiber (outside U.S./Canada)?     Yes     No
- If yes, how much fiber used by your manufacturing facilities in the US or Canada enrolled in the SFI program is procured from off-shore (please specify units-green tons, MCF, etc.)? \_\_\_\_\_

## X. Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering

Forest tree biotechnology includes the study of genes and genomes and the asexual insertion of genes into trees, or, genetic engineering (GE). Genetically engineered plants are regulated in the US by the USDA Animal and Plant Health

Objectives, performance measure and indicator number references are to the 2010-2014 edition of the Sustainable Forestry Initiative® Standard.

Inspection Service (APHIS). To date APHIS has approved the use of 70 products including two trees (papaya and plum), but no forest trees have been submitted for approval at this time.

Are you:

- Currently doing research with GE trees? Yes No
- Planning any research with GE trees? Yes No
- Planning commercial plantings of GE trees? Yes No  
if yes, year of anticipated deployment \_\_\_\_\_
- What % of your current US and Canadian supply is from GE trees? \_\_\_\_\_%  
What do you project your % will be in 5 years? \_\_\_\_\_%
- What % of your current off shore supply is from GE trees? \_\_\_\_\_%  
What do you project your % will be in 5 years? \_\_\_\_\_%

**XI. Please use the space below to address any other issues or ideas you may have for the Sustainable Forestry Initiative Program.**

---

---

---

---

## ENDNOTES

---

<sup>i</sup> A forested area is classified as “forestland” if it is at least one acre in size and contains 10 percent tree cover.

<sup>ii</sup> These questions are directed solely at harvest and regeneration activities on participant-owned lands, lands under long-term lease to the participant, or lands for which the participant has forest management responsibilities. A long-term lease is one that extends beyond a single rotation – lands would not be included if the number of years specified in or remaining on a lease is less than one rotation.

<sup>iii</sup> Only refer to units where harvesting was completed in 2010. This includes harvesting activities that were started in 2009 and completed in 2010, but not those that were still underway by the end of 2010 calendar/fiscal year.

<sup>iv</sup> There are a variety of definitions for the term “clearcut.” In order of preference, the following definitions should be used:

- First, use the legal definition within the state or province in which harvesting activities took place.
- Second, if no legal definition exists within the state or province, use the Society of American Foresters (SAF 1998) definition: “Clearcutting is a regeneration or harvest method that removes essentially all trees in a stand.”
- Third, if the SAF definition is deemed inappropriate, you can use a company-specific definition that is consistent with the spirit and intent of the SFI program. Please include the definition with your report.

<sup>v</sup> The replanting “clock” starts after the entire unit is harvested or the sale has been completed (see end note iii). Do not include areas that were replanted due to poor seedling survival. The last column (five-year regeneration success) is designed to provide information on regeneration successes across all regeneration categories: planting, seeding and natural regeneration. As an example, for the time frame ending 12-31-10, list the percentage of harvest units that have adequate regeneration after five complete growing seasons post-harvest.

<sup>vi</sup> List the amount of funding in \$US or \$Canadian your organization provided in the calendar/fiscal year for forest-related research within your organization (internal) and outside your organization (external) through grants, in-kind assistance, cooperatives, etc. Internal research funding includes salaries for forest-related research staff. While it is difficult in many instances to identify to which category research funding should be allocated, Use your best judgment to identify the primary intent of the project so you can include it in the appropriate research category. If this is not possible, use the “other” category.